

Nucleon decays into three leptons: noncontact contributions

Jing Chen^{1,2,*} Yi Liao^{1,2,†} Xiao-Dong Ma^{1,2,‡} and Hao-Lin Wang^{1,2,§}

¹State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Institute of Quantum Matter,
South China Normal University, Guangzhou 510006, China

²Guangdong Basic Research Center of Excellence for Structure and Fundamental Interactions of Matter,
Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Nuclear Science, Guangzhou 510006, China

We investigate baryon number violating (BNV) nucleon decays into three leptons from noncontact contributions that are induced by dimension-6 (dim-6) BNV operators in low-energy effective field theory (LEFT) with an exchange of a baryon, meson, lepton, or photon field. We systematically classify all these processes that change lepton flavor by one unit and formulate their decay widths in terms of the dim-6 LEFT Wilson coefficients. By applying constraints on these Wilson coefficients derived from current experimental limits on BNV two-body nucleon decays, we obtain stringent and robust bounds on the rates of these triple-lepton modes. These bounds vary significantly from one dim-6 operator to another under consideration. Our results for the $\Delta(B-L) = 0$ modes differ by several orders of magnitude from previous phase-space estimates in the literature, thereby providing a more reliable assessment of their potential occurrence. In addition, we provide improved bounds on $\Delta(B+L) = 0$ modes compared to the existing experimental limits.

I. INTRODUCTION

Baryon number violation (BNV) is predicted in various scenarios beyond the standard model (SM) [1–7], and searching for nucleon decay offers the most practical and feasible way to test it, thanks to the abundance of nucleons in terrestrial experimental setups. Among various nucleon decay modes, exotic nucleon decays into three leptons are particularly intriguing, due to their clear experimental signatures compared to the conventional two-body modes involving a meson. Over the past few decades, experiments such as IMB [8, 9], Super-Kamiokande [10, 11], and SNO+ [12, 13] have searched for these exotic decays and established stringent limits on their occurrence, setting lower bounds on the partial lifetimes Γ^{-1} (aka the inverse partial decay width) at the level of 10^{30} to 10^{34} years [14]. The current and upcoming neutrino experiments, including JUNO [15], Hyper-Kamiokande [16], DUNE [17], and Theia [18], are expected to further probe these modes with unprecedented sensitivity.

In light of upcoming experimental efforts, it is timely to examine these decays systematically from a theoretical perspective. Focusing on SM leptons, the nucleon triple-lepton decay modes $N \rightarrow l_x l_y l_z$, with $N = p, n$ and $l_{x,y,z} = e^\pm, \mu^\pm, \nu_{e,\mu,\tau}, \bar{\nu}_{e,\mu,\tau}$, can be classified according to a generalized lepton flavor number $\Delta F_L = 1, 3$. Here, $\Delta F_L \equiv |\Delta F_e| + |\Delta F_\mu| + |\Delta F_\tau|$, with ΔF_i ($i = e, \mu, \tau$) denoting the number of change of the i -flavor. The $\Delta F_L = 1$ class corresponds to the cases where only one lepton flavor changes by one unit, and these modes are listed in Table I. Working in the low energy effective field theory

Class	$\Delta(B-L) = 0$	$\Delta(B+L) = 0$
Mode	$p \rightarrow \ell_x^+ \ell_y^+ \ell_z^+$	$n \rightarrow \ell_x^+ \ell_y^+ \nu_z$
	$n \rightarrow \ell_x^+ \ell_y^+ \bar{\nu}_z$	$p \rightarrow \ell_x^+ \nu_y \nu_z$
	$p \rightarrow \nu_x \ell_y^+ \bar{\nu}_z$	$n \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_x \nu_y \nu_z$
	$n \rightarrow \nu_x \bar{\nu}_y \bar{\nu}_z$	

TABLE I. Classification of all possible nucleon triple-lepton decay modes with $\Delta F_L = 1$. The lepton flavor indices x, y, z are related by $x = y$ or $x = z$.

(LEFT) [19, 20], the leading order contributions to the $\Delta F_L = 1$ decays are from noncontact diagrams that are generated by dim-6 BNV interactions augmented by SM interactions with the exchange of a lepton, baryon, photon, or meson. In contrast, the decays with $\Delta F_L = 3$ can only be mediated at the leading order by local dim-9 LEFT interactions.

In this Letter, we focus on the $\Delta F_L = 1$ decays while leaving those with $\Delta F_L = 3$ in the upcoming paper [21]. We aim to derive robust bounds on the partial lifetimes of the $\Delta F_L = 1$ decays in chiral perturbation theory (ChPT), assuming that their dominant contributions arise from dim-6 LEFT BNV interactions. These bounds are obtained by utilizing available constraints on the Wilson coefficients (WCs) of the relevant dim-6 operators. Our final results, summarized in Tables IV and V, show that the newly derived bounds vary from one operator to another by several orders of magnitude, and significantly improve upon the existing experimental limits.

The remainder of this Letter is organized as follows. In Section II, we begin by collecting the relevant dim-6 BNV operators along with their counterparts in ChPT. Next, in Section III, we examine the leading-order Feynman diagrams that contribute to these nucleon decay modes due to dim-6 operators. Our expressions for the decay widths, together with the newly derived bounds, are presented in Tables IV and V of Section IV. Our conclusions are summarized in Section V. Additionally, the involved SM four-fermion interactions and chiral interactions are collected in Section A and Sections B and C, respectively,

* cjing@m.scnu.edu.cn

† liaoy@m.scnu.edu.cn

‡ maxid@scnu.edu.cn

§ whaolin@m.scnu.edu.cn

while the experimental bounds on the two-body nucleon decays and the branching ratios of the meson leptonic decays are summarized in Section D.

II. EFT DESCRIPTIONS OF $\Delta F_L = 1$ NUCLEON TRIPLE-LEPTON DECAYS

$\Delta(B-L) = 0$		$\Delta(B+L) = 0$	
$\mathcal{O}_{\nu d u d}^{\text{LL}}$	$(\bar{\nu}_L^c d_L^\alpha)(u_L^{\beta c} d_L^\gamma)\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$	$\mathcal{O}_{\ell d d d}^{\text{LL}}$	$(\bar{\ell}_R d_L^\alpha)(d_L^{\beta c} d_L^\gamma)\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$
$\mathcal{O}_{\ell u d u}^{\text{LL}}$	$(\bar{\ell}_L^c u_L^\alpha)(d_L^{\beta c} u_L^\gamma)\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$	$\mathcal{O}_{\nu d u d}^{\text{RL}}$	$(\bar{\nu}_L d_R^\alpha)(u_L^{\beta c} d_L^\gamma)\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$
$\mathcal{O}_{\ell d u u}^{\text{RL}}$	$(\bar{\ell}_R^c d_R^\alpha)(u_L^{\beta c} u_L^\gamma)\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$	$\mathcal{O}_{\nu d u d}^{\text{RL}}$	$(\bar{\nu}_L u_R^\alpha)(d_L^{\beta c} d_L^\gamma)\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$
$\mathcal{O}_{\ell d u d}^{\text{RL}}$	$(\bar{\ell}_R^c u_R^\alpha)(d_L^{\beta c} u_L^\gamma)\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$	$\mathcal{O}_{\ell d d d}^{\text{RL}}$	$(\bar{\ell}_L d_R^\alpha)(d_L^{\beta c} d_L^\gamma)\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$
$\mathcal{O}_{\ell d u d}^{\text{LR}}$	$(\bar{\ell}_L^c d_L^\alpha)(u_R^{\beta c} u_R^\gamma)\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$	$\mathcal{O}_{\ell d d d}^{\text{LR}}$	$(\bar{\ell}_R d_L^\alpha)(d_R^{\beta c} d_R^\gamma)\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$
$\mathcal{O}_{\ell u d u}^{\text{LR}}$	$(\bar{\ell}_L^c u_L^\alpha)(d_R^{\beta c} u_R^\gamma)\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$	$\mathcal{O}_{\nu d u d}^{\text{LR}}$	$(\bar{\nu}_L d_R^\alpha)(u_R^{\beta c} d_R^\gamma)\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$
$\mathcal{O}_{\nu d d u}^{\text{LR}}$	$(\bar{\nu}_L^c d_L^\alpha)(d_R^{\beta c} u_R^\gamma)\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$	$\mathcal{O}_{\ell d d d}^{\text{RR}}$	$(\bar{\ell}_L d_R^\alpha)(d_R^{\beta c} d_R^\gamma)\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$
$\mathcal{O}_{\nu u d d}^{\text{LR}}$	$(\bar{\nu}_L^c u_L^\alpha)(d_R^{\beta c} d_R^\gamma)\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$		
$\mathcal{O}_{\ell u d u}^{\text{RR}}$	$(\bar{\ell}_R^c u_R^\alpha)(d_R^{\beta c} u_R^\gamma)\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$		

TABLE II. Dim-6 BNV operators in the LEFT with $\Delta B = 1$ and $\Delta L = \pm 1$. α, β, γ are color indices while flavor indices are omitted for simplicity.

The BNV nucleon decays are well described in the LEFT framework [19, 20, 22–27], which serves as a systematic approach to processes occurring below the electroweak scale, Λ_{EW} . In LEFT, the lowest-order BNV interactions appear at dimension 6 and are categorized according to their net baryon (B) and lepton (L) number changes into two classes: those with $\Delta(B-L) = 0$ and those with $\Delta(B+L) = 0$, as summarized in Table II. They consist of three quark fields and one lepton field, which can be either a charged lepton or a neutrino.

To calculate nucleon decay matrix elements due to the above dim-6 operators involving light u, d, s quarks, a powerful and systematic method is to match the quark-level interactions into hadronic counterparts through ChPT, with quark degrees of freedom being traded by light hadrons. In the mesonic ChPT extended with octet baryons [28–30], the relevant hadronic degrees of freedom are the octet meson and baryon fields that are organized in matrix form,

$$\Sigma(x) = \xi^2(x) = \exp\left(\frac{i\sqrt{2}\Pi(x)}{F_0}\right), \quad (1a)$$

$$\Pi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\pi^0}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{6}} & \pi^+ & K^+ \\ \pi^- & -\frac{\pi^0}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{6}} & K^0 \\ K^- & \bar{K}^0 & -\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\eta \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1b)$$

$$B(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\Sigma^0}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\Lambda^0}{\sqrt{6}} & \Sigma^+ & p \\ \Sigma^- & -\frac{\Sigma^0}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\Lambda^0}{\sqrt{6}} & n \\ \Xi^- & \Xi^0 & -\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\Lambda^0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1c)$$

Here $F_0 = (86.2 \pm 0.5) \text{ MeV}$ denotes the pion decay constant in the chiral limit. Under the QCD chiral group, $G_\chi = \text{SU}(3)_L \otimes \text{SU}(3)_R$, the meson field Σ transforms as $\Sigma \rightarrow \hat{L}\Sigma\hat{R}^\dagger$, where $(\hat{L}, \hat{R}) \in G_\chi$. ξ transforms as $\xi \rightarrow \hat{L}\xi\hat{h}^\dagger = \hat{h}\xi\hat{R}^\dagger$, where $\hat{h}$ is a function of $\hat{L}$, $\hat{R}$, and ξ , and the baryon field transforms covariantly as $B \rightarrow \hat{h}B\hat{h}^\dagger$.

The relevant operators responsible for nucleon decays involve light u, d, s quarks and transform under G_χ as irreducible representations (irreps) $\mathbf{8}_{L(R)} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{R(L)}$ and $\mathbf{\bar{3}}_{L(R)} \otimes \mathbf{3}_{R(L)}$. Denoting the triple-quark component of each operator by $\mathcal{N}_i$ and the product of the lepton field (Ψ_i) and its corresponding WC (C_i) as the spurion field $\mathcal{P}_i = C_i\Psi_i$, the BNV Lagrangian reads

$$\mathcal{L}_\# = \text{Tr}[\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{8}_L \otimes \mathbf{1}_R} \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{8}_L \otimes \mathbf{1}_R} + \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{3}_L \otimes \mathbf{\bar{3}}_R} \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{3}_L \otimes \mathbf{\bar{3}}_R}] + \text{L} \leftrightarrow \text{R}. \quad (2)$$

Here, the triple-quark components and the spurion fields have been organized as matrices in flavor space. For reference, they are collected in Eqs. (B1) and (B2) of Section B. Under $(\hat{L}, \hat{R}) \in G_\chi$, they transform as

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{8}_L \otimes \mathbf{1}_R} \rightarrow \hat{L} \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{8}_L \otimes \mathbf{1}_R} \hat{L}^\dagger, \quad \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{3}_L \otimes \mathbf{\bar{3}}_R} \rightarrow \hat{R} \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{3}_L \otimes \mathbf{\bar{3}}_R} \hat{L}^\dagger, \quad (3a)$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{8}_L \otimes \mathbf{1}_R} \rightarrow \hat{L} \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{8}_L \otimes \mathbf{1}_R} \hat{L}^\dagger, \quad \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{3}_L \otimes \mathbf{\bar{3}}_R} \rightarrow \hat{L} \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{3}_L \otimes \mathbf{\bar{3}}_R} \hat{R}^\dagger. \quad (3b)$$

At leading chiral order, their chiral realizations take the following form [23, 26, 31]:

$$\mathcal{L}_\#^{\text{ChPT}} = c_1 \text{Tr}[\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{3}_L \otimes \mathbf{\bar{3}}_R} \xi B_L \xi - \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{3}_L \otimes \mathbf{\bar{3}}_R} \xi^\dagger B_R \xi^\dagger] + c_2 \text{Tr}[\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{8}_L \otimes \mathbf{1}_R} \xi B_L \xi^\dagger - \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{1}_L \otimes \mathbf{8}_R} \xi^\dagger B_R \xi] + \text{H.c.}, \quad (4)$$

where $B_{L(R)} \equiv P_{L(R)} B$ represent the chiral baryon fields. The low energy constants (LECs) c_1 and c_2 are also denoted by α and β in the literature, and the recent lattice QCD calculations yield $c_1 = -0.01257(111) \text{ GeV}^3$ and $c_2 = 0.01269(107) \text{ GeV}^3$ [32]. By expanding Eq. (4) to the desired order in the pseudoscalar meson fields, one obtains the explicit BNV interaction terms involving one lepton, one baryon, and any number of meson fields. These results are summarized in Section B.

Since we are concerned with noncontact contributions to nucleon decays into three leptons, additional baryon number conserving (BNC) interactions from the SM must be incorporated. These interactions include the QED interactions for charged leptons and nucleons, the strong interactions between the octet baryons and mesons, and the charged- and neutral-current weak interactions between lepton pairs and mesons or baryons. A detailed description of all these interactions can be found in Section C.

III. LEADING-ORDER DIAGRAMS

Using the two- and three-point BNV vertices provided in Eqs. (B3) and (B4), the QED vertices in Eq. (C1), the strong interactions of the octet mesons and baryons in Eq. (C4), as well as the neutral- and

Mode		Feynman diagrams			
$\Delta(B-L)=0$	$p \rightarrow \ell_x^- \ell_x^+ \ell_y^+$ ($xy = ee, \mu\mu, e\mu, \mu e$)				
	$n \rightarrow \ell_x^- \ell_x^+ \bar{\nu}_y$ ($xy = e\mu, e\tau, \mu e, \mu\tau$)		—		
	$n \rightarrow \ell_x^- \ell_y^+ \bar{\nu}_x$ ($xy = e\mu, \mu e$)				
	$p \rightarrow \nu_x \ell_y^+ \bar{\nu}_x$ ($xy = \mu e, \tau e, e\mu, \tau\mu$)				
	$p \rightarrow \nu_x \ell_x^+ \bar{\nu}_y$ ($xy = e\mu, e\tau, \mu e, \mu\tau$)				
	$n \rightarrow \nu_x \bar{\nu}_x \bar{\nu}_y$ ($x, y = e, \mu, \tau$)				
	$n \rightarrow \ell_x^+ \ell_x^- \nu_y$ ($xy = e\mu, e\tau, \mu e, \mu\tau$)		—		
	$n \rightarrow \ell_x^+ \ell_y^- \nu_x$ ($xy = e\mu, \mu e$)				
	$p \rightarrow \ell_x^+ \nu_x \nu_y$ ($xy = ee, \mu\mu, e\mu, e\tau, \mu e, \mu\tau$)		—		
	$n \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_x \nu_x \nu_y$ ($x/y = e, \mu, \tau$)				
$\Delta(B+L)=0$	$n \rightarrow \ell_x^+ \ell_x^- \nu_x$ ($x = e, \mu$)		—		
	$n \rightarrow \ell_x^+ \ell_y^- \nu_x$ ($xy = e\mu, \mu e$)				
	$p \rightarrow \ell_x^+ \nu_x \nu_y$ ($xy = ee, \mu\mu, e\mu, e\tau, \mu e, \mu\tau$)		—		
	$n \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_x \nu_x \nu_y$ ($x/y = e, \mu, \tau$)				

TABLE III. Diagrams showing leading-order noncontact contributions to nucleon triple-lepton decays. The cyan blobs denote the BNV vertices, while the purple squares and black (hollow) dots indicate the SM strong and weak charged- (neutral-)current vertices, respectively.

charged-current weak interactions involving mesons and baryons in Eqs. (C5) to (C7), we can construct all possible leading-order Feynman diagrams for each process. Table III summarizes the diagrams induced by the dim-6 BNV operators for the seven classes of $\Delta F_L = 1$ processes listed in Table I. The crossed diagrams for identical final-state particles are not shown for simplicity. These diagrams can be categorized into three types: photon-mediated diagrams, pseudoscalar-meson-mediated diagrams (shown in the last two columns), and diagrams involving weak four-fermion interactions. The meson-mediated diagrams with a gray background vanish in the limit of $m_\nu \rightarrow 0$, while the diagrams involving weak

charged-current four-fermion vertices shown in gray, as well as the meson-mediated diagrams with their intermediate particles highlighted in gray, are subdominant compared to the other retained diagrams in the presence of the same dim-6 BNV vertices. Therefore, we omit the diagrams related to gray in the calculations and only keep the dominant contributions from each relevant operator in every process.

It should be noticed that diagrams mediated by pseudoscalar mesons can also be replaced by octet vector mesons such as ω, ρ, K^* . However, for a given dim-6 BNV operator, these contributions are suppressed compared to other diagrams involving the same operator shown in

Table III. This suppression arises mainly from three factors. First, vector mesons have relatively larger masses and widths, which leads to suppression through propagator effects. Second, their couplings to charged lepton currents are extremely small, as evidenced by the much smaller branching ratios of their leptonic decays, as shown in the last two columns of Table VII in Section D. Third, the ρ^0 - and ω -mediated diagrams involve the same BNV operators as the photon-mediated diagrams. Due to their small leptonic branching ratios, the former contributions are negligible compared to the latter.

In the following, we provide a detailed discussion of the leading contributions to the processes in each class, along with the corresponding BNV operators that induce them. We start with the $\Delta(B-L)=0$ sector.

$p \rightarrow \ell^- \ell^+ \ell^+$ class: For proton decays into three charged leptons, there are four $\Delta F_L = 1$ processes: $p \rightarrow e^- e^+ e^+$, $p \rightarrow \mu^- \mu^+ \mu^+$, $p \rightarrow e^- e^+ \mu^+$, and $p \rightarrow \mu^- \mu^+ e^+$. They are induced by the dim-6 operators $\mathcal{O}_{\ell u u d}^{XY, e(\mu)}$ via photon-mediated and π^0, η -mediated diagrams, and by the operators $\mathcal{O}_{\ell u s u}^{XY, e(\mu)}$ through K^0 -mediated diagrams. The generic chirality labels $X, Y = L, R$ are used throughout our paper. Among these contributions, those mediated by π^0 and η are suppressed relative to the photon-mediated ones. Contributions from K^0 -mediated diagrams are kept because they involve distinct operators.

$n \rightarrow \ell^- \ell^+ \bar{\nu}$ class: These processes can be divided into three groups based on their lepton flavor combinations: $n \rightarrow \ell_x^- \ell_y^+ \bar{\nu}_{y \neq x}$, $n \rightarrow \ell_x^- \ell_y^+ \bar{\nu}_x$, and $n \rightarrow \ell_x^- \ell_x^+ \bar{\nu}_x$. For the first group, $n \rightarrow \ell_x^- \ell_y^+ \bar{\nu}_{y \neq x}$, the dominant contributions arise from the photon-mediated diagrams involving the operators $\mathcal{O}_{\nu d u d}^{LR(LL), y}$, as well as from the K^0 -mediated diagrams associated with the operators $\mathcal{O}_{\nu u d s}^{LR, y}, \mathcal{O}_{\nu d s u}^{LR(LL), y}, \mathcal{O}_{\nu s u d}^{LR(LL), y}$. In the second group, $n \rightarrow \ell_x^- \ell_y^+ \bar{\nu}_x$, the leading contributions come from the diagrams with a four-lepton vertex via the operators $\mathcal{O}_{\nu d u d}^{LR(LL), y}$ as well as from the $\pi^\pm$ -mediated diagrams due to the operators $\mathcal{O}_{\ell u u d}^{XY, y}$. Finally, for the third group, which includes the processes $n \rightarrow e^- e^+ \bar{\nu}_e$ and $n \rightarrow \mu^- \mu^+ \bar{\nu}_\mu$, the dominant contributions are a combination of those described in the first two groups.

$p \rightarrow \ell^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$ class: Similarly to the $n \rightarrow \ell^- \ell^+ \bar{\nu}$ class, the processes in this class are categorized into three groups: $p \rightarrow \nu_x \ell_{y \neq x}^+ \bar{\nu}_x$, $p \rightarrow \nu_x \ell_x^+ \bar{\nu}_{y \neq x}$, and $p \rightarrow \nu_x \ell_x^+ \bar{\nu}_x$. In the first group, the four relevant processes ($p \rightarrow e^+ \nu_\mu \bar{\nu}_\mu$, $p \rightarrow e^+ \nu_\tau \bar{\nu}_\tau$, $p \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_e \bar{\nu}_e$, $p \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\tau \bar{\nu}_\tau$) are uniquely induced by the operators $\mathcal{O}_{\ell u u d}^{XY, y}$. For the second group, the processes can be generated both by the operators $\mathcal{O}_{\ell u u d}^{XY, y}$ through the four-lepton vertex diagrams and by the operators $\mathcal{O}_{\nu u d s}^{LR, y}, \mathcal{O}_{\nu d s u}^{LR(LL), y}, \mathcal{O}_{\nu s u d}^{LR(LL), y}$ ($\mathcal{O}_{\nu d u d}^{LR(LL), y}$) via the $K^\pm(\pi^\pm)$ -mediated diagrams. Finally, the two processes in the third group, $p \rightarrow e^+ \nu_e \bar{\nu}_e$ and $p \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu \bar{\nu}_\mu$, receive combined contributions from both the first and second groups.

$n \rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu} \bar{\nu}$ class: The processes in this group are uniquely

induced by the operators $\mathcal{O}_{\nu d u d}^{LR(LL), y}$ through diagrams that involve weak neutral-current four-fermion vertices from both neutrino-neutron and neutrino-neutrino interactions.

In the $\Delta(B+L)=0$ sector, the leading-order diagrams for the decay $n \rightarrow \ell^- \ell^+ \nu$ closely resemble those for $n \rightarrow \ell^- \ell^+ \bar{\nu}$, with the difference being the BNV operators involved. Specifically, the photon-mediated diagrams involve the operators $\mathcal{O}_{\nu d u d}^{RL(RR), y}$, while the K^0 - and $K^\pm$ -mediated diagrams are induced by $\mathcal{O}_{\nu u d s}^{RL, y}, \mathcal{O}_{\nu d s u}^{RL(RR), y}, \mathcal{O}_{\nu s u d}^{RL(RR), y}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\ell d d s}^{XY, y}$, respectively. For the decay $p \rightarrow \ell^+ \nu \nu$, the dominant contributions arise from the $\pi^\pm$ - and $K^\pm$ -mediated diagrams induced by the same set of operators as in $n \rightarrow \ell^- \ell^+ \nu$ excluding $\mathcal{O}_{\ell d d s}^{XY, y}$. Lastly, the processes in the class $n \rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu} \bar{\nu}$ are uniquely generated by the operators $\mathcal{O}_{\nu d u d}^{RL(RR), y}$.

IV. RESULTS

Having identified the leading-order diagrams and the relevant BNV operators in the previous section, we now proceed to calculate their decay widths and derive new robust bounds on their occurrence. For a generic nucleon triple-lepton decay mode, $N(p) \rightarrow l_1(p_1) l_2(p_2) l_3(p_3)$, the decay amplitude associated with the retained diagrams in Table III can be directly written down using the vertices provided in Sections B and C. The corresponding decay width is then calculated as

$$\Gamma = \frac{1}{S!} \frac{1}{256\pi^3} \frac{1}{m_N^3} \int ds \int_{t_-}^{t_+} dt |\overline{\mathcal{M}}|^2, \quad (5)$$

where $|\overline{\mathcal{M}}|^2$ denotes the spin-averaged and -summed matrix element squared, and $S(=1, 2)$ is a symmetry factor accounting for identical particles in the final state. The kinematically allowed integration regions are specified by

$$\begin{aligned} (m_1 + m_2)^2 &\leq s \leq (m_N - m_3)^2, \\ t_\pm &= (E_2^* + E_3^*)^2 - \left(\sqrt{E_2^{*2} - m_2^2} \mp \sqrt{E_3^{*2} - m_3^2} \right)^2, \\ E_2^* &= \frac{s - m_1^2 + m_2^2}{2\sqrt{s}}, \quad E_3^* = \frac{m_N^2 - s - m_3^2}{2\sqrt{s}}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Here, $s \equiv (p_1 + p_2)^2$ and $t \equiv (p_2 + p_3)^2$, where p_i represents the four-momentum of the i -th final-state lepton and m_i denotes its mass. In calculating each decay width, interference terms between operators differing in lepton and quark flavor configurations are neglected. However, interference terms between operators sharing the same flavor combinations but differing in chiral structures are retained.

In practice, the purely meson-mediated contributions experience resonance enhancement and can be factorized, using the narrow-width approximation method, into the product of the relevant two-body nucleon decay width and the corresponding meson decay branching ratio, i.e., $\Gamma_{N \rightarrow l_1 l_2 l_3}(C_i) = \Gamma_{N \rightarrow M l_1}(C_i) \mathcal{B}_{M \rightarrow l_2 l_3}$. Here, C_i denote the

$\Delta(B-L)=0$				
Mode	Decay width expression $\Gamma [10^{-9} \text{ GeV}^5]$	Bounds on $\Gamma^{-1} [\text{yr}]$		
		This work	Experiment	Ref. [33]
$p \rightarrow e^- e^+ e^+$	$2.35 (C_{\ell u u d}^{L,e} ^2 + C_{\ell u u d}^{R,e} ^2) - 0.0045 \Re(C_{\ell u u d}^{L,e} C_{\ell u u d}^{R,e*})$ $+ (10^9 \text{ GeV}^{-5}) \Gamma_{p \rightarrow e^+ K^0} (C_{\ell u s u}^{XY,e}) \mathcal{B}_{K^0 \rightarrow e^- e^+} + \dots$	$2.3 \cdot 10^{39} (\dagger)$ $5.7 \cdot 10^{42} (\star)$	$3.4 \cdot 10^{34} [11]$	10^{37}
$p \rightarrow e^- e^+ \mu^+$	$2.28 (C_{\ell u u d}^{L,\mu} ^2 + C_{\ell u u d}^{R,\mu} ^2) - 0.96 \Re(C_{\ell u u d}^{L,\mu} C_{\ell u u d}^{R,\mu*})$ $+ (10^9 \text{ GeV}^{-5}) \Gamma_{p \rightarrow \mu^+ K^0} (C_{\ell u s u}^{XY,\mu}) \mathcal{B}_{K^0 \rightarrow e^- e^+} + \dots$	$1.6 \cdot 10^{39} (\dagger)$ $9.2 \cdot 10^{42} (\star)$	$2.3 \cdot 10^{34} [11]$	
$p \rightarrow \mu^- \mu^+ e^+$	$0.28 (C_{\ell u u d}^{L,e} ^2 + C_{\ell u u d}^{R,e} ^2) - 0.00035 \Re(C_{\ell u u d}^{L,e} C_{\ell u u d}^{R,e*})$ $+ (10^9 \text{ GeV}^{-5}) \Gamma_{p \rightarrow e^+ K^0} (C_{\ell u s u}^{XY,e}) \mathcal{B}_{K^0 \rightarrow \mu^- \mu^+} + \dots$	$1.9 \cdot 10^{40} (\dagger)$ $7.5 \cdot 10^{39} (\star)$	$9.2 \cdot 10^{33} [11]$	
$p \rightarrow \mu^- \mu^+ \mu^+$	$0.31 (C_{\ell u u d}^{L,\mu} ^2 + C_{\ell u u d}^{R,\mu} ^2) - 0.052 \Re(C_{\ell u u d}^{L,\mu} C_{\ell u u d}^{R,\mu*})$ $+ (10^9 \text{ GeV}^{-5}) \Gamma_{p \rightarrow \mu^+ K^0} (C_{\ell u s u}^{XY,\mu}) \mathcal{B}_{K^0 \rightarrow \mu^- \mu^+} + \dots$	$1.1 \cdot 10^{40} (\dagger)$ $1.2 \cdot 10^{40} (\star)$	$1.0 \cdot 10^{34} [11]$	
$n \rightarrow e^- e^+ \bar{\nu}_y$ $\{y = (e), \mu, \tau\}$	$2.62 C_{\nu d u d}^{L,y} ^2$ $+ \delta_{y,e} (10^9 \text{ GeV}^{-5}) \Gamma_{n \rightarrow e^+ \pi^-} (C_{\ell u u d}^{XY,e}) \mathcal{B}_{\pi^- \rightarrow e^- \bar{\nu}_e}$ $+ (10^9 \text{ GeV}^{-5}) \Gamma_{n \rightarrow \nu K^0} (C_{\nu u d s}^{LR,y}, C_{\nu d s u}^{LX,y}, C_{\nu s u d}^{LX,y}) \mathcal{B}_{K^0 \rightarrow e^- e^+} + \dots$	$9.3 \cdot 10^{37} (\dagger)$ $(4.3) \cdot 10^{37} (\star)$ $1.2 \cdot 10^{46} (\star)$	$2.57 \cdot 10^{32} [9]$	10^{36}
$n \rightarrow \mu^- \mu^+ \bar{\nu}_y$ $\{y = e, (\mu), \tau\}$	$0.32 C_{\nu d u d}^{L,y} ^2$ $+ \delta_{y,\mu} (10^9 \text{ GeV}^{-5}) \Gamma_{n \rightarrow \mu^+ \pi^-} (C_{\ell u u d}^{XY,\mu}) \mathcal{B}_{\pi^- \rightarrow \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu}$ $+ (10^9 \text{ GeV}^{-5}) \Gamma_{n \rightarrow \nu K^0} (C_{\nu u d s}^{LR,y}, C_{\nu d s u}^{LX,y}, C_{\nu s u d}^{LX,y}) \mathcal{B}_{K^0 \rightarrow \mu^- \mu^+} + \dots$	$7.6 \cdot 10^{38} (\dagger)$ $(3.5) \cdot 10^{33} (\star)$ $5.1 \cdot 10^{43} (\star)$	$7.9 \cdot 10^{31} [9]$	
$n \rightarrow e^- \mu^+ \bar{\nu}_e$	$271.7 \times 10^{-11} C_{\nu d u d}^{L,\mu} ^2$ $+ (10^9 \text{ GeV}^{-5}) \Gamma_{n \rightarrow \mu^+ \pi^-} (C_{\ell u u d}^{XY,\mu}) \mathcal{B}_{\pi^- \rightarrow e^- \bar{\nu}_e} + \dots$	$9.0 \cdot 10^{46} (\diamond)$ $2.8 \cdot 10^{37} (\star)$	$8.3 \cdot 10^{31} [9]$	10^{44}
$n \rightarrow \mu^- e^+ \bar{\nu}_\mu$	$271.7 \times 10^{-11} C_{\nu d u d}^{L,e} ^2$ $+ (10^9 \text{ GeV}^{-5}) \Gamma_{n \rightarrow e^+ \pi^-} (C_{\ell u u d}^{XY,e}) \mathcal{B}_{\pi^- \rightarrow \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu} + \dots$	$9.0 \cdot 10^{46} (\diamond)$ $5.3 \cdot 10^{33} (\star)$	$0.6 \cdot 10^{30} [34]$	—
$p \rightarrow e^+ \nu_y \bar{\nu}_y$ $\{y = \mu, \tau\}$	$10^{-11} [98.04 (C_{\ell u u d}^{L,e} ^2 + C_{\ell u u d}^{R,e} ^2) - 0.43 \Re(C_{\ell u u d}^{L,e} C_{\ell u u d}^{R,e*})]$	$5.5 \cdot 10^{48} (\diamond)$	$1.7 \cdot 10^{32} [10]$	—
$p \rightarrow e^+ \nu_e \bar{\nu}_y$ $\{y = e, (\mu), [\tau]\}$	$10^{-11} [738(306)[44.6] C_{\ell u u d}^{L,y} ^2$ $+ 98(3.9)[160] C_{\ell u u d}^{R,y} ^2 - 1.5(69)[169] \Re(C_{\ell u u d}^{L,y} C_{\ell u u d}^{R,y*})]$ $+ (10^9 \text{ GeV}^{-5}) \Gamma_{p \rightarrow \nu_y \pi^+} (C_{\nu d u d}^{LX,y}) \mathcal{B}_{\pi^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu_e}$ $+ (10^9 \text{ GeV}^{-5}) \Gamma_{p \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_y K^+} (C_{\nu u d s}^{LR,y}, C_{\nu d s u}^{LX,y}, C_{\nu s u d}^{LX,y}) \mathcal{B}_{K^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu_e} + \dots$	$7.3(12)[-] \cdot 10^{47} (\diamond)$ $5.5(91)[-] \cdot 10^{48} (\diamond)$ $3.2 \cdot 10^{36} (\star)$ $3.7 \cdot 10^{38} (\star)$		10^{45}
$p \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_y \bar{\nu}_y$ $\{y = e, \tau\}$	$10^{-11} [96.34 (C_{\ell u u d}^{L,\mu} ^2 + C_{\ell u u d}^{R,\mu} ^2) - 76.66 \Re(C_{\ell u u d}^{L,\mu} C_{\ell u u d}^{R,\mu*})]$	$3.7 \cdot 10^{48} (\diamond)$		
$p \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_e \bar{\nu}_y$ $\{y = e, (\mu), [\tau]\}$	$10^{-11} [270.5(699)[40.4] C_{\ell u u d}^{L,y} ^2$ $+ 8 \cdot 10^{-5}(111)[145] C_{\ell u u d}^{R,y} ^2 - 0.3(273)[153] \Re(C_{\ell u u d}^{L,y} C_{\ell u u d}^{R,y*})]$ $+ (10^9 \text{ GeV}^{-5}) \Gamma_{p \rightarrow \nu_y \pi^+} (C_{\nu d u d}^{LX,y}) \mathcal{B}_{\pi^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu}$ $+ (10^9 \text{ GeV}^{-5}) \Gamma_{p \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_y K^+} (C_{\nu u d s}^{LR,y}, C_{\nu d s u}^{LX,y}, C_{\nu s u d}^{LX,y}) \mathcal{B}_{K^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu} + \dots$	$20(5.1)[-] \cdot 10^{47} (\diamond)$ $67(32)[-] \cdot 10^{53(47)} (\diamond)$ $3.9 \cdot 10^{32} (\star)$ $9.3 \cdot 10^{33} (\star)$	$2.2 \cdot 10^{32} [10]$	10^{45}
$n \rightarrow \nu_x \bar{\nu}_x \bar{\nu}_y$ $\{x, y = e, \mu, \tau\}$	$92.8(185.6) \cdot 10^{-11} C_{\nu d u d}^{L,y} ^2$ for $x \neq y (x = y)$	$2.6(1.3) \cdot 10^{47} (\diamond)$	$0.9 \cdot 10^{30} [13]$	

TABLE IV. Summary of the nucleon triple-lepton decay widths (in the second column) induced by dim-6 BNV operators in the LEFT, together with the newly derived lower bounds on their partial lifetimes (in the third column). The dependence on WCs of the two-body decay widths is explicitly indicated in brackets. The ellipses denote interference terms between operators with different field configurations. The values marked with a dagger ($\dagger$), a diamond ($\diamond$), and a star ($\star$ / $\star/\star$) represent the bounds resulting from the photon-mediated, four-fermion-involved, and meson-mediated contributions, respectively. For comparison, the fourth column presents the experimental bounds, and the last column is the estimates based on phase space difference and counting of SM couplings between two- and three-body decays in [33].

WCs of the corresponding dim-6 BNV operators that induce both the two- and three-body decays. As we will discuss below, these resonance effects are crucial for correctly deriving robust bounds on these nucleon triple-lepton decay modes.

We formulate the decay widths in terms of the WCs of the relevant dim-6 BNV operators. To perform the numerical integration over the phase space, we use the central values of SM parameters from the latest PDG [14], and the relevant hadronic LECs appearing in Eqs. (C3) and (4) are taken from [32, 35]. The branching ratios for the two-body leptonic decays of the mesons associated with the meson-mediated diagrams are summa-

rized in Table VII. Our key results for the final decay widths are summarized in the second column of Table IV and Table V, corresponding to the $\Delta(B-L)=0$ and $\Delta(B+L)=0$ sectors, respectively. Contributions from photon-mediated diagrams and those involving four-fermion vertices are directly parametrized by the relevant WCs, whereas meson-mediated contributions are expressed as the product of the two-body nucleon decay widths and the corresponding meson leptonic decay branching ratios. For the former, the following combinations of WCs are adopted

$$C_{\ell u u d}^{L,x} \equiv C_{\ell u u d}^{LR,x} + \kappa C_{\ell u u d}^{LL,x}, \quad C_{\nu d u d}^{L,x} \equiv C_{\nu d u d}^{LR,x} + \kappa C_{\nu d u d}^{LL,x}, \quad (7)$$

$\Delta(B+L) = 0$			
Mode	Decay width expression $\Gamma [10^{-9} \text{ GeV}^5]$	Bounds on $\Gamma^{-1} [\text{yr}]$	
		This work	Experiment
$n \rightarrow e^- e^+ \nu_y$ $\{y = (e), \mu, \tau\}$	$2.62 C_{\bar{\nu} d u d}^{\text{R}, y} ^2$ $+ \delta_{y,e} (10^9 \text{ GeV}^{-5}) \Gamma_{n \rightarrow e^- K^+} (C_{\bar{\ell} d d s}^{\text{XY}, e}) \mathcal{B}_{K^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu_e}$ $+ (10^9 \text{ GeV}^{-5}) \Gamma_{n \rightarrow \nu K^0} (C_{\nu u d s}^{\text{RL}, y}, C_{\nu d s u}^{\text{RX}, y}, C_{\nu s u d}^{\text{RX}, y}) \mathcal{B}_{K^0 \rightarrow e^- e^+} + \dots$	$9.3 \cdot 10^{37} (\dagger)$ $(2.0) \cdot 10^{36} (\star)$ $1.2 \cdot 10^{46} (\star)$	$2.57 \cdot 10^{32} [9]$
$n \rightarrow \mu^- \mu^+ \nu_y$ $\{y = e, (\mu), \tau\}$	$0.32 C_{\bar{\nu} d u d}^{\text{R}, y} ^2$ $+ \delta_{y,\mu} (10^9 \text{ GeV}^{-5}) \Gamma_{n \rightarrow \mu^- K^+} (C_{\bar{\ell} d d s}^{\text{XY}, \mu}) \mathcal{B}_{K^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu}$ $+ (10^9 \text{ GeV}^{-5}) \Gamma_{n \rightarrow \nu K^0} (C_{\nu u d s}^{\text{RL}, y}, C_{\nu d s u}^{\text{RX}, y}, C_{\nu s u d}^{\text{RX}, y}) \mathcal{B}_{K^0 \rightarrow \mu^- \mu^+} + \dots$	$7.6 \cdot 10^{38} (\dagger)$ $(9.0) \cdot 10^{31} (\star)$ $5.1 \cdot 10^{43} (\star)$	$7.9 \cdot 10^{31} [9]$
$n \rightarrow e^- \mu^+ \nu_\mu$	$271.7 \times 10^{-11} C_{\bar{\nu} d u d}^{\text{R}, e} ^2$ $+ (10^9 \text{ GeV}^{-5}) \Gamma_{n \rightarrow e^- K^+} (C_{\bar{\ell} d d s}^{\text{XY}, e}) \mathcal{B}_{K^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu} + \dots$	$9.0 \cdot 10^{46} (\diamond)$ $5.0 \cdot 10^{31} (\star)$	$8.3 \cdot 10^{31} [9]$
$n \rightarrow \mu^- e^+ \nu_e$	$271.7 \times 10^{-11} C_{\bar{\nu} d u d}^{\text{R}, \mu} ^2$ $+ (10^9 \text{ GeV}^{-5}) \Gamma_{n \rightarrow \mu^- K^+} (C_{\bar{\ell} d d s}^{\text{XY}, \mu}) \mathcal{B}_{K^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu_e} + \dots$	$9.0 \cdot 10^{46} (\diamond)$ $3.6 \cdot 10^{36} (\star)$	$0.6 \cdot 10^{30} [34]$
$p \rightarrow e^+ \nu_e \nu_y$ $\{y = e, \mu, \tau\}$	$(10^9 \text{ GeV}^{-5}) \Gamma_{p \rightarrow \nu_y \pi^+} (C_{\bar{\nu} d u d}^{\text{RX}, y}) \mathcal{B}_{\pi^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu_e}$ $+ (10^9 \text{ GeV}^{-5}) \Gamma_{p \rightarrow \nu_y K^+} (C_{\bar{\nu} u d s}^{\text{RL}, y}, C_{\bar{\nu} d s u}^{\text{RX}, y}, C_{\bar{\nu} s u d}^{\text{RX}, y}) \mathcal{B}_{K^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu_e} + \dots$	$3.2 \cdot 10^{36} (\star)$ $3.7 \cdot 10^{38} (\star)$	$1.7 \cdot 10^{32} [10]$
$p \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu \nu_y$ $\{y = e, \mu, \tau\}$	$(10^9 \text{ GeV}^{-5}) \Gamma_{p \rightarrow \nu_y \pi^+} (C_{\bar{\nu} d u d}^{\text{RX}, y}) \mathcal{B}_{\pi^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu}$ $+ (10^9 \text{ GeV}^{-5}) \Gamma_{p \rightarrow \nu_y K^+} (C_{\bar{\nu} u d s}^{\text{RL}, y}, C_{\bar{\nu} d s u}^{\text{RX}, y}, C_{\bar{\nu} s u d}^{\text{RX}, y}) \mathcal{B}_{K^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu} + \dots$	$3.9 \cdot 10^{32} (\star)$ $9.3 \cdot 10^{33} (\star)$	$2.2 \cdot 10^{32} [10]$
$n \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_x \nu_x \nu_y$ $\{x, y = e, \mu, \tau\}$	$334.4(668.8) \cdot 10^{-11} C_{\bar{\nu} d u d}^{\text{R}, y} ^2$ for $x \neq y (x = y)$	$7.3(3.7) \cdot 10^{46} (\diamond)$	$0.9 \cdot 10^{30} [13]$

TABLE V. Same as Table V but for the $\Delta(B+L) = 0$ sector.

together with their chirality partners obtained by $L \leftrightarrow R$ (and $\nu \leftrightarrow \bar{\nu}$). Here, $\kappa \equiv c_2/c_1 \approx -1.0096$. For the latter, the analytical expressions of the relevant two-body nucleon decays can be found in the previous paper [27].

Using the current experimental bounds on Γ^{-1} s of the two-body nucleon decays and the meson leptonic decay branching ratios listed in Table VII, together with the constraints on the WCs of the relevant dim-6 operators presented in Table S4 of the Supplemental Material of Ref. [27], we derive new robust lower bounds on these $\Delta F_L = 1$ nucleon decays into three leptons. Our final results are shown in the third column of Tables IV and V. The dependence of the derived bounds on the WCs of dim-6 operators is explicitly indicated in the tables. Note that for resonance contributions involving K^0 , the meson decay branching ratios are given in terms of their mass eigenstates, K_L and K_S . Therefore, we use the experimental limits on nucleon decays involving $K_{L,S}$ to obtain conservative estimates.

It can be seen that our derived bounds on Γ^{-1} from photon-mediated contributions are on the order of $\mathcal{O}(10^{38-40} \text{ yr})$ (labeled by $\dagger$), while those involving weak four-fermion vertices are typically around $\mathcal{O}(10^{46-49} \text{ yr})$ (labeled by $\diamond$). However, the bounds on decay modes involving muon-flavor lepton pairs $\mu^+ \nu_\mu$ and $\mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu$ from the $\pi^\pm$ - and $K^\pm$ -mediated diagrams are approximately $\mathcal{O}(10^{32-34} \text{ yr})$ (labeled by $\star$), significantly weaker than others due to their large branching ratios. The bounds on decay modes involving electron-flavor lepton pairs $e^+ \nu_e$ and $e^- \bar{\nu}_e$ from the same $\pi^\pm$ - and $K^\pm$ -mediated diagrams are around $\mathcal{O}(10^{36-38} \text{ yr})$ (labeled by $\star$), as a result of their much smaller branching ratios. Finally, the bounds from K^0 -mediated diagrams lie in the range of $\mathcal{O}(10^{40-46} \text{ yr})$ (labeled by $\star$), owing to their extremely

small branching ratios.

Finally, we make a few remarks on our results. First, Ref. [33] made an estimate of triple-lepton decay widths from two-body nucleon decays by attaching electroweak couplings and considering differences in two- and three-body phase spaces while treating decay amplitudes essentially as a constant. Its numbers are shown in the last column of Table IV. In contrast, our results exhibit strong dependence on specific operators under consideration, with values varying by several orders of magnitude. In particular, the resonance effects in the meson-mediated contributions were totally neglected in [33]. Second, from the last three columns of Table IV, we find that our bounds on the four decay modes of the proton into three charged leptons are at least 5 orders of magnitude more stringent than the current experimental limits. Moreover, these bounds improve upon previous estimates by 2 to 3 orders of magnitude. Lastly, for the remaining nucleon decay modes that do not involve a $\mu^+ \nu_\mu$ or $\mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu$ pair, our results surpass the existing experimental bounds by 4 to 17 orders of magnitude, depending on the processes and dim-6 operators under consideration. For decay modes involving a $\mu^+ \nu_\mu$ or $\mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu$ pair, our derived bounds are comparable to their experimental limits.

V. SUMMARY

We have systematically investigated the noncontact contributions to the $\Delta F_L = 1$ nucleon triple-lepton decays induced by dim-6 BNV operators through SM mediators. Employing chiral perturbation theory, we collected at leading order all relevant hadronic-level interactions responsible for these decay modes. For each decay mode,

we analyzed the leading-order Feynman diagrams that are induced by various dim-6 BNV operators involving light u, d, s quarks and calculated its decay width. By combining experimental limits on nucleon two-body decays with existing bounds on these operators from the literature, we established new stringent lower limits on the partial lifetimes of the triple-lepton decay modes. The formulas for the decay widths and our new bounds are summarized in Tables IV and V.

Our results indicate that the meson-mediated diagrams involving a $\mu^+\nu_\mu$ or $\mu^-\bar{\nu}_\mu$ pair can be enhanced by resonance effects, leading to lifetime bounds from certain operators that are comparable to the current experimental limits. In contrast, the photon-mediated diagrams, the diagrams involving weak four-fermion interactions, and other meson-mediated contributions yield bounds

that surpass existing experimental constraints by a factor ranging from $\mathcal{O}(10^4)$ to $\mathcal{O}(10^{17})$, depending on the specific mode and operators involved. These findings imply that noncontact contributions to nucleon triple-lepton decays induced by dim-6 operators are generally small, except for a few modes where resonance effects play a significant role. In light of this, we will consider the contact contributions from dim-9 operators in a forthcoming paper [21].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Grants No.NSFC-12035008 and No.NSFC-12305110.

-
- [1] J. C. Pati and A. Salam, “Lepton Number as the Fourth Color,” *Phys. Rev. D* **10** (1974) 275–289. [Erratum: *Phys.Rev.D* **11**, 703–703 (1975)].
 - [2] J. C. Pati and A. Salam, “Unified Lepton-Hadron Symmetry and a Gauge Theory of the Basic Interactions,” *Phys. Rev. D* **8** (1973) 1240–1251.
 - [3] H. Georgi and S. L. Glashow, “Unity of All Elementary Particle Forces,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **32** (1974) 438–441.
 - [4] S. Weinberg, “Baryon and Lepton Nonconserving Processes,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **43** (1979) 1566–1570.
 - [5] F. Wilczek and A. Zee, “Operator Analysis of Nucleon Decay,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **43** (1979) 1571–1573.
 - [6] S. Weinberg, “Varieties of Baryon and Lepton Nonconservation,” *Phys. Rev. D* **22** (1980) 1694.
 - [7] P. Nath and P. Fileviez Perez, “Proton stability in grand unified theories, in strings and in branes,” *Phys. Rept.* **441** (2007) 191–317, [[arXiv:hep-ph/0601023](#)].
 - [8] Irvine-Michigan-Brookhaven Collaboration, R. M. Bionta *et al.*, “A Search for Proton Decay Into $e^+ \pi^0$,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **51** (1983) 27. [Erratum: *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **51**, 522 (1983)].
 - [9] C. McGrew *et al.*, “Search for nucleon decay using the IMB-3 detector,” *Phys. Rev. D* **59** (1999) 052004.
 - [10] Super-Kamiokande Collaboration, V. Takhistov *et al.*, “Search for Trilepton Nucleon Decay via $p \rightarrow e^+ \nu \nu$ and $p \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu \nu$ in the Super-Kamiokande Experiment,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113** no. 10, (2014) 101801, [[arXiv:1409.1947](#) [hep-ex]].
 - [11] Super-Kamiokande Collaboration, M. Tanaka *et al.*, “Search for proton decay into three charged leptons in 0.37 megaton-years exposure of the Super-Kamiokande,” *Phys. Rev. D* **101** no. 5, (2020) 052011, [[arXiv:2001.08011](#) [hep-ex]].
 - [12] SNO+ Collaboration, M. Anderson *et al.*, “Search for invisible modes of nucleon decay in water with the SNO+ detector,” *Phys. Rev. D* **99** no. 3, (2019) 032008, [[arXiv:1812.05552](#) [hep-ex]].
 - [13] SNO+ Collaboration, A. Allega *et al.*, “Improved search for invisible modes of nucleon decay in water with the SNO+ detector,” *Phys. Rev. D* **105** no. 11, (2022) 112012, [[arXiv:2205.06400](#) [hep-ex]].
 - [14] Particle Data Group Collaboration, S. Navas *et al.*, “Review of particle physics,” *Phys. Rev. D* **110** no. 3, (2024) 030001.
 - [15] JUNO Collaboration, F. An *et al.*, “Neutrino Physics with JUNO,” *J. Phys. G* **43** no. 3, (2016) 030401, [[arXiv:1507.05613](#) [physics.ins-det]].
 - [16] Hyper-Kamiokande Collaboration, K. Abe *et al.*, “Hyper-Kamiokande Design Report,” [[arXiv:1805.04163](#) [physics.ins-det]].
 - [17] DUNE Collaboration, B. Abi *et al.*, “Deep Underground Neutrino Experiment (DUNE), Far Detector Technical Design Report, Volume II: DUNE Physics,” [[arXiv:2002.03005](#) [hep-ex]].
 - [18] Theia Collaboration, M. Askins *et al.*, “THEIA: an advanced optical neutrino detector,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80** no. 5, (2020) 416, [[arXiv:1911.03501](#) [physics.ins-det]].
 - [19] E. E. Jenkins, A. V. Manohar, and P. Stoffer, “Low-Energy Effective Field Theory below the Electroweak Scale: Operators and Matching,” *JHEP* **03** (2018) 016, [[arXiv:1709.04486](#) [hep-ph]]. [Erratum: *JHEP* **12**, 043 (2023)].
 - [20] Y. Liao, X.-D. Ma, and Q.-Y. Wang, “Extending low energy effective field theory with a complete set of dimension-7 operators,” *JHEP* **08** (2020) 162, [[arXiv:2005.08013](#) [hep-ph]].
 - [21] Y. Liao, X.-D. Ma, and X. Zhao, “Nucleon decays into three leptons: contact contributions.” (in preparation).
 - [22] L. F. Abbott and M. B. Wise, “The Effective Hamiltonian for Nucleon Decay,” *Phys. Rev. D* **22** (1980) 2208.
 - [23] M. Claudson, M. B. Wise, and L. J. Hall, “Chiral Lagrangian for Deep Mine Physics,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **195** (1982) 297–307.
 - [24] A. B. Beneito, I. J. Gargalionis, J. Herrero-Garcia, A. Santamaria, and M. A. Schmidt, “An EFT approach to baryon number violation: lower limits on the new physics scale and correlations between nucleon decay modes,” *JHEP* **07** (2024) 004, [[arXiv:2312.13361](#) [hep-ph]].
 - [25] J. Gargalionis, J. Herrero-García, and M. A. Schmidt, “Model-independent estimates for loop-induced baryon-number-violating nucleon decays,” *JHEP* **06**

- (2024) 182, [arXiv:2401.04768 [hep-ph]].
- [26] Y. Liao, X.-D. Ma, and H.-L. Wang, “New Chiral Structures for Baryon Number Violating Nucleon Decays,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **135** no. 16, (2025) 161801, [arXiv:2504.14855 [hep-ph]].
- [27] Y. Liao, X.-D. Ma, and H.-L. Wang, “Chiral perturbation theory for baryon-number-violating nucleon decay into a vector meson,” *Phys. Rev. D* **112** no. 3, (2025) L031704, [arXiv:2506.05052 [hep-ph]].
- [28] E. E. Jenkins and A. V. Manohar, “Baryon chiral perturbation theory using a heavy fermion Lagrangian,” *Phys. Lett. B* **255** (1991) 558–562.
- [29] J. Bijnens, H. Sonoda, and M. B. Wise, “On the Validity of Chiral Perturbation Theory for Weak Hyperon Decays,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **261** (1985) 185–198.
- [30] J. A. Oller, M. Verbeni, and J. Prades, “Meson-baryon effective chiral lagrangians to $O(q^2)$,” *JHEP* **09** (2006) 079, [arXiv:hep-ph/0608204].
- [31] W.-Q. Fan, Y. Liao, X.-D. Ma, and H.-L. Wang, “Baryon number violating hydrogen decay,” *Phys. Lett. B* **862** (2025) 139335, [arXiv:2412.20774 [hep-ph]].
- [32] J.-S. Yoo, Y. Aoki, P. Boyle, T. Izubuchi, A. Soni, and S. Syritsyn, “Proton decay matrix elements on the lattice at physical pion mass,” *Phys. Rev. D* **105** no. 7, (2022) 074501, [arXiv:2111.01608 [hep-lat]].
- [33] S. Girmohanta and R. Shrock, “Improved Lower Bounds on Partial Lifetimes for Nucleon Decay Modes,” *Phys. Rev. D* **100** no. 11, (2019) 115025, [arXiv:1910.08106 [hep-ph]].
- [34] J. Learned, F. Reines, and A. Soni, “Limits on Nonconservation of Baryon Number,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **43** (1979) 907. [Erratum: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **43**, 1626 (1979)].
- [35] RQCD Collaboration, G. S. Bali, S. Collins, W. Söldner, and S. Weishäupl, “Leading order mesonic and baryonic $SU(3)$ low energy constants from $N_f=3$ lattice QCD,” *Phys. Rev. D* **105** no. 5, (2022) 054516, [arXiv:2201.05591 [hep-lat]].
- [36] G. Ecker and A. Pich, “The Longitudinal muon polarization in $K(L) \rightarrow \mu\mu + \mu\mu$,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **366** (1991) 189–205.
- [37] Y. Yang, Z. Li, K. Li, J. Huang, and J. Sun, “Purely leptonic decays of the ground charged vector mesons,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81** no. 12, (2021) 1110, [arXiv:2109.05650 [hep-ph]].

Appendix A: Summary of SM weak four-fermion interactions

Many triple-lepton decay modes of the nucleon involve the SM leptonic and semi-leptonic weak interactions. In the semi-leptonic interactions, only the u, d, s quarks are relevant here. These interactions are summarized in Table VI. Note that the neutral current (NC) and charged current (CC) contributions to the left-handed charged lepton and neutrino interactions are explicitly separated.

	Notation	Operator	Matching coefficient
Semileptonic	$\mathcal{O}_{uv}^{LL}$	$(\bar{u}_L \gamma_\mu u_L)(\bar{\nu}_L \gamma^\mu \nu_L)$	$-4\sqrt{2}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{3}s_W^2)(\frac{1}{2})G_F$
	$\mathcal{O}_{ul}^{LL}$	$(\bar{u}_L \gamma_\mu u_L)(\bar{\ell}_L \gamma^\mu \ell_L)$	$-4\sqrt{2}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{3}s_W^2)(-\frac{1}{2} + s_W^2)G_F$
	$\mathcal{O}_{ul}^{LR}$	$(\bar{u}_L \gamma^\mu u_L)(\bar{\ell}_R \gamma_\mu \ell_R)$	$-4\sqrt{2}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{3}s_W^2)(s_W^2)G_F$
	$\mathcal{O}_{uv}^{RL}$	$(\bar{u}_R \gamma_\mu u_R)(\bar{\nu}_L \gamma^\mu \nu_L)$	$-4\sqrt{2}(-\frac{2}{3}s_W^2)(\frac{1}{2})G_F$
	$\mathcal{O}_{ul}^{RL}$	$(\bar{u}_R \gamma_\mu u_R)(\bar{\ell}_L \gamma^\mu \ell_L)$	$-4\sqrt{2}(-\frac{2}{3}s_W^2)(-\frac{1}{2} + s_W^2)G_F$
	$\mathcal{O}_{ul}^{RR}$	$(\bar{u}_R \gamma_\mu u_R)(\bar{\ell}_R \gamma^\mu \ell_R)$	$-4\sqrt{2}(-\frac{2}{3}s_W^2)(s_W^2)G_F$
	$\mathcal{O}_{dv}^{LL}$	$(\bar{d}_L \gamma_\mu d_L)(\bar{\nu}_L \gamma^\mu \nu_L)$	$-4\sqrt{2}(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3}s_W^2)(\frac{1}{2})G_F$
	$\mathcal{O}_{dl}^{LL}$	$(\bar{d}_L \gamma_\mu d_L)(\bar{\ell}_L \gamma^\mu \ell_L)$	$-4\sqrt{2}(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3}s_W^2)(-\frac{1}{2} + s_W^2)G_F$
	$\mathcal{O}_{dl}^{LR}$	$(\bar{d}_L \gamma^\mu d_L)(\bar{\ell}_R \gamma_\mu \ell_R)$	$-4\sqrt{2}(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3}s_W^2)(s_W^2)G_F$
	$\mathcal{O}_{dv}^{RL}$	$(\bar{d}_R \gamma_\mu d_R)(\bar{\nu}_L \gamma^\mu \nu_L)$	$-4\sqrt{2}(\frac{1}{3}s_W^2)(\frac{1}{2})G_F$
	$\mathcal{O}_{dl}^{RL}$	$(\bar{d}_R \gamma_\mu d_R)(\bar{\ell}_L \gamma^\mu \ell_L)$	$-4\sqrt{2}(\frac{1}{3}s_W^2)(-\frac{1}{2} + s_W^2)G_F$
	$\mathcal{O}_{dl}^{RR}$	$(\bar{d}_R \gamma_\mu d_R)(\bar{\ell}_R \gamma^\mu \ell_R)$	$-4\sqrt{2}(\frac{1}{3}s_W^2)(s_W^2)G_F$
	$\mathcal{O}_{d\ell}^{LL,CC}$	$(\bar{d}_L \gamma_\mu u_L)(\bar{\nu}_L \gamma^\mu \ell_L)$	$-2\sqrt{2}G_F V_{ui}$
	$\mathcal{O}_{ud\ell\nu}^{LL,CC}$	$(\bar{u}_L \gamma_\mu d_L)(\bar{\ell}_L \gamma^\mu \nu_L)$	$-2\sqrt{2}G_F V_{ui}$
Leptonic	$\mathcal{O}_{\nu\nu}^{LL}$	$(\bar{\nu}_L \gamma^\mu \nu_L)(\bar{\nu}_L \gamma_\mu \nu_L)$	$-2\sqrt{2}(\frac{1}{2})(\frac{1}{2})G_F$
	$\mathcal{O}_{\nu\ell}^{LL,NC}$	$(\bar{\nu}_L \gamma^\mu \nu_L)(\bar{\ell}_L \gamma_\mu \ell_L)$	$-4\sqrt{2}(\frac{1}{2})(-\frac{1}{2} + s_W^2)G_F$
	$\mathcal{O}_{\nu\ell\ell\nu}^{LL,CC}$	$(\bar{\nu}_L \gamma^\mu \ell_L)(\bar{\ell}_L \gamma_\mu \nu_L)$	$-2\sqrt{2}G_F$
	$\mathcal{O}_{\nu\ell}^{LR}$	$(\bar{\nu}_L \gamma^\mu \nu_L)(\bar{\ell}_R \gamma_\mu \ell_R)$	$-4\sqrt{2}(\frac{1}{2})(s_W^2)G_F$

TABLE VI. Semi-leptonic and leptonic four-fermion operators in the LEFT and their coefficients originating from the exchange of W and Z bosons in the SM, where $x, y = e, \mu, \tau$ and $i = d, s$. G_F denotes the Fermi constant, and V_{ij} are the CKM matrix elements. For the four-neutrino operator $\mathcal{O}_{\nu\nu}^{LL}$, both indices x and y run over the three neutrino flavors e, μ, τ .

Appendix B: The relevant BNV interactions at hadronic level

Denoting $\mathcal{N}_{yzw}^{\text{LL}} \equiv q_{L,y}^\alpha (\bar{q}_{L,z}^{\beta\text{C}} q_{L,w}^\gamma) \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{yzw}^{\text{RL}} \equiv q_{R,y}^\alpha (\bar{q}_{L,z}^{\beta\text{C}} q_{L,w}^\gamma) \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$, the matrices $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{8}_L \otimes \mathbf{1}_R}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{3}_L \otimes \mathbf{3}_R}$ appearing in Eq. (2) are the triple-quark components in the irreps $\mathbf{8}_L \otimes \mathbf{1}_R$ and $\mathbf{3}_L \otimes \mathbf{3}_R$ [31]:

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{8}_L \otimes \mathbf{1}_R} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{N}_{uds}^{\text{LL}} & \mathcal{N}_{usu}^{\text{LL}} & \mathcal{N}_{uud}^{\text{LL}} \\ \mathcal{N}_{dds}^{\text{LL}} & \mathcal{N}_{dsu}^{\text{LL}} & \mathcal{N}_{dud}^{\text{LL}} \\ \mathcal{N}_{sds}^{\text{LL}} & \mathcal{N}_{ssu}^{\text{LL}} & \mathcal{N}_{sud}^{\text{LL}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{3}_L \otimes \mathbf{3}_R} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{N}_{uds}^{\text{RL}} & \mathcal{N}_{usu}^{\text{RL}} & \mathcal{N}_{uud}^{\text{RL}} \\ \mathcal{N}_{dds}^{\text{RL}} & \mathcal{N}_{dsu}^{\text{RL}} & \mathcal{N}_{dud}^{\text{RL}} \\ \mathcal{N}_{sds}^{\text{RL}} & \mathcal{N}_{ssu}^{\text{RL}} & \mathcal{N}_{sud}^{\text{RL}} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{B1})$$

Their chirality partners are obtained by interchanging the left- and right-handed chiralities, $L \leftrightarrow R$. The corresponding spurion field matrices are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{8}_L \otimes \mathbf{1}_R} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & C_{\ell ds}^{\text{LL},x} \bar{\ell}_R & C_{\ell ds}^{\text{LL},x} \bar{\ell}_R \\ C_{\ell us}^{\text{LL},x} \bar{\ell}_L & C_{\ell ds}^{\text{LL},x} \bar{\ell}_L & C_{\ell us}^{\text{LL},x} \bar{\ell}_L \\ C_{\ell ud}^{\text{LL},x} \bar{\ell}_L & C_{\ell ds}^{\text{LL},x} \bar{\ell}_L & C_{\ell ud}^{\text{LL},x} \bar{\ell}_L \end{pmatrix}, & \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{1}_L \otimes \mathbf{8}_R} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & C_{\ell ds}^{\text{RR},x} \bar{\ell}_L & C_{\ell ds}^{\text{RR},x} \bar{\ell}_L \\ C_{\ell us}^{\text{RR},x} \bar{\ell}_R & C_{\ell ds}^{\text{RR},x} \bar{\ell}_R & C_{\ell us}^{\text{RR},x} \bar{\ell}_R \\ C_{\ell ud}^{\text{RR},x} \bar{\ell}_R & C_{\ell ds}^{\text{RR},x} \bar{\ell}_R & C_{\ell ud}^{\text{RR},x} \bar{\ell}_R \end{pmatrix}, \\ \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{3}_L \otimes \mathbf{3}_R} &= \begin{pmatrix} C_{\ell ds}^{\text{RL},x} \bar{\ell}_L & C_{\ell ds}^{\text{RL},x} \bar{\ell}_L & C_{\ell ds}^{\text{RL},x} \bar{\ell}_L \\ C_{\ell us}^{\text{RL},x} \bar{\ell}_R & C_{\ell ds}^{\text{RL},x} \bar{\ell}_R & C_{\ell us}^{\text{RL},x} \bar{\ell}_R \\ C_{\ell ud}^{\text{RL},x} \bar{\ell}_R & C_{\ell ds}^{\text{RL},x} \bar{\ell}_R & C_{\ell ud}^{\text{RL},x} \bar{\ell}_R \end{pmatrix}, & \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{3}_L \otimes \mathbf{3}_R} &= \begin{pmatrix} C_{\ell ds}^{\text{LR},x} \bar{\ell}_L & C_{\ell ds}^{\text{LR},x} \bar{\ell}_L & C_{\ell ds}^{\text{LR},x} \bar{\ell}_L \\ C_{\ell us}^{\text{LR},x} \bar{\ell}_R & C_{\ell ds}^{\text{LR},x} \bar{\ell}_R & C_{\ell us}^{\text{LR},x} \bar{\ell}_R \\ C_{\ell ud}^{\text{LR},x} \bar{\ell}_R & C_{\ell ds}^{\text{LR},x} \bar{\ell}_R & C_{\ell ud}^{\text{LR},x} \bar{\ell}_R \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B2})$$

where the elements in gray are associated with the $\Delta(B+L)=0$ operators in Table II.

By substituting the spurion matrices from Eq. (B2) into Eq. (4) and expanding the pseudoscalar meson matrix to the zeroth order, we obtain the following two-point BNV interactions involving an octet baryon and a lepton:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{Bl}^{\Delta(B-L)=0} &\supset (c_1 C_{\ell ud}^{\text{LR},x} + c_2 C_{\ell ud}^{\text{LL},x}) (\bar{\ell}_L^{\text{C}} p_L) - (c_1 C_{\ell ud}^{\text{RL},x} + c_2 C_{\ell ud}^{\text{RR},x}) (\bar{\ell}_R^{\text{C}} p_R) \\ &\quad + (c_1 C_{\ell us}^{\text{LR},x} + c_2 C_{\ell us}^{\text{LL},x}) (\bar{\ell}_L^{\text{C}} \Sigma_L^+) - (c_1 C_{\ell us}^{\text{RL},x} + c_2 C_{\ell us}^{\text{RR},x}) (\bar{\ell}_R^{\text{C}} \Sigma_R^+) \\ &\quad + (c_1 C_{\ell ud}^{\text{LR},x} + c_2 C_{\ell ud}^{\text{LL},x}) (\bar{\nu}_L^{\text{C}} n_L) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [c_1 (C_{\ell us}^{\text{LR},x} - C_{\ell us}^{\text{RR},x}) - c_2 C_{\ell us}^{\text{LL},x}] (\bar{\nu}_L^{\text{C}} \Sigma_L^0) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} [c_1 (C_{\ell us}^{\text{LR},x} + C_{\ell us}^{\text{RR},x} - 2C_{\ell us}^{\text{LL},x}) + c_2 (C_{\ell us}^{\text{LR},x} - 2C_{\ell us}^{\text{LL},x})] (\bar{\nu}_L^{\text{C}} \Lambda_L^0), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B3a})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{Bl}^{\Delta(B+L)=0} &\supset (c_1 C_{\ell ds}^{\text{LR},x} + c_2 C_{\ell ds}^{\text{LL},x}) (\bar{\ell}_R^{\text{C}} \Sigma_L^-) - (c_1 C_{\ell ds}^{\text{RL},x} + c_2 C_{\ell ds}^{\text{RR},x}) (\bar{\ell}_L^{\text{C}} \Sigma_R^-) \\ &\quad - (c_1 C_{\ell ud}^{\text{LR},x} + c_2 C_{\ell ud}^{\text{RR},x}) (\bar{\nu}_L^{\text{C}} n_R) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [c_1 (C_{\ell us}^{\text{RL},x} - C_{\ell us}^{\text{RR},x}) - c_2 C_{\ell us}^{\text{RR},x}] (\bar{\nu}_L^{\text{C}} \Sigma_R^0) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} [c_1 (C_{\ell us}^{\text{RL},x} + C_{\ell us}^{\text{RR},x} - 2C_{\ell us}^{\text{LL},x}) + c_2 (C_{\ell us}^{\text{RR},x} - 2C_{\ell us}^{\text{LL},x})] (\bar{\nu}_L^{\text{C}} \Lambda_R^0). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B3b})$$

Similarly, expansion to the first order in the meson fields gives the three-point BNV terms involving a baryon, a lepton, and a meson:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{BlM}^{\Delta(B-L)=0} &\supset \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}F_0} \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [(c_1 C_{\ell ud}^{\text{LR},x} + c_2 C_{\ell ud}^{\text{LL},x}) (\bar{\ell}_L^{\text{C}} p_L) + (c_1 C_{\ell ud}^{\text{RL},x} + c_2 C_{\ell ud}^{\text{RR},x}) (\bar{\ell}_R^{\text{C}} p_R)] \pi^0 \right. \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} [(c_1 C_{\ell ud}^{\text{LR},x} - 3c_2 C_{\ell ud}^{\text{LL},x}) (\bar{\ell}_L^{\text{C}} p_L) + (c_1 C_{\ell ud}^{\text{RL},x} - 3c_2 C_{\ell ud}^{\text{RR},x}) (\bar{\ell}_R^{\text{C}} p_R)] \eta \\ &\quad + [(c_1 C_{\ell us}^{\text{LR},x} - c_2 C_{\ell us}^{\text{LL},x}) (\bar{\ell}_L^{\text{C}} p_L) + (c_1 C_{\ell us}^{\text{RL},x} - c_2 C_{\ell us}^{\text{RR},x}) (\bar{\ell}_R^{\text{C}} p_R)] \bar{K}^0 \\ &\quad + (c_1 C_{\ell ud}^{\text{LR},x} + c_2 C_{\ell ud}^{\text{LL},x}) (\bar{\nu}_L^{\text{C}} p_L) \pi^- + [c_1 (C_{\ell us}^{\text{LR},x} + C_{\ell us}^{\text{RR},x}) + c_2 C_{\ell us}^{\text{LL},x}] (\bar{\nu}_L^{\text{C}} p_L) K^- \Big\} \\ &\quad - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}F_0} \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (c_1 C_{\ell ud}^{\text{LR},x} + c_2 C_{\ell ud}^{\text{LL},x}) (\bar{\nu}_L^{\text{C}} n_L) \pi^0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} (c_1 C_{\ell ud}^{\text{LR},x} - 3c_2 C_{\ell ud}^{\text{LL},x}) (\bar{\nu}_L^{\text{C}} n_L) \eta \right. \\ &\quad - [(c_1 C_{\ell ud}^{\text{LR},x} + c_2 C_{\ell ud}^{\text{LL},x}) (\bar{\ell}_L^{\text{C}} n_L) + (c_1 C_{\ell ud}^{\text{RL},x} + c_2 C_{\ell ud}^{\text{RR},x}) (\bar{\ell}_R^{\text{C}} n_R)] \pi^+ \\ &\quad \left. - [c_1 (C_{\ell us}^{\text{LR},x} + C_{\ell us}^{\text{RR},x}) + c_2 (C_{\ell us}^{\text{LL},x} - C_{\ell us}^{\text{LL},x})] (\bar{\nu}_L^{\text{C}} n_L) \bar{K}^0 \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B4a})$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{BlM}^{\Delta(B+L)=0} \supset \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}F_0} \left\{ (c_1 C_{\ell ud}^{\text{RL},x} + c_2 C_{\ell ud}^{\text{RR},x}) (\bar{\nu}_L^{\text{C}} p_R) \pi^- + [c_1 (C_{\ell us}^{\text{RL},x} + C_{\ell us}^{\text{RR},x}) + c_2 C_{\ell us}^{\text{RR},x}] (\bar{\nu}_L^{\text{C}} p_R) K^- \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}F_0}\left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(c_1C_{\bar{\nu}dud}^{\text{RL},x}+c_2C_{\bar{\nu}dud}^{\text{RR},x})(\overline{\nu_{\text{L}x}}n_{\text{R}})\pi^0+\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(c_1C_{\bar{\nu}dud}^{\text{RL},x}-3c_2C_{\bar{\nu}dud}^{\text{RR},x})(\overline{\nu_{\text{L}x}}n_{\text{R}})\eta\right. \\
& -\left[c_1(C_{\bar{\nu}sud}^{\text{RL},x}+C_{\bar{\nu}dsu}^{\text{RL},x})+c_2(C_{\bar{\nu}sud}^{\text{RR},x}-C_{\bar{\nu}dsu}^{\text{RR},x})\right](\overline{\nu_{\text{L}x}}n_{\text{R}})\bar{K}^0 \\
& \left.-\left[(c_1C_{\bar{\ell}dds}^{\text{LR},x}-c_2C_{\bar{\ell}dds}^{\text{LL},x})(\overline{\ell_{\text{R}x}}n_{\text{L}})+(c_1C_{\bar{\ell}dds}^{\text{RL},x}-c_2C_{\bar{\ell}dds}^{\text{RR},x})(\overline{\ell_{\text{L}x}}n_{\text{R}})\right]K^-\right\}. \tag{B4b}
\end{aligned}$$

Appendix C: The relevant BNC interactions at hadronic level

First, the relevant QED vertices for the charged leptons, proton, and neutron are:

$$\mathcal{L}_{ffA}^{\text{QED}} \supset -e\bar{\ell}\gamma_{\mu}\ell A^{\mu} + e\bar{p}\gamma_{\mu}pA^{\mu} + \frac{e a_p}{4m_p}\bar{p}\sigma_{\mu\nu}pF^{\mu\nu} + \frac{e a_n}{4m_n}\bar{n}\sigma_{\mu\nu}nF^{\mu\nu}. \tag{C1}$$

where $a_p = 1.793$ and $a_n = -1.913$ are the anomalous magnetic moments of the proton and neutron [14], respectively.

Next, we examine the hadronic analogs of the quark-level strong and weak interactions within the framework of ChPT. To this end, we start with the QCD Lagrangian extended by external sources:

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{QCD}}^0 + \overline{q_{\text{L}}}\hat{l}^{\mu}\gamma_{\mu}q_{\text{L}} + \overline{q_{\text{R}}}\hat{r}^{\mu}\gamma_{\mu}q_{\text{R}} + [\overline{q_{\text{L}}}(s-ip)q_{\text{R}} + \text{H.c.}]. \tag{C2}$$

where $\hat{l}_{\mu}, \hat{r}_{\mu}, s, p, t_l^{\mu\nu}$ are external sources associated with various quark-bilinear currents. These are 3×3 matrices in the flavor space and correspond to non-QCD objects. In our context, they can be identified as the products of leptonic currents and their coefficients shown in Table VI. We denote the traceless components of $\hat{l}_{\mu}$ and $\hat{r}_{\mu}$ by $l_{\mu} \equiv \hat{l}_{\mu} - \text{Tr}(\hat{l}_{\mu})/3$ and $r_{\mu} \equiv \hat{r}_{\mu} - \text{Tr}(\hat{r}_{\mu})/3$, respectively. Furthermore, we define the vector and axial-vector external sources as $\hat{v}_{\mu} \equiv (\hat{l}_{\mu} + \hat{r}_{\mu})/2$ and $\hat{a}_{\mu} \equiv (\hat{r}_{\mu} - \hat{l}_{\mu})/2$, respectively. Their corresponding traces are denoted by $v_{\mu}^s \equiv \text{Tr}(\hat{v}_{\mu})$ and $a_{\mu}^s \equiv \text{Tr}(\hat{a}_{\mu})$. Accordingly, we define their traceless parts as $v_{\mu} \equiv \hat{v}_{\mu} - v_{\mu}^s/3$ and $a_{\mu} \equiv \hat{a}_{\mu} - a_{\mu}^s/3$. By incorporating these external sources, the leading order BNC chiral Lagrangian terms relevant to our analysis take the form [28–30]:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{\text{ChPT}} = & \frac{F_0^2}{4}\text{Tr}[D_{\mu}\Sigma(D^{\mu}\Sigma)^{\dagger}] + \text{Tr}[\overline{B}(i\not{D} - M_B)B] \\
& + \frac{D}{2}\text{Tr}[\overline{B}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_5\{u_{\mu}, B\}] + \frac{F}{2}\text{Tr}[\overline{B}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_5[u_{\mu}, B]] + c_s a_{\mu}^s \text{Tr}[\overline{B}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_5 B]. \tag{C3}
\end{aligned}$$

Here, the covariant derivatives are defined as $D_{\mu}\Sigma \equiv \partial_{\mu}\Sigma - il_{\mu}\Sigma + i\Sigma r_{\mu}$ and $D_{\mu}B \equiv \partial_{\mu}B + [\Gamma_{\mu}, B] - iv_{\mu}^s B$. u_{μ} is referred to as the chiral vielbein and is defined as $u_{\mu} \equiv i[\xi(\partial_{\mu} - ir_{\mu})\xi^{\dagger} - \xi^{\dagger}(\partial_{\mu} - il_{\mu})\xi] = -i\xi^{\dagger}(D_{\mu}\Sigma)\xi^{\dagger}$, and Γ_{μ} is the chiral connection $\Gamma_{\mu} \equiv \frac{1}{2}[\xi(\partial_{\mu} - ir_{\mu})\xi^{\dagger} + \xi^{\dagger}(\partial_{\mu} - il_{\mu})\xi]$. D, F, c_s are the LECs. Numerically, the values $D = 0.73$ and $F = 0.45$ are taken from [35], while $c_s = 0.16$ is determined by requiring that the contributions of the axial-vector quark currents to the nucleon matrix elements match the corresponding calculations based on the form factor method at zero momentum transfer.

By neglecting the external sources in Eq. (C3) and expanding the meson matrix to the linear order in the meson fields, the relevant interactions between octet mesons and baryons are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{\text{BNM}}^{\text{QCD}} \supset & \frac{D+F}{2F_0}(\bar{p}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_5 p - \bar{n}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_5 n)\partial^{\mu}\pi^0 + \frac{3F-D}{2\sqrt{3}F_0}(\bar{p}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_5 p + \bar{n}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_5 n)\partial^{\mu}\eta \\
& + \left(\frac{D-F}{\sqrt{2}F_0}\overline{\Sigma}^{+}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_5 p - \frac{D+3F}{2\sqrt{3}F_0}\overline{\Lambda}_0\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_5 n - \frac{D-F}{2F_0}\overline{\Sigma}^0\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_5 n\right)\partial^{\mu}\bar{K}^0 \\
& + \left(\frac{D-F}{2F_0}\overline{\Sigma}^0\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_5 p - \frac{D+3F}{2\sqrt{3}F_0}\overline{\Lambda}_0\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_5 p + \frac{D-F}{\sqrt{2}F_0}\overline{\Sigma}^{-}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_5 n\right)\partial^{\mu}K^{-} \\
& + \frac{D+F}{\sqrt{2}F_0}\bar{p}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_5 n\partial^{\mu}\pi^{+} + \frac{D+F}{\sqrt{2}F_0}\bar{n}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_5 p\partial^{\mu}\pi^{-}. \tag{C4}
\end{aligned}$$

Lastly, we gather the effective weak interactions that appear in the Feynman diagrams shown in Table III. These interactions include three-particle vertices involving a meson and a lepton current, as well as four-fermion vertices involving two baryons and two leptons. For diagrams involving neutral-current meson-lepton-lepton vertices ($M\ell\ell, M\nu\nu$), their contributions to the relevant nucleon triple-lepton decays are either suppressed (in the charged lepton case) or vanish entirely (in the neutrino case), as discussed in Section III. Therefore, we do not include these vertices here. For the charged-current vertices involving a meson ($M\ell\nu$), the relevant terms are

$$\mathcal{L}_{M\ell\nu}^{\text{CC}} \supset 2F_0 G_F [(V_{ud}\partial_{\mu}\pi^{-} + V_{us}\partial_{\mu}K^{-})(\overline{\ell_{\text{L}x}}\gamma^{\mu}\nu_{\text{L}x}) + (V_{ud}^{*}\partial_{\mu}\pi^{+} + V_{us}^{*}\partial_{\mu}K^{+})(\overline{\nu_{\text{L}x}}\gamma^{\mu}\ell_{\text{L}x})]. \tag{C5}$$

The four-fermion weak interactions between the nucleon and leptonic currents are obtained by bringing the weak external sources listed in Table VI into the baryonic chiral Lagrangian terms in Eq. (C3), yielding the following neutral- and charged-current interactions:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{NNll}}^{\text{NC}} \supset & -\frac{\sqrt{2}G_F}{6} \left[\bar{p}\gamma^\mu (3(1-4s_W^2) - (2D+6F-3c_s)\gamma_5)p + \bar{n}\gamma_\mu (-3 + (4D+3c_s)\gamma_5)n \right] \\ & \times \left[(\bar{\nu}_{Lx}\gamma_\mu \nu_{Lx}) + (-1+2s_W^2)(\bar{\ell}_{Lx}\gamma_\mu \ell_{Lx}) + 2s_W^2(\bar{\ell}_{Rx}\gamma_\mu \ell_{Rx}) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C6})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{BN}\ell\nu}^{\text{CC}} \supset & -\sqrt{2}G_F \left[V_{ud}^* \bar{n}\gamma_\mu (1 - (D+F)\gamma_5)p - \frac{V_{us}^*}{\sqrt{6}} \bar{\Lambda}^0\gamma_\mu (3 - (D+3F)\gamma_5)p \right. \\ & - \frac{V_{us}^*}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\Sigma}^0\gamma^\mu (1 + (D-F)\gamma_5)p - V_{us}^* \bar{\Sigma}^-\gamma_\mu (1 + (D-F)\gamma_5)n \left. \right] (\bar{\nu}_{Lx}\gamma^\mu \ell_{Lx}) \\ & - \sqrt{2}G_F \left[V_{ud} \bar{p}\gamma_\mu (1 - (D+F)\gamma_5)n \right] (\bar{\ell}_{Lx}\gamma^\mu \nu_{Lx}). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C7})$$

Appendix D: Experimental limits on two-body nucleon decay modes and branching ratios of octet meson leptonic decays

Table VII summarizes the current experimental limits on two-body nucleon decays involving a lepton and a pseudoscalar meson, as well as the leptonic decay branching ratios of pseudoscalar and vector mesons. These data are used to derive constraints on the partial lifetimes of the corresponding nucleon triple-lepton decay modes due to the meson-mediated diagrams shown in Table III. Note that the branching ratios for the pseudoscalar meson K_S^0 and the vector mesons $\rho^\pm, K^{*\pm}$ are based on theoretical estimates from [36, 37], while the remaining branching ratios correspond to central values or the 90% CL upper bounds (indicated by “<”) compiled by the latest PDG [14].

Proton decay		Neutron decay		Pseudoscalar meson		Vector meson	
Mode	Γ_{exp}^{-1} [yr]	Mode	Γ_{exp}^{-1} [yr]	Mode	Branching ratio	Mode	Branching ratio
$p \rightarrow \nu\pi^+$	3.9×10^{32}	$n \rightarrow e^+\pi^-$	5.3×10^{33}	$\pi^\pm \rightarrow e^\pm\nu_e$	1.23×10^{-4}	$\rho^\pm \rightarrow e^\pm\nu_e$	4.6×10^{-13} [37]
		$n \rightarrow \mu^+\pi^-$	3.5×10^{33}	$\pi^\pm \rightarrow \mu^\pm\nu_\mu$	0.999877	$\rho^\pm \rightarrow \mu^\pm\nu_\mu$	4.5×10^{-13} [37]
$p \rightarrow \nu K^+$	5.9×10^{33}	$n \rightarrow e^-K^+$	3.2×10^{31}	$K^\pm \rightarrow e^\pm\nu_e$	1.582×10^{-5}	$K^{*\pm} \rightarrow e^\pm\nu_e$	1.2×10^{-13} [37]
		$n \rightarrow \mu^-K^+$	5.7×10^{31}	$K^\pm \rightarrow \mu^\pm\nu_\mu$	0.6356	$K^{*\pm} \rightarrow \mu^\pm\nu_\mu$	1.2×10^{-13} [37]
$p \rightarrow e^+\pi^0$	2.4×10^{34}	$n \rightarrow \nu\pi^0$ $n \rightarrow \nu\eta$	1.1×10^{33} 1.58×10^{32}	$\pi^0 \rightarrow e^-e^+$	6.46×10^{-8}	$\rho^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$	4.72×10^{-5}
$p \rightarrow e^+\eta$	10^{34}			$\eta \rightarrow e^-e^+$	$< 7 \times 10^{-7}$	$\omega \rightarrow e^+e^-$	7.41×10^{-5}
$p \rightarrow \mu^+\pi^0$	1.6×10^{34}			$\eta \rightarrow \mu^-\mu^+$	5.8×10^{-6}	$\rho^0 \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$	4.55×10^{-5}
$p \rightarrow \mu^+\eta$	4.7×10^{33}					$\omega \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$	7.4×10^{-5}
$p \rightarrow e^+K_L^0$	5.1×10^{31}	$n \rightarrow \nu K_L^0$ $n \rightarrow \nu K_S^0$	— 2.6×10^{32}	$K_L^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$	$(9_{-4}^{+6}) \times 10^{-12}$	$K^{*0} \rightarrow e^+e^-$	—
$p \rightarrow e^+K_S^0$	1.2×10^{32}			$K_S^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$	2.1×10^{-14} [36]	$\bar{K}^{*0} \rightarrow e^+e^-$	—
$p \rightarrow \mu^+K_L^0$	8.3×10^{31}			$K_L^0 \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$	6.84×10^{-9}	$K^{*0} \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$	—
$p \rightarrow \mu^+K_S^0$	1.5×10^{32}			$K_S^0 \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$	5.1×10^{-12} [36]	$\bar{K}^{*0} \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$	—

TABLE VII. Summary of the experimental lower bounds on the partial lifetimes of two-body nucleon decays and the branching ratios of meson decays into two leptons.