CERN-EP-2025-186
2025/11/25

CMS-SUS-24-003

Search for Higgsinos in final states with low-momentum lepton-track pairs at 13 TeV

The CMS Collaboration*

Abstract

We present a search for the pair production of Higgsinos in final states with large missing transverse momentum and either two reconstructed muons or a reconstructed lepton (muon or electron) and an isolated track. The analyzed data correspond to proton-proton collisions with an integrated luminosity of 137 fb^{-1} , collected by the CMS experiment at $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$ in 2016, 2017, and 2018. The signal scenario assumes two neutralino states, $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ and $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$, with a small mass difference in the range 1–10 GeV and a chargino $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ with an intermediate mass. The analysis focuses on the decay of the heavier neutralino into the lighter one and a virtual Z boson, which decays into two same-flavor leptons. The leptons have small transverse momentum and/or a small opening angle between the identified muons. An isolated track is used to recover events in which only one of the two leptons is identified. Multivariate discriminants are used to enhance the sensitivity by efficiently rejecting backgrounds from SM processes or misreconstructed tracks and/or leptons. The search explores a unique phase space and probes a previously unexplored region of the signal model parameter space. Mass differences between the two neutralinos are probed down to 1.5 GeV, assuming a Higgsino mass of 100 GeV. The maximum excluded Higgsino mass is 115 GeV.

Submitted to Physical Review D

1 Introduction

Physics scenarios beyond the standard model (SM) that feature near-degenerate electroweak (EW) doublets or multiplets are well-motivated candidates for explaining dark matter (DM) [1, 2]. For example, in inert doublet [3] and inelastic DM [4, 5] models, the lightest of two or more states, if neutral and stable, may account for the observed DM relic abundance. Higgsinos in R -parity-conserving supersymmetry (SUSY) [6–14] are also viable DM candidates, offering a solution not only to the DM puzzle but also to the large [15] and small [16, 17] hierarchy problems, whereby fine-tuning among the Lagrangian parameters remains unexplained. To address these issues, Higgsino masses must typically be of the order of 100 GeV, making them potentially detectable at the CERN LHC. The viable parameter space of these models has been explored and constrained by searches for beyond-the-SM physics using the Run 2 (2016–2018) data of ATLAS [18] and CMS [19], by experiments at the CERN Large Electron-Positron Collider (LEP) [20], and by DM direct detection experiments [21–23]. Some parameter space remains unprobed, particularly in scenarios with highly compressed mass spectra, where “highly compressed” refers to mass splittings between produced and decayed particles of or less than the scale of the J/ψ .

In the minimal supersymmetric standard model (MSSM), the SM Lagrangian is extended to be invariant under SUSY transformations and includes a second complex scalar doublet. A total of eight Higgs and Higgsino fields before EW symmetry breaking give rise to five physical Higgs bosons and four Higgsino mass eigenstates after symmetry breaking. The Higgsinos mix with the superpartners of the W and B bosons (wino and bino) to form four chargino ($\tilde{\chi}_{1,2}^\pm$) and four neutralino ($\tilde{\chi}_{1,2,3,4}^0$) states, collectively referred to as electroweakinos. In the limit where the wino and bino mass parameters are much larger than the Higgsino mass parameter, the lightest supersymmetric particle (LSP) emerges as the lightest state $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ among four nearly degenerate electroweakino states, which is stable. Although small relative to the mass scale, a minimal mass difference of approximately 300 MeV between the chargino and the LSP is required by radiative corrections and cosmological constraints [24].

We present a search for compressed-spectrum Higgsinos using proton-proton (pp) collision data recorded by CMS at a center-of-mass energy of 13 TeV, targeting events featuring the decay $\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow \ell\ell\tilde{\chi}_1^0$, where ℓ is a muon or electron. The analysis focuses on the so-called natural subspace, characterized by large values of the ratio between the two vacuum expectation values, $\tan\beta$, and featuring a spectrum where the mass difference $\Delta m^0 = m(\tilde{\chi}_2^0) - m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$ is twice the value of that between the chargino and the LSP, $\Delta m^\pm = m(\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm) - m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0) = \Delta m^0/2$. Two processes dominate the total signal production cross section and are illustrated in Fig. 1. In both cases, the decay of the $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ usually proceeds via a virtual Z boson, which subsequently decays to a pair of low-momentum (soft) muons or electrons. A branching fraction $\mathcal{B}(\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow \mu\mu\tilde{\chi}_1^0) = \mathcal{B}(\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow ee\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$ of 5% is assumed, an approximate upper bound in the MSSM. In the production mode featuring a chargino $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$, the $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ decays predominantly to hadrons, most often a single soft pion, via an off-shell W boson [24], to which the analysis is insensitive. The analysis object selection is not sensitive to these decays, and a branching fraction of 100% for this dominant decay mode is assumed. The search targets events with two opposite-charge, same-flavor leptons where the magnitude of the missing transverse momentum (p_T^{miss}) is large due to the two undetected LSPs with transverse momentum that recoils off of initial-state radiation (ISR).

Previous searches performed at the CERN LEP [25–30] exclude charginos with masses up to approximately 90–100 GeV. The ATLAS and CMS Collaborations have extended the exclusion reach to 205 GeV for a mass splitting $\Delta m^\pm$ of 7.5 GeV, using final states with two reconstructed, isolated leptons [31–33]. However, the sensitivity decreases for smaller values of $\Delta m^\pm$.




Figure 1: Diagrams illustrating the production and decay of electroweakinos in the Higgsino simplified model via the $\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (left) and $\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ (right) processes.

and becomes negligible for splittings below 3 GeV, primarily due to kinematic and isolation-based selection requirements on the leptons, such as $p_T > 3.5$ GeV and angular separation $\Delta R(\ell_1, \ell_2) > 0.3$ [33]. For $\Delta m^\pm$ values below 0.7 GeV, sensitivity is recovered by searches targeting charginos with macroscopic lifetimes using soft and isolated, as well as disappearing track signatures [34–37]. Nonetheless, a gap in sensitivity remains between the regimes targeted by track-based and soft lepton searches.

To improve sensitivity in this region, we analyze events with lepton candidates selected using relaxed kinematic thresholds and identification criteria compared to previous analyses. Signal regions (SRs) are constructed using boosted decision trees (BDTs) trained to distinguish signal-like events in the target phase space. The SRs are mutually exclusive and statistically independent, both with respect to each other and to those used in previous CMS analyses. Three event categories are considered:

- events with two reconstructed and identified muons;
- one reconstructed muon and an isolated, exclusive track;
- one reconstructed electron and an isolated, exclusive track.

Here, “exclusive” means that the track is not geometrically matched to any identified lepton and is used as a proxy to recover leptons that were not identified. This category recovers up to 50% of such lost leptons, but contributes only marginally to the final results because of residual background. The use of events with muons with $p_T < 3$ GeV and the lepton+exclusive track category makes this analysis the first of its kind, establishing sensitivity to previously unexplored regions of parameter space.

The paper is structured as follows. Section 2 provides a brief description of the CMS detector, event reconstruction, and simulation. The object and event selection procedures are described in Section 3. The background estimation methodology and the assessment of systematic uncertainties are presented in Section 4. Section 5 contains the results of the search, and a final summary can be found in Section 6. Tabulated results are provided in the HEPData record for this analysis [38].

2 The CMS detector, event reconstruction, and simulation

The CMS apparatus [39, 40] is a multipurpose, nearly hermetic detector, designed to trigger on [41–43] and identify electrons, muons, photons, and charged and neutral hadrons [44–46]. A global “particle-flow” (PF) algorithm [47] aims to reconstruct all individual particles in an

event by combining information from the all-silicon inner tracker, crystal electromagnetic and brass-scintillator hadron calorimeters, which operate inside a 3.8 T superconducting solenoid, and the gas-ionization muon detectors embedded in the flux-return yoke outside the solenoid. The reconstructed particles are used to build leptons and jets, as well as p_T^{miss} [48, 49].

The tracking system, which plays a central role in this analysis, consists of silicon pixel and strip detectors located within the solenoid volume [39, 50]. The inner tracker used during the 2016 data-taking period, referred to as the “Phase 0” tracker, measured charged particles within $|\eta| < 2.5$. An upgraded pixel detector, known as the “Phase 1” tracker, was installed at the beginning of 2017 and used for the 2017 and 2018 data-taking periods. The Phase 1 tracker extended the coverage to $|\eta| < 3.0$. In the barrel region, charged-particle tracks pass through three (four) pixel layers within a radius of 102 (160) mm in the Phase 0 (Phase 1) tracker. The strip tracker provides up to ten additional tracking layers within a radius of 1.2 m. Compared to the Phase 0 tracker, the Phase 1 upgrade improves both tracking and vertex reconstruction performance and enhances the efficiency of algorithms identifying displaced b jets.

The electromagnetic calorimeter (ECAL) is a total absorption calorimeter that measures the energy of electromagnetic showers using lead tungstate crystals. The lead tungstate crystals are designed to fully contain energetic electromagnetic showers and provide high resolution. Because of the 3.8 T magnetic field from the CMS solenoid, electromagnetic showers from electrons and photons are broadened in the azimuthal angle and narrowed in the polar angle. These characteristics are used to distinguish showers from electrons and photons from those of hadrons.

The muon system comprises gas-ionization detectors embedded in the steel flux-return yoke outside the solenoid, enabling muon reconstruction within $|\eta| < 2.4$. It consists of three types of detectors: drift tube chambers in the central region, cathode strip chambers in the forward region, and resistive-plate chambers covering both regions. The fine granularity of the endcap muon detectors provides efficient reconstruction for low- p_T muons in the high- $|\eta|$ region [45], which are important for this analysis. In contrast, muon acceptance is lower in the central region due to the significant curvature of low- p_T charged particles in the magnetic field [45].

Electron tracks are reconstructed using a Gaussian sum filter [51] that accounts for measurement errors, energy loss, and multiple scattering as the electron traverses the detector volume. The Gaussian-sum-filter tracks are matched with energy clusters in the ECAL to form electron objects [52]. Electrons that pass a loose set of selection criteria are used in this analysis because of their high reconstruction efficiency.

Jets are reconstructed offline from PF candidates clustered using the anti- k_T algorithm [53, 54] with a distance parameter of 0.4. Raw jet energies are corrected to establish a uniform calorimeter response as a function of η and a calibrated absolute response in transverse momentum p_T . Jets arising from the hadronization of b quarks, referred to as b jets, are identified by the combined secondary vertex algorithm based on deep neural networks, DEEPCSV [55]. This algorithm achieves a high identification efficiency of around 80%.

The SM production of $W+\text{jets} \rightarrow \ell\nu+\text{jets}$, $Z+\text{jets} \rightarrow \nu\nu+\text{jets}$, QCD, and $t\bar{t}$ events is simulated using the MADGRAPH5_aMC@NLO 2.2.2 [56, 57] event generator at leading order (LO) precision. The $t\bar{t}$ events are generated with up to three additional partons in the matrix element calculations. The $W+\text{jets} \rightarrow \ell\nu+\text{jets}$ and $Z+\text{jets} \rightarrow \nu\nu+\text{jets}$ events are generated with up to four additional partons. Diboson events, such as those originating from WW and ZZ production, are generated with MADGRAPH5_aMC@NLO 2.2.2 at next-to-leading order (NLO) [58], except that WW events in which both W bosons decay leptonically are generated

using the POWHEG v2.0 [59–63] program at NLO. For background events, Phase 0 samples use the CUETP8M1 tune [64], while Phase 1 samples use the CP5 tune [65]. Parton showering and hadronization are simulated using the PYTHIA 8.205 generator [66]. The detector response is modeled with the GEANT4 [67] suite of programs. Additional proton-proton collisions, distinct from the primary vertex (PV) but occurring in the same bunch crossing as the PV, are emulated at LO and superimposed onto the event.

Signal samples are generated using the CP2 tune [65]. Samples generated at LO (NLO) with the CUETP8M1 tune use the NNPDF3.0LO (NNPDF3.0NLO) parton distribution functions (PDFs) [68], while those generated with the CP2 or CP5 tune use the NNPDF3.1LO (NNPDF3.1 NNLO) PDFs [69]. Simulated signal events are generated at leading order (LO) using the PYTHIA 8.205 generator [66]. To manage computational resources, the detector response for signal events is modeled using the CMS fast simulation framework [70, 71], which yields results generally consistent with those obtained from GEANT4. To improve agreement with GEANT4, a correction of 1% is applied to account for differences in the efficiency of the jet quality requirements [48, 49], and corrections of 5–12% are applied to account for differences in the b tagging efficiency.

3 Object and event selection

Signal event candidates for this analysis are recorded using triggers that require p_T^{miss} to exceed a threshold between 100 and 120 GeV, depending on the instantaneous luminosity of the LHC.

Muons are selected with p_T between 2 and 15 GeV and $|\eta| < 2.4$. Electrons are selected with p_T between 5 and 15 GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$. Quality criteria are applied to the track fit and to the consistency of momentum measurements across subdetectors, aiming to optimize the balance between selection efficiency and the misidentification rate. Both muons and electrons are required to have $\Delta R > 0.4$ from the leading jet and to satisfy the jet-based isolation requirement described below.

Jets are selected with $p_T > 15$ GeV and $|\eta| < 5.0$. Two definitions of missing transverse momentum are used: the standard p_T^{miss} and an alternative referred to as hard p_T^{miss} . For the hard p_T^{miss} the transverse momentum vector sum is performed over all objects with $p_T > 30$ GeV instead of over all reconstructed particles in the event. Unlike the standard p_T^{miss} , the hard p_T^{miss} is uncorrelated with the jet-based lepton isolation variable used to define the signal and control regions (CRs), as explained below, and is more robust against potential mismodeling of simultaneous proton-proton collisions in a given bunch crossing (pileup).

A custom jet-based isolation criterion is defined for leptons using a corrected set of jets. Jets are selected from all reconstructed jets with $p_T < 30$ GeV. For each such jet, the momentum of each selected lepton within the jet is vectorially subtracted from the jet momentum, resulting in the corrected jet. The isolation criterion is determined by two parameters: the lower threshold on the p_T of the nearest corrected jet and the upper threshold on the ΔR between the lepton and that jet. If the lepton does not lie within a ΔR of 0.6 of a corrected jet with $p_T > 10$ GeV, it is considered isolated.

Tracks are required to have $p_T > 1.9$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.4$. The relative isolation is defined as the ratio of the scalar sum of p_T of other tracks within a cone of radius 0.3 around the candidate track to the track p_T , and must be less than 0.1. Tracks must have transverse and longitudinal impact parameters $|d_{xy}| < 0.02$ cm and $|d_z| < 0.02$ cm, with respect to the PV, which is the reconstructed vertex with the largest value of summed physics-object p_T^2 . No selected muon or

electron may lie within ΔR of 0.01 of the track. Tracks satisfying these criteria are referred to as exclusive tracks.

Dedicated track-picking BDT classifiers are used to identify which track in each signal event corresponds to the lepton from the neutralino decay. Four separate BDTs are trained, corresponding to the two lepton flavors and the two detector phases. All classifiers share a common structure of 200 decision trees with a maximum depth of 3. They are trained using the ADABOOST algorithm and the Gini index as the separation criterion, as implemented in the HOCKER:2007HT package [72].

Training is performed on tracks from a dedicated signal simulation, selected using the same object criteria as the analysis but with slightly loosened requirements: a track $p_T > 1$ GeV and no vetoes based on the invariant mass of the dilepton system, which are otherwise applied in the preselection. The preselection described below is applied to the simulated events used in the training samples, which are split randomly and evenly between training and test sets. A broad range of Higgsino mass parameters corresponding to $m(\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm)$ is considered, spanning 100–500 GeV. The training is restricted to models with mass splittings Δm^0 in the range 0.3–4.6 GeV, prepared in Δm^0 steps of 100 MeV. Tracks are labeled as signal if they originate from leptons in the $\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ell^+ \ell^-$ decay, as determined by geometrical matching of trajectories, and as background otherwise. The training and test sets are compared to ensure there is no overtraining.

The jet-based isolation variable is uncorrelated with the hard p_T^{miss} because the soft jets used to define it fall below the p_T threshold used in the computation of hard p_T^{miss} . Leptons that fail the isolation requirement define a sideband CR enriched in jet-related background. Background contributions from this region are estimated as described in Section 4.

3.1 Event selection

The event preselection, common to all analysis categories, consists of the following requirements:

- hard $p_T^{\text{miss}} > 220$ GeV and $p_T^{\text{miss}} > 140$ GeV, to select events efficiently with respect to both signal acceptance and trigger thresholds;
- at least one jet with $p_T > 30$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.4$, consistent with the presence of ISR;
- $N_{\text{b jets}} = 0$, namely zero reconstructed b jets, to suppress $t\bar{t}$ background;
- $\min \Delta\phi(\text{hard } \vec{p}_T^{\text{miss}}, \vec{p}_T) > 0.4$ for all jets to reduce events with mismeasured jets contributing to hard p_T^{miss} ;
- $N_\ell^{\text{hard}} = 0$, to veto isolated leptons with $p_T > 30$ GeV, suppressing backgrounds from $W \rightarrow \ell \nu$ decays;
- $0.4 < m_{\ell\ell} < 12$ GeV, to target the compressed mass region of interest.

In the dimuon category, two reconstructed and identified muons are required, and events must satisfy the following criteria:

- $N_\mu = 2$, oppositely charged;
- $p_T(\mu_2) < 3.5$ GeV or $\Delta R(\mu_1, \mu_2) < 0.3$, to ensure no overlap with the search described in Ref. [33];
- $\Delta R(\mu_{1,2}, j_1) > 0.4$, where j_1 is the leading jet;
- $m_{\ell\ell}$ outside the ranges 0.75–0.81 and 3.0–3.2 GeV, to veto ω , ρ^0 , and J/ψ resonances;

- event $\text{BDT} > 0$, to enhance signal purity and reject SM backgrounds.

The event BDT classifier is constructed using several observables, including the leading and subleading muon p_T , the ΔR and $\Delta\eta$ between the two muons, the hard p_T^{miss} , and the differences in azimuthal angle between the hard p_T^{miss} and the muons, as input features. The event-level BDT output distributions are shown in Fig. 2. Six SRs are defined for events with BDT output scores in bins with edges of 0, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, and 1, given in order of increasing sensitivity. A single event BDT is used for both detector configurations.




Figure 2: Unweighted distributions of the event-level BDT scores for events from the signal and background training samples in the dimuon category (left) and the muon+track category (right), based on the Phase 1 detector configuration.

The exclusive track category requires one reconstructed and identified lepton and one exclusive track. The track with the highest score from the track-picking BDT is selected as the recovered lepton candidate. Events in this category must satisfy the preselection and baseline selections, as well as the following additional criteria:

- $N_\ell = 1$, where the lepton passes the analysis muon or electron selection;
- maximum track $\text{BDT} > 0$;
- event $\text{BDT} > 0$;
- $\Delta R(\ell, j_1) > 0.4$.

3.2 BDT classifiers

Event-level BDT classifiers are used to select signal candidate events in the exclusive track categories while rejecting background events. The output score of each BDT is used to define both the SRs and CRs. Separate BDTs are trained for each lepton flavor and for each detector configuration, resulting in a total of four BDTs in the exclusive track category. The exclusive track classifiers take as input the ten variables listed in Table 1, ordered by their relative importance. The most discriminating variable is the angular separation between the identified lepton and track $\Delta R(t, \ell)$, which peaks sharply at low values for signal compared to background. The invariant mass of the track-lepton system ranks lowest, although one-dimensional distributions indicate that it still provides substantial discriminating power. The BDT captures the mass-related information encoded in the other lepton and track features. Thirteen SRs are defined in the output of the event BDT for each detector configuration and lepton category, with 12 intervals of width 0.05 from 0 to 0.6, and a single SR with $\text{BDT} > 0.6$.

Table 1: Input variables to the BDT used for selecting signal tracks in events in the exclusive track category, ranked by their importance in descending order. The symbol ℓ refers to a candidate lepton while t refers to an exclusive track, with their invariant mass denoted $m_{t\ell}$.

Rank	Variable
1	$\Delta R(t, \ell)$
2	$ \Delta\eta(t, \ell) $
3	$p_T(\ell)$
4	$ \Delta\phi(t, \text{hard } \vec{p}_T^{\text{miss}}) $
5	$ \Delta\eta(t, j_1) $
6	$ \Delta\phi(t, \ell) $
7	$ \eta(t) $
8	$ \eta(\ell) $
9	$\Delta R(\ell, j_1)$
10	$m_{t\ell}$

4 Backgrounds

The most significant backgrounds consist of events with leptons originating either from jets or from decays of electroweak bosons, primarily arising from $W+\text{jets} \rightarrow \ell\nu+\text{jets}$ and $Z+\text{jets} \rightarrow \nu\nu+\text{jets}$ processes. In both cases, at least one of the leptons is nonprompt and originates from jet activity. These backgrounds are grouped into two main categories, and a dedicated method is developed to estimate the contribution from each. The measured contributions of the backgrounds in the sideband regions are then used to model the background in the SRs using a maximum likelihood fit.

4.1 Background in dimuon category

The dominant background in the dimuon category arises from lepton-track pairs produced in association with jets, primarily from leptons originating in the electroweak decays of hadrons. This *Jetty* background is estimated using an isolation sideband CR defined by inverting the jet-based isolation criterion on the leptons. This region is used to extract a template of the BDT score distribution that is consistent with the shape of the *Jetty* background in the SRs. Although most leptons are produced within the cores of jets, the distribution of angular distance between the lepton and the jet exhibits a slowly falling tail that extends into the SR. A second CR, defined by the sideband of the event-level BDT score ($\text{BDT} < 0$), is used to normalize the background rate, accounting for differences in the *Jetty* background production rate between the isolation sideband and main band. The SR is defined by requiring $\text{BDT} > 0$ in the isolation main band. The predicted *Jetty* background in the SR is given by:

$$N_{\text{Jetty}}^{\text{SR}}(x) = \widehat{\text{TF}}_{\text{Jetty}} N_{\text{sideband}}^{\text{SR}}(x) = \frac{N_{\text{main band}}^{\text{norm CR}}}{N_{\text{sideband}}^{\text{norm CR}}} N_{\text{sideband}}^{\text{SR}}(x) \quad (1)$$

where x is the binned BDT output. The ratio of the yields in the normalization regions is referred to as the transfer factor, $\widehat{\text{TF}}_{\text{Jetty}}$. The corresponding values are listed in Table 2.

A small contribution to the dimuon category arises from prompt, isolated leptons originating from the $Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow \tau^-\tau^+$ process. This background is estimated using simulated event samples corrected with normalization factors derived in a data CR enriched in Z/γ^* events. The CR selects events with two signal candidate leptons and requires the reconstructed ditau mass $m_{\tau\tau}$ to lie within a window of 40–130 GeV, consistent with the Z boson mass. The $m_{\tau\tau}$ observable

is based on the *collinear approximation*, first described in Ref. [73] and employed in previous analyses, e.g., Refs. [74, 75]. In this approximation, it is assumed that each τ lepton from the Z/γ^* decay is sufficiently boosted such that its own decay products are collinear, and that the only source of missing transverse momentum is the neutrinos from the τ lepton decays. The visible muon momenta, together with p_T^{miss} and the known τ lepton mass, are used to reconstruct the τ four-vectors and calculate $m_{\tau\tau}$. The data and background prediction are shown in the $\tau\tau$ CR in Fig. 3. The ratio of data to simulation in this region is used to extract the correction factors, denoted as $\widehat{\text{TF}}_{\tau\tau}$, which are reported in Table 2. Contamination from the Jetty background is subtracted from data using the method described above. The uncertainty in the normalization correction is large in Phase 1 because of the large rate of Jetty background in the TF measurement region.




Figure 3: Distributions of the reconstructed ditau invariant mass ($m_{\tau\tau}$) in the BDT sideband CR, shown for Phase 0 (left) and Phase 1 (right) detector configurations. The non- $\tau\tau$ background is estimated using the data-driven Jetty background method described in the text. The gray hatching shows the statistical uncertainty in the background prediction.

Table 2: Transfer factors and their associated statistical uncertainties used to extrapolate background predictions from CRs to the SR.

Method	Flavor	Phase	$\widehat{\text{TF}}$	Stat. unc.	Rel. unc.
Jetty	Muon	0	0.73	0.14	19%
Jetty	Muon	1	0.62	0.06	9%
Exclusive track	Muon	0	1.11	0.04	4%
Exclusive track	Muon	1	1.07	0.02	2%
Exclusive track	Electron	0	1.04	0.05	5%
Exclusive track	Electron	1	1.05	0.03	3%
$\tau\tau$	Muon	0	1.18	0.45	38%
$\tau\tau$	Muon	1	0.29	0.26	90%

4.2 Background in exclusive track category

While tracks in signal candidate events are required to have the opposite charge from the identified lepton, background events featuring same-charge tracks are otherwise kinematically similar in the overwhelming majority of cases. Backgrounds involving isolated leptons from the prompt interaction are found to contribute negligibly to the SRs. Therefore, the background in the SRs of the exclusive track category is estimated using the same-charge CR, defined by inverting the opposite-charge requirement while applying the full analysis selection. The distribution in the same-charge data CR is adjusted with a small normalization correction derived

using events with $\text{BDT} < 0$ to match the count in the opposite-sign region. The method is validated through closure tests performed in simulation, comparing the prediction from the above method to the expected result obtained directly from simulation. The resulting transfer factors are reported in Table 2.

4.3 Systematic uncertainty

Systematic uncertainty in the background prediction is assessed based on discrepancies between observed and predicted event counts in a same-charge dilepton CR, as well as from validation studies performed using simulation. The Jetty background estimation method is performed in simulated events and compared with the results from direct simulation, and a first-order polynomial is fit to the ratio in bins of the classifier. The best-fit result is found to be statistically consistent with the unit line. The fit parameters are varied by their statistical uncertainty to yield an alternate prediction, the difference of which from the nominal prediction is propagated as a systematic uncertainty. Uncertainty values range from 8 to 22% of the estimated yields, increasing with the value of the event classifier, and are treated as fully correlated across bins. An uncertainty of 100% is assigned to the $Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow \tau^-\tau^+$ background estimates to cover the large variation in scale factors resulting from the subtraction of the Jetty background from the $Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow \tau^-\tau^+$ CR; this assignment has negligible impact on the sensitivity. Several sources of uncertainty affecting the signal yield are also identified and estimated, including uncertainty in the modeling of the jet energy response, the p_T spectrum of ISR, the efficiency of lepton and b-tagged jet reconstruction, identification, and selection, as well as the integrated luminosity, trigger efficiency, and pileup profile. These uncertainties amount to a total of 5–20% in the SRs and are incorporated into a maximum likelihood fit. Each source of systematic uncertainty is log-normal distributed, with a corresponding nuisance parameter that modifies the predicted rate of a given process via a multiplicative factor. The width of each log-normal distribution reflects the relative variation in the predicted yield under a one-standard-deviation (σ) shift of the associated uncertainty. Statistical uncertainties in CRs and simulated event yields are incorporated using gamma-distributed nuisance parameters. The likelihood combines all SRs in all event categories simultaneously.

5 Results and interpretation

The results of the analysis are shown in Fig. 4 for the dimuon category and in Fig. 5 for the lepton + exclusive track categories. No significant deviation from the SM expectation is observed, and the background model provides a good description of the data. A small excess is observed in the most sensitive SR of the dimuon category, more pronounced in the Phase 1 data set, as seen in Fig. 4. The corresponding local significance, accounting for all SRs from both data sets, is 2.2 standard deviations.

The results are interpreted in the context of the compressed Higgsino simplified model introduced in Section 1, using the maximum likelihood fit. Both the expected and observed limits are derived using the asymptotic approximation in a maximum likelihood framework [76]. Observed and expected counts are incorporated into the likelihood for all SRs, corresponding to bins in the results histograms with $\text{BDT} > 0$. The CL_s method [77, 78] is employed to compute exclusion limits at the 95% confidence level (CL) [79].

The limits are presented in the plane of $\Delta m^\pm$ and $m(\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm)$ in Fig. 6. As discussed in Section 1, the mass splitting between the neutralinos satisfies $\Delta m^0 = 2\Delta m^\pm$, consistent with scenarios of large $\tan \beta$. The region enclosed by the observed contour is excluded at 95% CL, while the color scale indicates the corresponding upper limits on the signal cross section. The green curve rep-




Figure 4: Prefit expected and observed distributions of the event BDT output score in the SRs for the dimuon category (upper) and of the dimuon invariant mass for events with event classifier scores greater than 0.1 (lower), shown separately for Phase 0 (left) and Phase 1 (right) configurations. The gray hatching shows the statistical uncertainty in the background prediction. In the lower panel, the green band indicates the relative systematic uncertainty in the predicted background, while the black bars represent the total uncertainty, including both statistical and systematic components. Two example signal scenarios are also shown as colored lines.




Figure 5: Prefit expected and observed distributions of the event BDT output score in the SRs for the muon + exclusive track category (upper), and the electron + exclusive track category (lower), shown separately for Phase 0 (left) and Phase 1 (right). In the lower panel, the vertical black bars represent the total uncertainty, including both statistical and systematic components. Example signal benchmark scenarios are also shown as colored lines.




Figure 6: The 95% CL upper limits on the fully degenerate Higgsino production cross section, calculated at NLO+NLL precision [80, 81], based on all analysis channels studied in this paper. All relevant production modes are simulated at LO, and the Z^* boson is set to decay into either two electrons or two muons with a branching fraction of 5%. The expected (red) and observed (black) exclusion contours are shown assuming the theoretical cross section. Dashed red lines indicate the expected limits with ± 1 and ± 2 standard deviation experimental uncertainties. Dashed black lines indicate the observed limit when varying the theoretical cross section by its uncertainty. The green line represents the minimum $\Delta m^\pm$ allowed by the theoretical calculation accounting for radiative corrections, as described in Ref. [24].

resents the minimum allowed value of $\Delta m^\pm$ from theoretical calculations that include radiative corrections, following the treatment in Ref. [24]. The sensitivity peaks around $\Delta m^\pm \approx 2$ GeV, where the analysis probes charginos up to masses of about 145 GeV, with the observed exclusion reaching up to approximately 115 GeV. The observed limit is weaker than the expected limit due to the somewhat larger observed count in the tightest SR of Phase 1.

6 Summary

A search for Higgsino pair production in compressed mass spectra scenarios is performed using low-momentum dimuon and lepton-track pairs in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, based on a data sample corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 137 fb^{-1} [82–84] collected in 2016, 2017, and 2018 with the CMS detector. The results are interpreted in a simplified model featuring a dark matter candidate neutralino $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ that is nearly mass-degenerate with a slightly heavier neutralino $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ and charginos $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$. The search targets a region of parameter space where sensitivity was limited in previous analyses [31, 33], and extends the reach by 0.5–2 GeV in the most compressed phase space. This region, characterized by low-mass Higgsinos, is of particular theoretical interest because of its relevance for naturalness and fine-tuning arguments [15–17], addressing both the large and small hierarchy problems. Mass differences between the lighter and heavier neutralinos are probed down to 1.5 GeV, assuming a Higgsino

mass of 100 GeV. The maximum excluded Higgsino mass is 115 GeV, corresponding to a mass difference of 3.5 GeV. These results place stringent constraints on natural supersymmetry and other models predicting electroweak multiplet dark matter.

Acknowledgments

We congratulate our colleagues in the CERN accelerator departments for the excellent performance of the LHC and thank the technical and administrative staffs at CERN and at other CMS institutes for their contributions to the success of the CMS effort. In addition, we gratefully acknowledge the computing centers and personnel of the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid and other centers for delivering so effectively the computing infrastructure essential to our analyses. Finally, we acknowledge the enduring support for the construction and operation of the LHC, the CMS detector, and the supporting computing infrastructure provided by the following funding agencies: SC (Armenia), BMBWF and FWF (Austria); FNRS and FWO (Belgium); CNPq, CAPES, FAPERJ, FAPERGS, and FAPESP (Brazil); MES and BNSF (Bulgaria); CERN; CAS, MoST, and NSFC (China); MINCIENCIAS (Colombia); MSES and CSF (Croatia); RIF (Cyprus); SENESCYT (Ecuador); ERC PRG, TARISTU24-TK10 and MoER TK202 (Estonia); Academy of Finland, MEC, and HIP (Finland); CEA and CNRS/IN2P3 (France); SRNSF (Georgia); BMFTR, DFG, and HGF (Germany); GSRI (Greece); NKFIH (Hungary); DAE and DST (India); IPM (Iran); SFI (Ireland); INFN (Italy); MSIT and NRF (Republic of Korea); MES (Latvia); LMTLT (Lithuania); MOE and UM (Malaysia); BUAP, CINVESTAV, CONACYT, LNS, SEP, and UASLP-FAI (Mexico); MOS (Montenegro); MBIE (New Zealand); PAEC (Pakistan); MES, NSC, and NAWA (Poland); FCT (Portugal); MESTD (Serbia); MICIU/AEI and PCTI (Spain); MOSTR (Sri Lanka); Swiss Funding Agencies (Switzerland); MST (Taipei); MHESI (Thailand); TUBITAK and TENMAK (Türkiye); NASU (Ukraine); STFC (United Kingdom); DOE and NSF (USA).

Individuals have received support from the Marie-Curie program and the European Research Council and Horizon 2020 Grant, contract Nos. 675440, 724704, 752730, 758316, 765710, 824093, 101115353, 101002207, 101001205, and COST Action CA16108 (European Union); the Leventis Foundation; the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation; the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation; the Science Committee, project no. 22rl-037 (Armenia); the Fonds pour la Formation à la Recherche dans l'Industrie et dans l'Agriculture (FRIA) and Fonds voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek contract No. 1228724N (Belgium); the Beijing Municipal Science & Technology Commission, No. Z191100007219010, the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities, the Ministry of Science and Technology of China under Grant No. 2023YFA1605804, the Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 12061141002, 12535004, and USTC Research Funds of the Double First-Class Initiative No. YD2030002017 (China); the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports (MEYS) of the Czech Republic; the Shota Rustaveli National Science Foundation, grant FR-22-985 (Georgia); the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG), among others, under Germany's Excellence Strategy – EXC 2121 “Quantum Universe” – 390833306, and under project number 400140256 - GRK2497; the Hellenic Foundation for Research and Innovation (HFRI), Project Number 2288 (Greece); the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, the New National Excellence Program - ÚNKP, the NKFIH research grants K 131991, K 133046, K 138136, K 143460, K 143477, K 146913, K 146914, K 147048, 2020-2.2.1-ED-2021-00181, TKP2021-NKTA-64, and 2021-4.1.2-NEMZ.KI-2024-00036 (Hungary); the Council of Science and Industrial Research, India; ICSC – National Research Center for High Performance Computing, Big Data and Quantum Computing, FAIR – Future Artificial Intelligence Research, and CUP I53D23001070006 (Mission 4 Component 1), funded by the NextGenerationEU program (Italy); the Latvian Council of Science; the Ministry of Educa-

tion and Science, project no. 2022/WK/14, and the National Science Center, contracts Opus 2021/41/B/ST2/01369, 2021/43/B/ST2/01552, 2023/49/B/ST2/03273, and the NAWA contract BPN/PPO/2021/1/00011 (Poland); the Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, grant CEECIND/01334/2018 (Portugal); the National Priorities Research Program by Qatar National Research Fund; MICIU/AEI/10.13039/501100011033, ERDF/EU, "European Union NextGenerationEU/PRTR", and Programa Severo Ochoa del Principado de Asturias (Spain); the Chulalongkorn Academic into Its 2nd Century Project Advancement Project, the National Science, Research and Innovation Fund program IND_FF_68_369_2300_097, and the Program Management Unit for Human Resources & Institutional Development, Research and Innovation, grant B39G680009 (Thailand); the Kavli Foundation; the Nvidia Corporation; the SuperMicro Corporation; the Welch Foundation, contract C-1845; and the Weston Havens Foundation (USA).

References

- [1] F. Zwicky, "On the masses of nebulae and of clusters of nebulae", *Astrophys. J.* **86** (1937) 217, doi:10.1086/143864.
- [2] V. C. Rubin and W. K. Ford, Jr., "Rotation of the Andromeda Nebula from a spectroscopic survey of emission regions", *Astrophys. J.* **159** (1970) 379, doi:10.1086/150317.
- [3] L. Lopez Honorez, E. Nezri, J. F. Oliver, and M. H. G. Tytgat, "The inert doublet model: an archetype for dark matter", *JCAP* **02** (2007) 028, doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2007/02/028.
- [4] D. Tucker-Smith and N. Weiner, "Inelastic dark matter", *Phys. Rev. D* **64** (2001) 043502, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.64.043502.
- [5] E. Izaguirre, G. Krnjaic, and B. Shuve, "Discovering inelastic thermal relic dark matter at colliders", *Phys. Rev. D* **93** (2016) 063523, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.93.063523.
- [6] P. Ramond, "Dual theory for free fermions", *Phys. Rev. D* **3** (1971) 2415, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.3.2415.
- [7] Y. A. Gol'fand and E. P. Likhtman, "Extension of the algebra of Poincare group generators and violation of P invariance", *JETP Lett.* **13** (1971) 323, doi:10.1142/9789814542340_0001.
- [8] A. Neveu and J. H. Schwarz, "Factorizable dual model of pions", *Nucl. Phys. B* **31** (1971) 86, doi:10.1016/0550-3213(71)90448-2.
- [9] D. V. Volkov and V. P. Akulov, "Possible universal neutrino interaction", *JETP Lett.* **16** (1972) 438, doi:10.1007/BFb0105270.
- [10] J. Wess and B. Zumino, "A Lagrangian model invariant under supergauge transformations", *Phys. Lett. B* **49** (1974) 52, doi:10.1016/0370-2693(74)90578-4.
- [11] J. Wess and B. Zumino, "Supergauge transformations in four dimensions", *Nucl. Phys. B* **70** (1974) 39, doi:10.1016/0550-3213(74)90355-1.
- [12] P. Fayet, "Supergauge invariant extension of the Higgs mechanism and a model for the electron and its neutrino", *Nucl. Phys. B* **90** (1975) 104, doi:10.1016/0550-3213(75)90636-7.

- [13] P. Fayet and S. Ferrara, “Supersymmetry”, *Phys. Rept.* **32** (1977) 249, doi:10.1016/0370-1573(77)90066-7.
- [14] H. Nilles, “Supersymmetry, supergravity and particle physics”, *Phys. Rept.* **110** (1984) 1, doi:10.1016/0370-1573(84)90008-5.
- [15] S. P. Martin, “A supersymmetry primer”, *Adv. Ser. Direct. High Energy Phys.* **21** (2010) 1, doi:10.1142/9789814307505_0001.
- [16] R. Barbieri and G. F. Giudice, “Upper bounds on supersymmetric particle masses”, *Nucl. Phys. B* **306** (1988) 63, doi:10.1016/0550-3213(88)90171-X.
- [17] B. de Carlos and J. A. Casas, “One-loop analysis of the electroweak breaking in supersymmetric models and the fine-tuning problem”, *Phys. Lett. B* **309** (1993) 320, doi:10.1016/0370-2693(93)90940-J.
- [18] ATLAS Collaboration, “Statistical combination of ATLAS Run 2 searches for charginos and neutralinos at the LHC”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **133** (2024) 031802, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.133.031802.
- [19] CMS Collaboration, “Combined search for electroweak production of winos, binos, higgsinos, and sleptons in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV”, *Phys. Rev. D* **109** (2024) 112001, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.109.112001.
- [20] S. Ask, “A review of the supersymmetry searches at LEP”, in *Proc. 38th Rencontres de Moriond on Electroweak Interactions and Unified Theories: Les Arcs, France, March 15–22, 2003*. 2003. arXiv:hep-ex/0305007.
- [21] LZ Collaboration, “First dark matter search results from the LUX-ZEPLIN (LZ) experiment”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **131** (2023) 041002, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.131.041002.
- [22] XENON100 Collaboration, “XENON100 dark matter results from a combination of 477 live days”, *Phys. Rev. D* **94** (2016) 122001, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.94.122001.
- [23] PandaX Collaboration, “First dark matter search results from the PandaX-I experiment”, *Sci. China Phys. Mech. Astron.* **57** (2014) 2024, doi:10.1007/s11433-014-5598-7.
- [24] N. Nagata and S. Shirai, “Higgsino dark matter in high-scale supersymmetry”, *J. High Energy Phys.* **2015** (2015), no. 01, 029, doi:10.1007/JHEP01(2015)029.
- [25] ALEPH Collaboration, “Search for charginos nearly mass degenerate with the lightest neutralino in e^+e^- collisions at centre-of-mass energies up to 209 GeV”, *Phys. Lett. B* **533** (2002) 223, doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(02)01584-8.
- [26] ALEPH Collaboration, “Absolute mass lower limit for the lightest neutralino of the MSSM from e^+e^- data at $\sqrt{s}$ up to 209 GeV”, *Phys. Lett. B* **583** (2004) 247, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2003.12.066.
- [27] DELPHI Collaboration, “Searches for supersymmetric particles in e^+e^- collisions up to 208 GeV and interpretation of the results within the MSSM”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **31** (2003) 421, doi:10.1140/epjc/s2003-01355-5.
- [28] L3 Collaboration, “Search for charginos with a small mass difference with the lightest supersymmetric particle at $\sqrt{s} = 189$ GeV”, *Phys. Lett. B* **482** (2000) 31, doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(00)00488-3.

-
- [29] OPAL Collaboration, “Search for anomalous production of di-lepton events with missing transverse momentum in e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 183\text{--}209\text{ GeV}$ ”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **32** (2004) 453, doi:10.1140/epjc/s2003-01466-y.
- [30] OPAL Collaboration, “Search for nearly mass-degenerate charginos and neutralinos at LEP”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **29** (2003) 479, doi:10.1140/epjc/s2003-01237-x.
- [31] ATLAS Collaboration, “Searches for electroweak production of supersymmetric particles with compressed mass spectra in $\sqrt{s} = 13\text{ TeV}$ pp collisions with the ATLAS detector”, *Phys. Rev. D* **101** (2020) 052005, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.101.052005.
- [32] ATLAS Collaboration, “Search for chargino-neutralino pair production in final states with three leptons and missing transverse momentum in $\sqrt{s} = 13\text{ TeV}$ pp collisions with the ATLAS detector”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81** (2021) 1118, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-021-09749-7.
- [33] CMS Collaboration, “Search for supersymmetry in final states with two or three soft leptons and missing transverse momentum in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13\text{ TeV}$ ”, *J. High Energy Phys.* **2022** (2022), no. 04, 091, doi:10.1007/JHEP04(2022)091.
- [34] CMS Collaboration, “Search for disappearing tracks in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13\text{ TeV}$ ”, *Phys. Lett. B* **806** (2020) 135502, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2020.135502.
- [35] ATLAS Collaboration, “Search for long-lived charginos based on a disappearing-track signature using 136 fb^{-1} of pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13\text{ TeV}$ with the ATLAS detector”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **82** (2022) 606, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-022-10489-5.
- [36] CMS Collaboration, “Search for supersymmetry in final states with disappearing tracks in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13\text{ TeV}$ ”, *Phys. Rev. D* **109** (2024) 072007, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.109.072007.
- [37] ATLAS Collaboration, “Search for nearly mass-degenerate Higgsinos using low-momentum mildly displaced tracks in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13\text{ TeV}$ with the ATLAS detector”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **132** (2024) 221801, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.132.221801.
- [38] “HEPData record for this analysis”, 2025. doi:10.17182/hepdata.166018.
- [39] CMS Collaboration, “The CMS experiment at the CERN LHC”, *J. Instrum.* **3** (2008) S08004, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/3/08/S08004.
- [40] CMS Collaboration, “Development of the CMS detector for the CERN LHC Run 3”, *J. Instrum.* **19** (2024) P05064, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/19/05/P05064.
- [41] CMS Collaboration, “Performance of the CMS Level-1 trigger in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13\text{ TeV}$ ”, *J. Instrum.* **15** (2020) P10017, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/15/10/P10017.
- [42] CMS Collaboration, “The CMS trigger system”, *J. Instrum.* **12** (2017) P01020, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/12/01/P01020.
- [43] CMS Collaboration, “Performance of the CMS high-level trigger during LHC Run 2”, *J. Instrum.* **19** (2024) P11021, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/19/11/P11021.

- [44] CMS Collaboration, “Electron and photon reconstruction and identification with the CMS experiment at the CERN LHC”, *J. Instrum.* **16** (2021) P05014, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/16/05/P05014.
- [45] CMS Collaboration, “Performance of the CMS muon detector and muon reconstruction with proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV”, *J. Instrum.* **13** (2018) P06015, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/13/06/P06015.
- [46] CMS Collaboration, “Description and performance of track and primary-vertex reconstruction with the CMS tracker”, *J. Instrum.* **9** (2014) P10009, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/9/10/P10009.
- [47] CMS Collaboration, “Particle-flow reconstruction and global event description with the CMS detector”, *J. Instrum.* **12** (2017) P10003, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/12/10/P10003.
- [48] CMS Collaboration, “Jet energy scale and resolution in the CMS experiment in pp collisions at 8 TeV”, *J. Instrum.* **12** (2017) P02014, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/12/02/P02014.
- [49] CMS Collaboration, “Performance of missing transverse momentum reconstruction in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV using the CMS detector”, *J. Instrum.* **14** (2019) P07004, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/14/07/P07004.
- [50] CMS Tracker Group Collaboration, “The CMS Phase-1 pixel detector upgrade”, *J. Instrum.* **16** (2021) P02027, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/16/02/P02027.
- [51] W. Adam, R. Frühwirth, A. Strandlie, and T. Todorov, “Reconstruction of electrons with the gaussian-sum filter in the CMS tracker at the LHC”, *J. Phys. G* **31** (2005) N9, doi:10.1088/0954-3899/31/9/N01.
- [52] CMS Collaboration, “Performance of electron reconstruction and selection with the CMS detector in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV”, *J. Instrum.* **10** (2015) P06005, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/10/06/P06005.
- [53] M. Cacciari, G. P. Salam, and G. Soyez, “The anti- k_T jet clustering algorithm”, *J. High Energy Phys.* **2008** (2008), no. 04, 063, doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2008/04/063.
- [54] M. Cacciari, G. P. Salam, and G. Soyez, “FASTJET user manual”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **72** (2012) 1896, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-012-1896-2.
- [55] CMS Collaboration, “Identification of heavy-flavour jets with the CMS detector in pp collisions at 13 TeV”, *J. Instrum.* **13** (2018) P05011, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/13/05/P05011.
- [56] J. Alwall et al., “The automated computation of tree-level and next-to-leading order differential cross sections, and their matching to parton shower simulations”, *J. High Energy Phys.* **2014** (2014), no. 07, 079, doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2014)079.
- [57] J. Alwall et al., “Comparative study of various algorithms for the merging of parton showers and matrix elements in hadronic collisions”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **53** (2008) 473, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-007-0490-5.
- [58] R. Frederix and S. Frixione, “Merging meets matching in MC@NLO”, *J. High Energy Phys.* **2012** (2012), no. 12, 061, doi:10.1007/JHEP12(2012)061.

-
- [59] P. Nason, “A new method for combining NLO QCD with shower Monte Carlo algorithms”, *J. High Energy Phys.* **2004** (2004), no. 11, 040, doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2004/11/040.
- [60] S. Frixione, P. Nason, and C. Oleari, “Matching NLO QCD computations with parton shower simulations: the POWHEG method”, *J. High Energy Phys.* **2007** (2007), no. 11, 070, doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2007/11/070.
- [61] S. Alioli, P. Nason, C. Oleari, and E. Re, “A general framework for implementing NLO calculations in shower Monte Carlo programs: the POWHEG BOX”, *J. High Energy Phys.* **2010** (2010), no. 06, 043, doi:10.1007/JHEP06(2010)043.
- [62] S. Alioli, P. Nason, C. Oleari, and E. Re, “NLO single-top production matched with shower in POWHEG: s- and t-channel contributions”, *J. High Energy Phys.* **2009** (2009), no. 09, 111, doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2009/09/111. [Erratum: doi:10.1007/JHEP02(2010)011].
- [63] E. Re, “Single-top Wt-channel production matched with parton showers using the POWHEG method”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **71** (2011) 1547, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-011-1547-z.
- [64] CMS Collaboration, “Event generator tunes obtained from underlying event and multiparton scattering measurements”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76** (2016) 155, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-016-3988-x.
- [65] CMS Collaboration, “Extraction and validation of a new set of CMS PYTHIA 8 tunes from underlying-event measurements”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80** (2020) 4, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-019-7499-4.
- [66] T. Sjöstrand et al., “An introduction to PYTHIA 8.2”, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **191** (2015) 159, doi:10.1016/j.cpc.2015.01.024.
- [67] GEANT4 Collaboration, “GEANT4—a simulation toolkit”, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **506** (2003) 250, doi:10.1016/S0168-9002(03)01368-8.
- [68] NNPDF Collaboration, “Parton distributions with QED corrections”, *Nucl. Phys. B* **877** (2013) 290, doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2013.10.010.
- [69] NNPDF Collaboration, “Parton distributions from high-precision collider data”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **77** (2017) 663, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-017-5199-5.
- [70] CMS Collaboration, S. Abdullin et al., “The fast simulation of the CMS detector at LHC”, in *Proc. 18th International Conference on Computing in High Energy and Nuclear Physics (CHEP 2010): Taipei, Taiwan, October 18–22, 2010*. 2011. [J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 331 (2011) 032049]. doi:10.1088/1742-6596/331/3/032049.
- [71] A. Giammanco, “The fast simulation of the CMS experiment”, in *Proc. 20th International Conference on Computing in High Energy and Nuclear Physics (CHEP 2013): Amsterdam, The Netherlands, October 14–18, 2013*. 2014. [J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 513 (2014) 022012]. doi:10.1088/1742-6596/513/2/022012.
- [72] J. Therhaag, “TMVA: Toolkit for multivariate data analysis in ROOT”, in *Proc. 35th International Conference on High Energy Physics (ICHEP 2010): Paris, France, July 21–28, 2010*. 2010. [PoS (ICHEP2010) 510]. doi:10.22323/1.120.0510.

- [73] R. K. Ellis, I. Hinchliffe, M. Soldate, and J. J. van der Bij, “Higgs decay to $\tau^+\tau^-$: A possible signature of intermediate mass Higgs bosons at high energy hadron colliders”, *Nucl. Phys. B* **297** (1988) 221, doi:10.1016/0550-3213(88)90019-3.
- [74] ATLAS Collaboration, “Expected performance of the ATLAS experiment: detector, trigger and physics”, 2009. doi:10.17181/CERN.1ME0.GHHY.
- [75] CMS Collaboration, “CMS physics technical design report, volume II: Physics performance”, *J. Phys. G* **34** (2007) 995, doi:10.1088/0954-3899/34/6/S01.
- [76] G. Cowan, K. Cranmer, E. Gross, and O. Vitells, “Asymptotic formulae for likelihood-based tests of new physics”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **71** (2011) 1554, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-011-1554-0. [Erratum: doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-013-2501-z].
- [77] T. Junk, “Confidence level computation for combining searches with small statistics”, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **434** (1999) 435, doi:10.1016/S0168-9002(99)00498-2.
- [78] A. L. Read, “Presentation of search results: The CL_s technique”, *J. Phys. G* **28** (2002) 2693, doi:10.1088/0954-3899/28/10/313.
- [79] CMS Collaboration, “The CMS statistical analysis and combination tool: COMBINE”, *Comput. Softw. Big Sci.* **8** (2024) 19, doi:10.1007/s41781-024-00121-4.
- [80] B. Fuks, M. Klasen, D. R. Lamprea, and M. Rothering, “Gaugino production in proton-proton collisions at a center-of-mass energy of 8 TeV”, *J. High Energy Phys.* **2012** (2012), no. 10, 081, doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2012)081.
- [81] B. Fuks, M. Klasen, D. R. Lamprea, and M. Rothering, “Precision predictions for electroweak superpartner production at hadron colliders with RESUMMINO”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **73** (2013) 2480, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-013-2480-0.
- [82] CMS Collaboration, “Precision luminosity measurement in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV in 2015 and 2016 at CMS”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81** (2021) 800, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-021-09538-2.
- [83] CMS Collaboration, “CMS luminosity measurement for the 2017 data-taking period at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV”, CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-LUM-17-004, 2018.
- [84] CMS Collaboration, “CMS luminosity measurement for the 2018 data-taking period at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV”, CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-LUM-18-002, 2019.

A The CMS Collaboration

Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia

A. Hayrapetyan, V. Makarenko , A. Tumasyan¹ 

Institut für Hochenergiephysik, Vienna, Austria

W. Adam , J.W. Andrejkovic, L. Benato , T. Bergauer , M. Dragicevic , C. Giordano, P.S. Hussain , M. Jeitler² , N. Krammer , A. Li , D. Liko , M. Matthewman, I. Mikulec , J. Schieck² , R. Schöfbeck² , D. Schwarz , M. Shooshtari , M. Sonawane , W. Waltenberger , C.-E. Wulz² 

Universiteit Antwerpen, Antwerpen, Belgium

T. Janssen , H. Kwon , D. Ocampo Henao , T. Van Laer , P. Van Mechelen 

Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium

J. Bierkens , N. Breugelmans, J. D'Hondt , S. Dansana , A. De Moor , M. Delcourt , F. Heyen, Y. Hong , P. Kashko , S. Lowette , I. Makarenko , D. Müller , J. Song , S. Tavernier , M. Tytgat³ , G.P. Van Onsem , S. Van Putte , D. Vannerom 

Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium

B. Bilin , B. Clerbaux , A.K. Das, I. De Bruyn , G. De Lentdecker , H. Evard , L. Favart , P. Gianneios , A. Khalilzadeh, F.A. Khan , A. Malara , M.A. Shahzad, L. Thomas , M. Vanden Bemden , C. Vander Velde , P. Vanlaer , F. Zhang 

Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

M. De Coen , D. Dobur , G. Gokbulut , J. Knolle , D. Marckx , K. Skovpen , A.M. Tomaru, N. Van Den Bossche , J. van der Linden , J. Vandenbroeck , L. Wezenbeek 

Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

S. Bein , A. Benecke , A. Bethani , G. Bruno , A. Cappati , J. De Favereau De Jeneret , C. Delaere , A. Giammanco , A.O. Guzel , V. Lemaitre, J. Lidrych , P. Malek , P. Mastrapasqua , S. Turkcapar 

Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Fisicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

G.A. Alves , M. Barroso Ferreira Filho , E. Coelho , C. Hensel , T. Menezes De Oliveira , C. Mora Herrera⁴ , P. Rebello Teles , M. Soeiro , E.J. Tonelli Manganote⁵ , A. Vilela Pereira⁴ 

Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

W.L. Aldá Júnior , H. Brandao Malbouisson , W. Carvalho , J. Chinellato⁶ , M. Costa Reis , E.M. Da Costa , G.G. Da Silveira⁷ , D. De Jesus Damiao , S. Fonseca De Souza , R. Gomes De Souza , S. S. Jesus , T. Laux Kuhn⁷ , M. Macedo , K. Mota Amarilo , L. Mundim , H. Nogima , J.P. Pinheiro , A. Santoro , A. Sznajder , M. Thiel , F. Torres Da Silva De Araujo⁸ 

Universidade Estadual Paulista, Universidade Federal do ABC, São Paulo, Brazil

C.A. Bernardes⁷ , F. Damas , T.R. Fernandez Perez Tomei , E.M. Gregores , B. Lopes Da Costa , I. Maietto Silverio , P.G. Mercadante , S.F. Novaes , B. Orzari , Sandra S. Padula , V. Scheurer

Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, Bulgaria

A. Aleksandrov , G. Antchev , P. Danev, R. Hadjiiska , P. Iaydjiev , M. Shopova , G. Sultanov 

University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria

A. Dimitrov , L. Litov , B. Pavlov , P. Petkov , A. Petrov 

Instituto De Alta Investigación, Universidad de Tarapacá, Casilla 7 D, Arica, Chile

S. Keshri , D. Laroze , S. Thakur 

Universidad Tecnica Federico Santa Maria, Valparaiso, Chile

W. Brooks 

Beihang University, Beijing, China

T. Cheng , T. Javaid , L. Wang , L. Yuan 

Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China

Z. Hu , Z. Liang, J. Liu, X. Wang 

Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing, China

G.M. Chen⁹ , H.S. Chen⁹ , M. Chen⁹ , Y. Chen , Q. Hou , X. Hou, F. Iemmi , C.H. Jiang, A. Kapoor¹⁰ , H. Liao , G. Liu , Z.-A. Liu¹¹ , J.N. Song¹¹, S. Song, J. Tao , C. Wang⁹, J. Wang , H. Zhang , J. Zhao 

State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China

A. Agapitos , Y. Ban , A. Carvalho Antunes De Oliveira , S. Deng , B. Guo, Q. Guo, C. Jiang , A. Levin , C. Li , Q. Li , Y. Mao, S. Qian, S.J. Qian , X. Qin, C. Quaranta , X. Sun , D. Wang , J. Wang, H. Yang, M. Zhang, Y. Zhao, C. Zhou 

State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Institute of Quantum Matter, South China Normal University, Guangzhou, China

S. Yang 

Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, China

Z. You 

University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, China

K. Jaffel , N. Lu 

Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing, China

G. Bauer^{12,13}, Z. Cui¹³, B. Li¹⁴, H. Wang , K. Yi¹⁵ , J. Zhang 

Institute of Modern Physics and Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Ion-beam Application (MOE) - Fudan University, Shanghai, China

Y. Li

Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China

Z. Lin , C. Lu , M. Xiao¹⁶ 

Universidad de Los Andes, Bogota, Colombia

C. Avila , D.A. Barbosa Trujillo , A. Cabrera , C. Florez , J. Fraga , J.A. Reyes Vega

Universidad de Antioquia, Medellin, Colombia

C. Rendón , M. Rodriguez , A.A. Ruales Barbosa , J.D. Ruiz Alvarez 

University of Split, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture, Split, Croatia

N. Godinovic , D. Lelas , A. Sculac 

University of Split, Faculty of Science, Split, Croatia

M. Kovac , A. Petkovic , T. Sculac 

Institute Rudjer Boskovic, Zagreb, Croatia

P. Bargassa , V. Brigljevic , B.K. Chitroda , D. Ferencek , K. Jakovcic, A. Starodumov , T. Susa 

University of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus

A. Attikis , K. Christoforou , A. Hadjiagapiou, C. Leonidou , C. Nicolaou, L. Paizanos , F. Ptochos , P.A. Razis , H. Rykaczewski, H. Saka , A. Stepennov 

Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic

M. Finger[†] , M. Finger Jr. 

Escuela Politecnica Nacional, Quito, Ecuador

E. Ayala 

Universidad San Francisco de Quito, Quito, Ecuador

E. Carrera Jarrin 

Academy of Scientific Research and Technology of the Arab Republic of Egypt, Egyptian Network of High Energy Physics, Cairo, Egypt

S. Elgammal¹⁷, A. Ellithi Kamel¹⁸ 

Center for High Energy Physics (CHEP-FU), Fayoum University, El-Fayoum, Egypt

A. Lotfy , M.A. Mahmoud 

National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia

K. Ehataht , M. Kadastik, T. Lange , C. Nielsen , J. Pata , M. Raidal , N. Seeba , L. Tani 

Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

A. Milieva , K. Osterberg , M. Voutilainen 

Helsinki Institute of Physics, Helsinki, Finland

N. Bin Norjoharuddeen , E. Brücken , F. Garcia , P. Inkaew , K.T.S. Kallonen , R. Kumar Verma , T. Lampén , K. Lassila-Perini , B. Lehtela , S. Lehti , T. Lindén , N.R. Mancilla Xinto , M. Myllymäki , M.m. Rantanen , S. Saariokari , N.T. Toikka , J. Tuominiemi 

Lappeenranta-Lahti University of Technology, Lappeenranta, Finland

H. Kirschenmann , P. Luukka , H. Petrow 

IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France

M. Besancon , F. Couderc , M. Dejardin , D. Denegri, P. Devouge, J.L. Faure , F. Ferri , P. Gagne, S. Ganjour , P. Gras , G. Hamel de Monchenault , M. Kumar , V. Lohezic , J. Malcles , F. Orlandi , L. Portales , S. Ronchi , M.Ö. Sahin , A. Savoy-Navarro¹⁹ , P. Simkina , M. Titov , M. Tornago 

Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, CNRS/IN2P3, Ecole Polytechnique, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, Palaiseau, France

R. Amella Ranz , F. Beaudette , G. Boldrini , P. Busson , C. Charlot , M. Chiusi , T.D. Cuisset , O. Davignon , A. De Wit , T. Debnath , I.T. Ehle , S. Ghosh , A. Gilbert , R. Granier de Cassagnac , L. Kalipoliti , M. Manoni , M. Nguyen , S. Obraztsov , C. Ochando , R. Salerno , J.B. Sauvan , Y. Sirois , G. Sokmen, L. Urda Gómez , A. Zabi , A. Zghiche 

Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, IPHC UMR 7178, Strasbourg, France

J.-L. Agram²⁰ , J. Andrea , D. Bloch , J.-M. Brom , E.C. Chabert , C. Collard 

G. Coulon, S. Falke , U. Goerlach , R. Haeberle , A.-C. Le Bihan , M. Meena , O. Poncet , G. Saha , P. Vaucelle 

Centre de Calcul de l'Institut National de Physique Nucleaire et de Physique des Particules, CNRS/IN2P3, Villeurbanne, France

A. Di Florio 

Institut de Physique des 2 Infinis de Lyon (IP2I), Villeurbanne, France

D. Amram, S. Beauceron , B. Blancon , G. Boudoul , N. Chanon , D. Contardo , P. Depasse , H. El Mamouni, J. Fay , S. Gascon , M. Gouzevitch , C. Greenberg , G. Grenier , B. Ille , E. Jourd'Huy, I.B. Laktineh, M. Lethuillier , B. Massoteau , L. Mirabito, A. Purohit , M. Vander Donckt , J. Xiao 

Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia

D. Chokheli , I. Lomidze , Z. Tsamalaidze²¹ 

RWTH Aachen University, I. Physikalisches Institut, Aachen, Germany

V. Botta , S. Consuegra Rodríguez , L. Feld , K. Klein , M. Lipinski , D. Meuser , P. Natland , V. Oppenländer, A. Pauls , D. Pérez Adán , N. Röwert , M. Teroerde 

RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany

C. Daumann, S. Diekmann , A. Dodonova , N. Eich , D. Eliseev , F. Engelke , J. Erdmann , M. Erdmann , B. Fischer , T. Hebbeker , K. Hoepfner , F. Ivone , A. Jung , N. Kumar , M.y. Lee , F. Mausolf , M. Merschmeyer , A. Meyer , F. Nowotny, A. Pozdnyakov , W. Redjeb , H. Reithler , U. Sarkar , V. Sarkisovi , A. Schmidt , C. Seth, A. Sharma , J.L. Spah , V. Vaulin, S. Zaleski

RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut B, Aachen, Germany

M.R. Beckers , C. Dziwok , G. Flügge , N. Hoeflich , T. Kress , A. Nowack , O. Pooth , A. Stahl , A. Zotz 

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany

H. Aarup Petersen , A. Abel, M. Aldaya Martin , J. Alimena , S. Amoroso, Y. An , I. Andreev , J. Bach , S. Baxter , M. Bayatmakou , H. Becerril Gonzalez , O. Behnke , A. Belvedere , F. Blekman²² , K. Borras²³ , A. Campbell , S. Chatterjee , L.X. Coll Saravia , G. Eckerlin, D. Eckstein , E. Gallo²² , A. Geiser , V. Guglielmi , M. Guthoff , A. Hinzmann , L. Jeppe , M. Kasemann , C. Kleinwort , R. Kogler , M. Komm , D. Krücker , W. Lange, D. Leyva Pernia , K.-Y. Lin , K. Lipka²⁴ , W. Lohmann²⁵ , J. Malvaso , R. Mankel , I.-A. Melzer-Pellmann , M. Mendizabal Morentin , A.B. Meyer , G. Milella , K. Moral Figueroa , A. Mussgiller , L.P. Nair , J. Niedziela , A. Nürnberg , J. Park , E. Ranken , A. Raspereza , D. Rastorguev , L. Rygaard , M. Scham^{26,23} , S. Schnake²³ , P. Schütze , C. Schwanenberger²² , D. Selivanova , K. Sharko , M. Shchedrolosiev , D. Stafford , M. Torkian, F. Vazzoler , A. Ventura Barroso , R. Walsh , D. Wang , Q. Wang , K. Wichmann, L. Wiens²³ , C. Wissing , Y. Yang , S. Zakharov, A. Zimmermann Castro Santos 

University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany

A.R. Alves Andrade , M. Antonello , S. Bollweg, M. Bonanomi , K. El Morabit , Y. Fischer , M. Frahm, E. Garutti , A. Grohsjean , A.A. Guvenli , J. Haller , D. Hundhausen, G. Kasieczka , P. Keicher , R. Klanner , W. Korcari , T. Kramer , C.c. Kuo, F. Labe , J. Lange , A. Lobanov , L. Moureaux , A. Nigamova , K. Nikolopoulos , Y. Nissan, A. Paasch , K.J. Pena Rodriguez , N. Prouvost, T. Quadfasel , B. Raciti , M. Rieger 

D. Savoie , P. Schleper , M. Schröder , J. Schwandt , M. Sommerhalder , H. Stadie , G. Steinbrück , R. Ward , B. Wiederspan, M. Wolf 

Karlsruher Institut fuer Technologie, Karlsruhe, Germany

S. Brommer , E. Butz , Y.M. Chen , T. Chwalek , A. Dierlamm , G.G. Dincer , U. Elicabuk, N. Faltermann , M. Giffels , A. Gottmann , F. Hartmann²⁷ , M. Horzela , F. Hummer , U. Husemann , J. Kieseler , M. Klute , R. Kunnilan Muhammed Rafeek, O. Lavoryk , J.M. Lawhorn , A. Lintuluoto , S. Maier , M. Mormile , Th. Müller , E. Pfeffer , M. Presilla , G. Quast , K. Rabbertz , B. Regnery , R. Schmieder, N. Shadskiy , I. Shvetsov , H.J. Simonis , L. Sowa , L. Stockmeier, K. Tauqeer, M. Toms , B. Topko , N. Trevisani , C. Verstege , T. Voigtländer , R.F. Von Cube , J. Von Den Driesch, M. Wassmer , R. Wolf , W.D. Zeuner , X. Zuo 

Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics (INPP), NCSR Demokritos, Aghia Paraskevi, Greece

G. Anagnostou , G. Daskalakis , A. Kyriakis 

National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece

G. Melachroinos, Z. Painesis , I. Paraskevas , N. Saoulidou , K. Theofilatos , E. Tziaferi , E. Tzovara , K. Vellidis , I. Zisopoulos 

National Technical University of Athens, Athens, Greece

T. Chatzistavrou , G. Karapostoli , K. Kousouris , E. Siamarkou, G. Tsipolitis 

University of Ioánnina, Ioánnina, Greece

I. Bestintzanos, I. Evangelou , C. Foudas, P. Katsoulis, P. Kokkas , P.G. Kosmoglou Kioseoglou , N. Manthos , I. Papadopoulos , J. Strologas 

HUN-REN Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary

D. Druzhkin , C. Hajdu , D. Horvath^{28,29} , K. Márton, A.J. Rád1³⁰ , F. Sikler , V. Veszpremi 

MTA-ELTE Lendület CMS Particle and Nuclear Physics Group, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary

M. Csanád , K. Farkas , A. Fehérkuti³¹ , M.M.A. Gadallah³² , Á. Kadlecik , M. León Coello , G. Pásztor , G.I. Veres 

Faculty of Informatics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary

B. Ujvari , G. Zilizi 

HUN-REN ATOMKI - Institute of Nuclear Research, Debrecen, Hungary

G. Bencze, S. Czellar, J. Molnar, Z. Szillasi

Karoly Robert Campus, MATE Institute of Technology, Gyongyos, Hungary

T. Csorgo³¹ , F. Nemes³¹ , T. Novak , I. Szanyi³³ 

Panjab University, Chandigarh, India

S. Bansal , S.B. Beri, V. Bhatnagar , G. Chaudhary , S. Chauhan , N. Dhingra³⁴ , A. Kaur , A. Kaur , H. Kaur , M. Kaur , S. Kumar , T. Sheokand, J.B. Singh , A. Singla 

University of Delhi, Delhi, India

A. Bhardwaj , A. Chhetri , B.C. Choudhary , A. Kumar , A. Kumar , M. Naimuddin , S. Phor , K. Ranjan , M.K. Saini

University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad, India

S. Acharya³⁵ , B. Gomber , B. Sahu³⁵ 

Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur, Kanpur, India

S. Mukherjee 

Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, HBNI, Kolkata, India

S. Baradia , S. Bhattacharya , S. Das Gupta, S. Dutta , S. Dutta, S. Sarkar

Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Madras, India

M.M. Ameen , P.K. Behera , S. Chatterjee , G. Dash , A. Dattamunsi, P. Jana , P. Kalbhor , S. Kamble , J.R. Komaragiri³⁶ , T. Mishra , P.R. Pujahari , A.K. Sikdar , R.K. Singh , P. Verma , S. Verma , A. Vijay 

IISER Mohali, India, Mohali, India

B.K. Sirasva

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-A, Mumbai, India

L. Bhatt, S. Dugad , G.B. Mohanty , M. Shelake , P. Suryadevara

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-B, Mumbai, India

A. Bala , S. Banerjee , S. Barman³⁷ , R.M. Chatterjee, M. Guchait , Sh. Jain , A. Jaiswal, B.M. Joshi , S. Kumar , M. Maity³⁷, G. Majumder , K. Mazumdar , S. Parolia , R. Saxena , A. Thachayath 

National Institute of Science Education and Research, An OCC of Homi Bhabha National Institute, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India

S. Bahinipati³⁸ , D. Maity³⁹ , P. Mal , K. Naskar³⁹ , A. Nayak³⁹ , S. Nayak, K. Pal , R. Raturi, P. Sadangi, S.K. Swain , S. Varghese³⁹ , D. Vats³⁹ 

Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER), Pune, India

A. Alpana , S. Dube , P. Hazarika , B. Kansal , A. Laha , R. Sharma , S. Sharma , K.Y. Vaish 

Indian Institute of Technology Hyderabad, Telangana, India

S. Ghosh 

Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran

H. Bakhshiansohi⁴⁰ , A. Jafari⁴¹ , V. Sedighzadeh Dalavi , M. Zeinali⁴² 

Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), Tehran, Iran

S. Bashiri , S. Chenarani⁴³ , S.M. Etesami , Y. Hosseini , M. Khakzad , E. Khazaie , M. Mohammadi Najafabadi , S. Tizchang⁴⁴ 

University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland

M. Felcini , M. Grunewald 

INFN Sezione di Bari^a, Università di Bari^b, Politecnico di Bari^c, Bari, Italy

M. Abbrescia^{a,b} , M. Barbieri^{a,b}, M. Buonsante^{a,b} , A. Colaleo^{a,b} , D. Creanza^{a,c} , N. De Filippis^{a,c} , M. De Palma^{a,b} , W. Elmetenawee^{a,b,45} , N. Ferrara^{a,c} , L. Fiore^a , L. Longo^a , M. Louka^{a,b} , G. Maggi^{a,c} , M. Maggi^a , I. Margjeka^a , V. Mastrapasqua^{a,b} , S. My^{a,b} , F. Nenna^{a,b} , S. Nuzzo^{a,b} , A. Pellicchia^{a,b} , A. Pompili^{a,b} , G. Pugliese^{a,c} , R. Radogna^{a,b} , D. Ramos^a , A. Ranieri^a , L. Silvestris^a , F.M. Simone^{a,c} , Ü. Sözbilir^a , A. Stamerra^{a,b} , D. Troiano^{a,b} , R. Venditti^{a,b} , P. Verwilligen^a , A. Zaza^{a,b} 

INFN Sezione di Bologna^a, Università di Bologna^b, Bologna, Italy

G. Abbiendi^a , C. Battilana^{a,b} , D. Bonacorsi^{a,b} , P. Capiluppi^{a,b} , F.R. Cavallo^a , M. Cuffiani^{a,b} , G.M. Dallavalle^a , T. Diotallevi^{a,b} , F. Fabbri^a , A. Fanfani^{a,b} , D. Fasanella^a , C. Grandi^a , L. Guiducci^{a,b} , S. Lo Meo^{a,46} , M. Lorusso^{a,b} , L. Lunerti^a , S. Marcellini^a , G. Masetti^a , F.L. Navarra^{a,b} , G. Paggi^{a,b} , A. Perrotta^a , F. Primavera^{a,b} , A.M. Rossi^{a,b} , S. Rossi Tisbeni^{a,b} , T. Rovelli^{a,b} , G.P. Siroli^{a,b} 

INFN Sezione di Catania^a, Università di Catania^b, Catania, Italy

S. Costa^{a,b,47} , A. Di Mattia^a , A. Lapertosa^a , R. Potenza^{a,b} , A. Tricomi^{a,b,47} 

INFN Sezione di Firenze^a, Università di Firenze^b, Firenze, Italy

J. Altork^{a,b} , P. Assiouras^a , G. Barbagli^a , G. Bardelli^a , M. Bartolini^{a,b} , A. Calandri^{a,b} , B. Camaiani^{a,b} , A. Cassese^a , R. Ceccarelli^a , V. Ciulli^{a,b} , C. Civinini^a , R. D'Alessandro^{a,b} , L. Damenti^{a,b} , E. Focardi^{a,b} , T. Kello^a , G. Latino^{a,b} , P. Lenzi^{a,b} , M. Lizzo^a , M. Meschini^a , S. Paoletti^a , A. Papanastassiou^{a,b} , G. Sguazzoni^a , L. Viliani^a 

INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy

L. Benussi , S. Bianco , S. Meola⁴⁸ , D. Piccolo 

INFN Sezione di Genova^a, Università di Genova^b, Genova, Italy

M. Alves Gallo Pereira^a , F. Ferro^a , E. Robutti^a , S. Tosi^{a,b} 

INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca^a, Università di Milano-Bicocca^b, Milano, Italy

A. Benaglia^a , F. Brivio^a , V. Camagni^{a,b} , F. Cetorelli^{a,b} , F. De Guio^{a,b} , M.E. Dinardo^{a,b} , P. Dini^a , S. Gennai^a , R. Gerosa^{a,b} , A. Ghezzi^{a,b} , P. Govoni^{a,b} , L. Guzzi^a , M.R. Kim^a , G. Lavizzari^{a,b} , M.T. Lucchini^{a,b} , M. Malberti^a , S. Malvezzi^a , A. Massironi^a , D. Menasce^a , L. Moroni^a , M. Paganoni^{a,b} , S. Palluotto^{a,b} , D. Pedrini^a , A. Perego^{a,b} , G. Pizzati^{a,b} , S. Ragazzi^{a,b} , T. Tabarelli de Fatis^{a,b} 

INFN Sezione di Napoli^a, Università di Napoli 'Federico II'^b, Napoli, Italy; Università della Basilicata^c, Potenza, Italy; Scuola Superiore Meridionale (SSM)^d, Napoli, Italy

S. Buontempo^a , C. Di Fraia^{a,b} , F. Fabozzi^{a,c} , L. Favilla^{a,d} , A.O.M. Iorio^{a,b} , L. Lista^{a,b,49} , P. Paolucci^{a,27} , B. Rossi^a 

INFN Sezione di Padova^a, Università di Padova^b, Padova, Italy; Università degli Studi di Cagliari^c, Cagliari, Italy

P. Azzi^a , N. Bacchetta^{a,50} , D. Bisello^{a,b} , P. Bortignon^{a,c} , G. Bortolato^{a,b} , A.C.M. Bulla^{a,c} , P. Checchia^a , T. Dorigo^{a,51} , F. Gasparini^{a,b} , U. Gasparini^{a,b} , S. Giorgetti^a , M. Gulmini^{a,52} , E. Lusiani^a , M. Margoni^{a,b} , A.T. Meneguzzo^{a,b} , J. Pazzini^{a,b} , P. Ronchese^{a,b} , R. Rossin^{a,b} , F. Simonetto^{a,b} , M. Tosi^{a,b} , A. Triossi^{a,b} , S. Ventura^a , M. Zanetti^{a,b} , P. Zotto^{a,b} , A. Zucchetta^{a,b} 

INFN Sezione di Pavia^a, Università di Pavia^b, Pavia, Italy

A. Braghieri^a , S. Calzaferri^a , P. Montagna^{a,b} , M. Pelliccioni^a , V. Re^a , C. Riccardi^{a,b} , P. Salvini^a , I. Vai^{a,b} , P. Vitulo^{a,b} 

INFN Sezione di Perugia^a, Università di Perugia^b, Perugia, Italy

S. Ajmal^{a,b} , M.E. Ascioti^{a,b} , G.M. Bilei^a , C. Carrivale^{a,b} , D. Ciangottini^{a,b} , L. Della Penna^{a,b} , L. Fanò^{a,b} , V. Mariani^{a,b} , M. Menichelli^a , F. Moscatelli^{a,53} , A. Rossi^{a,b} , A. Santocchia^{a,b} , D. Spiga^a , T. Tedeschi^{a,b} 

INFN Sezione di Pisa^a, Università di Pisa^b, Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa^c, Pisa, Italy;

Università di Siena^d, Siena, Italy

C. Aimè^{a,b} , C.A. Alexe^{a,c} , P. Asenov^{a,b} , P. Azzurri^a , G. Bagliesi^a , L. Bianchini^{a,b} , T. Boccali^a , E. Bossini^a , D. Bruschini^{a,c} , L. Calligaris^{a,b} , R. Castaldi^a , F. Cattafesta^{a,c} , M.A. Ciocci^{a,d} , M. Cipriani^{a,b} , R. Dell'Orso^a , S. Donato^{a,b} , R. Forti^{a,b} , A. Giassi^a , F. Ligabue^{a,c} , A.C. Marini^{a,b} , D. Matos Figueiredo^a , A. Messineo^{a,b} , S. Mishra^a , V.K. Muraleedharan Nair Bindhu^{a,b} , S. Nandan^a , F. Palla^a , M. Riggirello^{a,c} , A. Rizzi^{a,b} , G. Rolandi^{a,c} , S. Roy Chowdhury^{a,54} , T. Sarkar^a , A. Scribano^a , P. Solanki^{a,b} , P. Spagnolo^a , F. Tenchini^{a,b} , R. Tenchini^a , G. Tonelli^{a,b} , N. Turini^{a,d} , F. Vaselli^{a,c} , A. Venturi^a , P.G. Verdini^a

INFN Sezione di Roma^a, Sapienza Università di Roma^b, Roma, Italy

P. Akrap^{a,b} , C. Basile^{a,b} , S.C. Behera^a , F. Cavallari^a , L. Cunqueiro Mendez^{a,b} , F. De Ruggi^{a,b} , D. Del Re^{a,b} , E. Di Marco^a , M. Diemoz^a , F. Errico^a , L. Frosina^{a,b} , R. Gargiulo^{a,b} , B. Harikrishnan^{a,b} , F. Lombardi^{a,b} , E. Longo^{a,b} , L. Martikainen^{a,b} , J. Mijuskovic^{a,b} , G. Organtini^{a,b} , N. Palmeri^{a,b} , R. Paramatti^{a,b} , S. Rahatlou^{a,b} , C. Rovelli^a , F. Santanastasio^{a,b} , L. Soffi^a , V. Vladimirov^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Torino^a, Università di Torino^b, Torino, Italy; Università del Piemonte Orientale^c, Novara, Italy

N. Amapane^{a,b} , R. Arcidiacono^{a,c} , S. Argiro^{a,b} , M. Arneodo^{a,c} , N. Bartosik^{a,c} , R. Bellan^{a,b} , A. Bellora^{a,b} , C. Biino^a , C. Borca^{a,b} , N. Cartiglia^a , M. Costa^{a,b} , R. Covarelli^{a,b} , N. Demaria^a , L. Finco^a , M. Grippo^{a,b} , B. Kiani^{a,b} , L. Lanteri^{a,b} , F. Legger^a , F. Luongo^{a,b} , C. Mariotti^a , S. Maselli^a , A. Mecca^{a,b} , L. Menzio^{a,b} , P. Meridiani^a , E. Migliore^{a,b} , M. Monteno^a , M.M. Obertino^{a,b} , G. Ortona^a , L. Pacher^{a,b} , N. Pastrone^a , M. Ruspà^{a,c} , F. Siviero^{a,b} , V. Sola^{a,b} , A. Solano^{a,b} , A. Staiano^a , C. Tarricone^{a,b} , D. Trocino^a , G. Umoret^{a,b} , E. Vlasov^{a,b} , R. White^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Trieste^a, Università di Trieste^b, Trieste, Italy

J. Babbar^{a,b} , S. Belforte^a , V. Candelise^{a,b} , M. Casarsa^a , F. Cossutti^a , K. De Leo^a , G. Della Ricca^{a,b} , R. Delli Gatti^{a,b}

Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea

S. Dogra , J. Hong , J. Kim, T. Kim , D. Lee, H. Lee , J. Lee, S.W. Lee , C.S. Moon , Y.D. Oh , S. Sekmen , B. Tae, Y.C. Yang 

Department of Mathematics and Physics - GWNu, Gangneung, Korea

M.S. Kim 

Chonnam National University, Institute for Universe and Elementary Particles, Kwangju, Korea

G. Bak , P. Gwak , H. Kim , D.H. Moon , J. Seo 

Hanyang University, Seoul, Korea

E. Asilar , F. Carnevali , J. Choi⁵⁵ , T.J. Kim , Y. Ryou 

Korea University, Seoul, Korea

S. Ha , S. Han, B. Hong , J. Kim , K. Lee, K.S. Lee , S. Lee , J. Yoo 

Kyung Hee University, Department of Physics, Seoul, Korea

J. Goh , J. Shin , S. Yang 

Sejong University, Seoul, Korea

Y. Kang , H. S. Kim , Y. Kim , S. Lee 

Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea

J. Almond, J.H. Bhyun, J. Choi , J. Choi, W. Jun , H. Kim , J. Kim , T. Kim, Y. Kim, Y.W. Kim , S. Ko , H. Lee , J. Lee , J. Lee , B.H. Oh , S.B. Oh , J. Shin , U.K. Yang, I. Yoon 

University of Seoul, Seoul, Korea

W. Jang , D.Y. Kang, D. Kim , S. Kim , B. Ko, J.S.H. Lee , Y. Lee , I.C. Park , Y. Roh, I.J. Watson 

Yonsei University, Department of Physics, Seoul, Korea

G. Cho, K. Hwang , B. Kim , S. Kim, K. Lee , H.D. Yoo 

Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, Korea

M. Choi , Y. Lee , I. Yu 

College of Engineering and Technology, American University of the Middle East (AUM), Dasman, Kuwait

T. Beyrouthy , Y. Gharbia 

Kuwait University - College of Science - Department of Physics, Safat, Kuwait

F. Alazemi 

Riga Technical University, Riga, Latvia

K. Dreimanis , O.M. Eberlins , A. Gaile , C. Munoz Diaz , D. Osite , G. Pikurs , R. Plese , A. Potrebko , M. Seidel , D. Sidiropoulos Kontos 

University of Latvia (LU), Riga, Latvia

N.R. Strautnieks 

Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania

M. Ambrozas , A. Juodagalvis , S. Nargelas , A. Rinkevicius , G. Tamulaitis 

National Centre for Particle Physics, Universiti Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

I. Yusuff⁵⁶ , Z. Zolkapli

Universidad de Sonora (UNISON), Hermosillo, Mexico

J.F. Benitez , A. Castaneda Hernandez , A. Cota Rodriguez , L.E. Cuevas Picos, H.A. Encinas Acosta, L.G. Gallegos Maríñez, J.A. Murillo Quijada , L. Valencia Palomo 

Centro de Investigacion y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Mexico City, Mexico

G. Ayala , H. Castilla-Valdez , H. Crotte Ledesma , R. Lopez-Fernandez , J. Mejia Guisao , R. Reyes-Almanza , A. Sánchez Hernández 

Universidad Iberoamericana, Mexico City, Mexico

C. Oropeza Barrera , D.L. Ramirez Guadarrama, M. Ramírez García 

Benemerita Universidad Autonoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico

I. Bautista , F.E. Neri Huerta , I. Pedraza , H.A. Salazar Ibarguen , C. Uribe Estrada 

University of Montenegro, Podgorica, Montenegro

I. Bujanja , N. Raicevic 

University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand

P.H. Butler 

National Centre for Physics, Quaid-I-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan

A. Ahmad , M.I. Asghar , A. Awais , M.I.M. Awan, W.A. Khan 

AGH University of Krakow, Krakow, Poland

V. Avati, L. Forthomme , L. Grzanka , M. Malawski , K. Piotrkowski 

National Centre for Nuclear Research, Swierk, Poland

M. Bluj , M. Górski , M. Kazana , M. Szleper , P. Zalewski 

Institute of Experimental Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland

K. Bunkowski , K. Doroba , A. Kalinowski , M. Konecki , J. Krolikowski , A. Muhammad 

Warsaw University of Technology, Warsaw, Poland

P. Fokow , K. Pozniak , W. Zabolotny 

Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas, Lisboa, Portugal

M. Araujo , D. Bastos , C. Beirão Da Cruz E Silva , A. Boletti , M. Bozzo , T. Camporesi , G. Da Molin , M. Gallinaro , J. Hollar , N. Leonardo , G.B. Marozzo , A. Petrilli , M. Pisano , J. Seixas , J. Varela , J.W. Wulff 

Faculty of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia

P. Adzic , L. Markovic , P. Milenovic , V. Milosevic 

VINCA Institute of Nuclear Sciences, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia

D. Devetak , M. Dordevic , J. Milosevic , L. Nadderd , V. Rekovic , M. Stojanovic 

Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Madrid, Spain

M. Alcalde Martinez , J. Alcaraz Maestre , Cristina F. Bedoya , J.A. Brochero Cifuentes , Oliver M. Carretero , M. Cepeda , M. Cerrada , N. Colino , J. Cuchillo Ortega , B. De La Cruz , A. Delgado Peris , A. Escalante Del Valle , D. Fernández Del Val , J.P. Fernández Ramos , J. Flix , M.C. Fouz , M. Gonzalez Hernandez , O. Gonzalez Lopez , S. Goy Lopez , J.M. Hernandez , M.I. Josa , J. Llorente Merino , C. Martin Perez , E. Martin Viscasillas , D. Moran , C. M. Morcillo Perez , R. Paz Herrera , C. Perez Dengra , A. Pérez-Calero Yzquierdo , J. Puerta Pelayo , I. Redondo , J. Vazquez Escobar 

Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain

J.F. de Trocóniz 

Universidad de Oviedo, Instituto Universitario de Ciencias y Tecnologías Espaciales de Asturias (ICTEA), Oviedo, Spain

B. Alvarez Gonzalez , J. Ayllon Torresano , A. Cardini , J. Cuevas , J. Del Riego Badas , D. Estrada Acevedo , J. Fernandez Menendez , S. Folgueras , I. Gonzalez Caballero , P. Leguina , M. Obeso Menendez , E. Palencia Cortezon , J. Prado Pico , A. Soto Rodríguez , C. Vico Villalba , P. Vischia 

Instituto de Física de Cantabria (IFCA), CSIC-Universidad de Cantabria, Santander, Spain

S. Blanco Fernández , I.J. Cabrillo , A. Calderon , J. Duarte Campderros , M. Fernandez , G. Gomez , C. Lasaosa García , R. Lopez Ruiz , C. Martinez Rivero , P. Martinez Ruiz del Arbol , F. Matorras , P. Matorras Cuevas , E. Navarrete Ramos , J. Piedra Gomez , C. Quintana San Emeterio , L. Scodellaro , I. Vila , R. Vilar Cortabitarte , J.M. Vizan Garcia 

University of Colombo, Colombo, Sri Lanka

B. Kailasapathy⁵⁷ , D.D.C. Wickramarathna 

University of Ruhuna, Department of Physics, Matara, Sri Lanka

W.G.D. Dharmaratna⁵⁸ , K. Liyanage , N. Perera 

CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland

D. Abbaneo , C. Amendola , R. Ardino , E. Auffray , J. Baechler, D. Barney , J. Bendauid , M. Bianco , A. Bocci , L. Borgonovi , C. Botta , A. Bragagnolo , C.E. Brown , C. Caillol , G. Cerminara , P. Connor , D. d'Enterria , A. Dabrowski , A. David , A. De Roeck , M.M. Defranchis , M. Deile , M. Dobson , P.J. Fernández Manteca , B.A. Fontana Santos Alves , W. Funk , A. Gaddi, S. Giani, D. Gigi, K. Gill , F. Glege , M. Glowacki, A. Gruber , J. Hegeman , J.K. Heikkilä , R. Hofsaess , B. Huber , T. James , P. Janot , O. Kaluzinska , O. Karacheban²⁵ , G. Karathanasis , S. Laurila , P. Lecoq , C. Lourenço , A.-M. Lyon , M. Magherini , L. Malgeri , M. Mannelli , A. Mehta , F. Meijers , J.A. Merlin, S. Mersi , E. Meschi , M. Migliorini , F. Monti , F. Moortgat , M. Mulders , M. Musich , I. Neutelings , S. Orfanelli, F. Pantaleo , M. Pari , G. Petrucciani , A. Pfeiffer , M. Pierini , M. Pitt , H. Qu , D. Rabadý , A. Reimers , B. Ribeiro Lopes , F. Riti , P. Rosado , M. Rovere , H. Sakulin , R. Salvatico , S. Sanchez Cruz , S. Scarfi , M. Selvaggi , A. Sharma , K. Shchelina , P. Silva , P. Sphicas⁵⁹ , A.G. Stahl Leiton , A. Steen , S. Summers , D. Treille , P. Tropea , E. Vernazza , J. Wanczyk⁶⁰ , J. Wang, S. Wuchterl , M. Zarucki , P. Zehetner , P. Zejdl , G. Zevi Della Porta 

PSI Center for Neutron and Muon Sciences, Villigen, Switzerland

T. Bevilacqua⁶¹ , L. Caminada⁶¹ , W. Erdmann , R. Horisberger , Q. Ingram , H.C. Kaestli , D. Kotlinski , C. Lange , U. Langenegger , L. Noehte⁶¹ , T. Rohe , A. Samalan 

ETH Zurich - Institute for Particle Physics and Astrophysics (IPA), Zurich, Switzerland

T.K. Aarrestad , M. Backhaus , G. Bonomelli , C. Cazzaniga , K. Datta , P. De Bryas Dexmiers D'Archiacchiac⁶⁰ , A. De Cosa , G. Dissertori , M. Dittmar, M. Donegà , F. Eble , K. Gedia , F. Glessgen , C. Grab , N. Härringer , T.G. Harte , W. Lustermann , M. Malucchi , R.A. Manzoni , M. Marchegiani , L. Marchese , A. Mascellani⁶⁰ , F. Nessi-Tedaldi , F. Pauss , V. Perovic , B. Ristic , R. Seidita , J. Steggemann⁶⁰ , A. Tarabini , D. Valsecchi , R. Wallny 

Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland

C. Amsler⁶² , P. Bärttschi , F. Bilandzija , M.F. Canelli , G. Celotto , K. Cormier , M. Huwiler , W. Jin , A. Jofrehei , B. Kilminster , T.H. Kwok , S. Leontsinis , V. Lukashenko , A. Macchiolo , F. Meng , M. Missiroli , J. Motta , P. Robmann, M. Senger , E. Shokr , F. Stäger , R. Tramontano , P. Viscone 

National Central University, Chung-Li, Taiwan

D. Bhowmik, C.M. Kuo, P.K. Rout , S. Taj , P.C. Tiwari³⁶ 

National Taiwan University (NTU), Taipei, Taiwan

L. Ceard, K.F. Chen , Z.g. Chen, A. De Iorio , W.-S. Hou , T.h. Hsu, Y.w. Kao, S. Karmakar , G. Kole , Y.y. Li , R.-S. Lu , E. Paganis , X.f. Su , J. Thomas-Wilsker , L.s. Tsai, D. Tsionou, H.y. Wu , E. Yazgan 

High Energy Physics Research Unit, Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand

C. Asawatrangkuldee , N. Srimanobhas 

Tunis El Manar University, Tunis, Tunisia

Y. Maghrbi 

Çukurova University, Physics Department, Science and Art Faculty, Adana, Turkey

D. Agyel , F. Dolek , I. Dumanoglu⁶³ , Y. Guler⁶⁴ , E. Gurpinar Guler⁶⁴ , C. Isik ,
O. Kara , A. Kayis Topaksu , Y. Komurcu , G. Onengut , K. Ozdemir⁶⁵ , B. Tali⁶⁶ ,
U.G. Tok , E. Uslan , I.S. Zorbakir 

Middle East Technical University, Physics Department, Ankara, Turkey

M. Yalvac⁶⁷ 

Bogazici University, Istanbul, Turkey

B. Akgun , I.O. Atakisi⁶⁸ , E. Gülmez , M. Kaya⁶⁹ , O. Kaya⁷⁰ , M.A. Sarkisla⁷¹,
S. Tekten⁷² 

Istanbul Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey

A. Cakir , K. Cankocak^{63,73} , S. Sen⁷⁴ 

Istanbul University, Istanbul, Turkey

B. Hacisahinoglu , I. Hos⁷⁵ , B. Kaynak , S. Ozkorucuklu , O. Potok , H. Sert ,
C. Simsek , C. Zorbilmez 

Yildiz Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey

S. Cerci , C. Dozen⁷⁶ , B. Isildak⁷⁷ , E. Simsek , D. Sunar Cerci , T. Yetkin⁷⁶ 

Institute for Scintillation Materials of National Academy of Science of Ukraine, Kharkiv, Ukraine

A. Boyaryntsev , O. Dadazhanova, B. Grynyov 

National Science Centre, Kharkiv Institute of Physics and Technology, Kharkiv, Ukraine

L. Levchuk 

University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom

J.J. Brooke , A. Bundock , F. Bury , E. Clement , D. Cussans , D. Dharmender,
H. Flacher , J. Goldstein , H.F. Heath , M.-L. Holmberg , L. Kreczko , S. Parames-
varan , L. Robertshaw, M.S. Sanjrani⁴⁰, J. Segal, V.J. Smith 

Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom

A.H. Ball, K.W. Bell , A. Belyaev⁷⁸ , C. Brew , R.M. Brown , D.J.A. Cockerill ,
A. Elliot , K.V. Ellis, J. Gajownik , K. Harder , S. Harper , J. Linacre , K. Manolopoulos,
M. Moallemi , D.M. Newbold , E. Olaiya , D. Petyt , T. Reis , A.R. Sahasransu ,
G. Salvi , T. Schuh, C.H. Shepherd-Themistocleous , I.R. Tomalin , K.C. Whalen ,
T. Williams 

Imperial College, London, United Kingdom

I. Andreou , R. Bainbridge , P. Bloch , O. Buchmuller, C.A. Carrillo Montoya ,
D. Colling , J.S. Dancu, I. Das , P. Dauncey , G. Davies , M. Della Negra , S. Fayer,
G. Fedi , G. Hall , H.R. Hoorani , A. Howard, G. Iles , C.R. Knight , P. Krueper ,
J. Langford , K.H. Law , J. León Holgado , E. Leutgeb , L. Lyons , A.-M. Magnan ,
B. Maier , S. Mallios, A. Mastronikolis , M. Mieskolainen , J. Nash⁷⁹ , M. Pesaresi ,
P.B. Pradeep , B.C. Radburn-Smith , A. Richards, A. Rose , L. Russell , K. Savva ,
C. Seez , R. Shukla , A. Tapper , K. Uchida , G.P. Uttley , T. Virdee²⁷ , M. Vojinovic ,
N. Wardle , D. Winterbottom 

Brunel University, Uxbridge, United Kingdom

J.E. Cole , A. Khan, P. Kyberd , I.D. Reid 

Baylor University, Waco, Texas, USA

S. Abdullin , A. Brinkerhoff , E. Collins , M.R. Darwish , J. Dittmann , K. Hatakeyama , V. Hegde , J. Hiltbrand , B. McMaster , J. Samudio , S. Sawant , C. Sutantawibul , J. Wilson 

Bethel University, St. Paul, Minnesota, USA

J.M. Hogan⁸⁰ 

Catholic University of America, Washington, DC, USA

R. Bartek , A. Dominguez , S. Raj , A.E. Simsek , S.S. Yu 

The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, Alabama, USA

B. Bam , A. Buchot Perraguin , S. Campbell, R. Chudasama , S.I. Cooper , C. Crovella , G. Fidalgo , S.V. Gleyzer , A. Khukhunaishvili , K. Matchev , E. Pearson, C.U. Perez , P. Rumerio⁸¹ , E. Usai , R. Yi 

Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

S. Cholak , G. De Castro, Z. Demiragli , C. Erice , C. Fangmeier , C. Fernandez Madrazo , E. Fontanesi , J. Fulcher , F. Golf , S. Jeon , J. O'Cain, I. Reed , J. Rohlf , K. Salyer , D. Sperka , D. Spitzbart , I. Suarez , A. Tsatsos , E. Wurtz, A.G. Zecchinelli 

Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island, USA

G. Barone , G. Benelli , D. Cutts , S. Ellis , L. Gouskos , M. Hadley , U. Heintz , K.W. Ho , T. Kwon , L. Lambrecht , G. Landsberg , K.T. Lau , J. Luo , S. Mondal , J. Roloff, T. Russell , S. Sagir⁸² , X. Shen , M. Stamenkovic , N. Venkatasubramanian 

University of California, Davis, Davis, California, USA

S. Abbott , B. Barton , R. Breedon , H. Cai , M. Calderon De La Barca Sanchez , E. Cannaert, M. Chertok , M. Citron , J. Conway , P.T. Cox , R. Erbacher , O. Kukral , G. Mocellin , S. Ostrom , I. Salazar Segovia, J.S. Tafoya Vargas , W. Wei , S. Yoo 

University of California, Los Angeles, California, USA

K. Adamidis, M. Bachtis , D. Campos, R. Cousins , A. Datta , G. Flores Avila , J. Hauser , M. Ignatenko , M.A. Iqbal , T. Lam , Y.f. Lo , E. Manca , A. Nunez Del Prado , D. Saltzberg , V. Valuev 

University of California, Riverside, Riverside, California, USA

R. Clare , J.W. Gary , G. Hanson 

University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California, USA

A. Aportela , A. Arora , J.G. Branson , S. Cittolin , S. Cooperstein , B. D'Anzi , D. Diaz , J. Duarte , L. Giannini , Y. Gu, J. Guiang , V. Krutelyov , R. Lee , J. Letts , H. Li, M. Masciovecchio , F. Mokhtar , S. Mukherjee , M. Pieri , D. Primosch, M. Quinnan , V. Sharma , M. Tadel , E. Vourliotis , F. Würthwein , A. Yagil , Z. Zhao 

University of California, Santa Barbara - Department of Physics, Santa Barbara, California, USA

A. Barzdukas , L. Brennan , C. Campagnari , S. Carron Montero⁸³ , K. Downham , C. Grieco , M.M. Hussain, J. Incandela , M.W.K. Lai, A.J. Li , P. Masterson , J. Richman , S.N. Santpur , U. Sarica , R. Schmitz , F. Setti , J. Sheplock , D. Stuart , T.Á. Vámi , X. Yan , D. Zhang 

California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, USA

A. Albert , S. Bhattacharya , A. Bornheim , O. Cerri, R. Kansal , J. Mao , H.B. New-

man , G. Reales Gutiérrez, T. Sievert, M. Spiropulu , J.R. Vlimant , R.A. Wynne , S. Xie 

Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA

J. Alison , S. An , M. Cremonesi, V. Dutta , E.Y. Ertorer , T. Ferguson , T.A. Gómez Espinosa , A. Harilal , A. Kallil Tharayil, M. Kanemura, C. Liu , P. Meiring , T. Mudholkar , S. Murthy , P. Palit , K. Park , M. Paulini , A. Roberts , A. Sanchez , W. Terrill 

University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, Colorado, USA

J.P. Cumalat , W.T. Ford , A. Hart , S. Kwan , J. Pearkes , C. Savard , N. Schonbeck , K. Stenson , K.A. Ulmer , S.R. Wagner , N. Zipper , D. Zuolo 

Cornell University, Ithaca, New York, USA

J. Alexander , X. Chen , J. Dickinson , A. Duquette, J. Fan , X. Fan , J. Grassi , S. Hogan , P. Kotamnives , J. Monroy , G. Niendorf , M. Oshiro , J.R. Patterson , A. Ryd , J. Thom , P. Wittich , R. Zou , L. Zygala 

Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois, USA

M. Albrow , M. Alyari , O. Amram , G. Apollinari , A. Apresyan , L.A.T. Bauerdick , D. Berry , J. Berryhill , P.C. Bhat , K. Burkett , J.N. Butler , A. Canepa , G.B. Cerati , H.W.K. Cheung , F. Chlebana , C. Cosby , G. Cummings , I. Dutta , V.D. Elvira , J. Freeman , A. Gandrakota , Z. Gecse , L. Gray , D. Green, A. Grummer , S. Grünendahl , D. Guerrero , O. Gutsche , R.M. Harris , T.C. Herwig , J. Hirschauer , V. Innocente , B. Jayatilaka , S. Jindariani , M. Johnson , U. Joshi , T. Klijsma , B. Klima , K.H.M. Kwok , S. Lammel , C. Lee , D. Lincoln , R. Lipton , T. Liu , K. Maeshima , D. Mason , P. McBride , P. Merkel , S. Mrenna , S. Nahn , J. Ngadiuba , D. Noonan , S. Norberg, V. Papadimitriou , N. Pastika , K. Pedro , C. Pena⁸⁴ , C.E. Perez Lara , F. Ravera , A. Reinsvold Hall⁸⁵ , L. Ristori , M. Safdari , E. Sexton-Kennedy , N. Smith , A. Soha , L. Spiegel , S. Stoynev , J. Strait , L. Taylor , S. Tkaczyk , N.V. Tran , L. Uplegger , E.W. Vaandering , C. Wang , I. Zoi 

University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, USA

C. Aruta , P. Avery , D. Bourilkov , P. Chang , V. Cherepanov , R.D. Field, C. Huh , E. Koenig , M. Kolosova , J. Konigsberg , A. Korytov , N. Menendez , G. Mitselmakher , K. Mohrman , A. Muthirakalayil Madhu , N. Rawal , S. Rosenzweig , V. Sulimov , Y. Takahashi , J. Wang 

Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida, USA

T. Adams , A. Al Kadhimi , A. Askew , S. Bower , R. Goff, R. Hashmi , A. Hassani , R.S. Kim , T. Kolberg , G. Martinez , M. Mazza , H. Prosper , P.R. Prova, R. Yohay 

Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, Florida, USA

B. Alsufyani , S. Butalla , S. Das , M. Hohlmann , M. Lavinsky, E. Yanes

University of Illinois Chicago, Chicago, Illinois, USA

M.R. Adams , N. Barnett, A. Baty , C. Bennett , R. Cavanaugh , R. Escobar Franco , O. Evdokimov , C.E. Gerber , H. Gupta , M. Hawksworth, A. Hingrajiya, D.J. Hofman , J.h. Lee , C. Mills , S. Nanda , G. Nigmatkulov , B. Ozek , T. Phan, D. Pilipovic , R. Pradhan , E. Prifti, P. Roy, T. Roy , N. Singh, M.B. Tonjes , N. Varelas , M.A. Wadud , J. Yoo 

The University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, USA

M. Alhusseini , D. Blend , K. Dilsiz⁸⁶ , O.K. Köseyan , A. Mestvirishvili⁸⁷ , O. Neogi, H. Ogul⁸⁸ , Y. Onel , A. Penzo , C. Snyder, E. Tiras⁸⁹ 

Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland, USA

B. Blumenfeld , J. Davis , A.V. Gritsan , L. Kang , S. Kyriacou , P. Maksimovic , M. Roguljic , S. Sekhar , M.V. Srivastav , M. Swartz 

The University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas, USA

A. Abreu , L.F. Alcerro Alcerro , J. Anguiano , S. Arteaga Escatel , P. Baringer , A. Bean , R. Bhattacharya , Z. Flowers , D. Grove , J. King , G. Krintiras , M. Lazarovits , C. Le Mahieu , J. Marquez , M. Murray , M. Nickel , S. Popescu⁹⁰ , C. Rogan , C. Royon , S. Rudrabhatla , S. Sanders , C. Smith , G. Wilson 

Kansas State University, Manhattan, Kansas, USA

B. Allmond , N. Islam , A. Ivanov , K. Kaadze , Y. Maravin , J. Natoli , G.G. Reddy , D. Roy , G. Sorrentino 

University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, USA

A. Baden , A. Belloni , J. Bistany-riebman , S.C. Eno , N.J. Hadley , S. Jabeen , R.G. Kellogg , T. Koeth , B. Kronheim , S. Lascio , P. Major , A.C. Mignerey , C. Palmer , C. Papageorgakis , M.M. Paranjpe , E. Popova⁹¹ , A. Shevelev , L. Zhang 

Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA

C. Baldenegro Barrera , H. Bossi , S. Bright-Thonney , I.A. Cali , Y.c. Chen , P.c. Chou , M. D'Alfonso , J. Eysermans , C. Freer , G. Gomez-Ceballos , M. Goncharov , G. Grosso , P. Harris , D. Hoang , G.M. Innocenti , D. Kovalskyi , J. Krupa , L. Lavezzo , Y.-J. Lee , K. Long , C. McGinn , A. Novak , M.I. Park , C. Paus , C. Reissel , C. Roland , G. Roland , S. Rothman , T.a. Sheng , G.S.F. Stephans , D. Walter , Z. Wang , B. Wyslouch , T. J. Yang 

University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA

B. Crossman , W.J. Jackson , C. Kapsiak , M. Krohn , D. Mahon , J. Mans , B. Marzocchi , R. Rusack , O. Sancar , R. Saradhy , N. Strobbe 

University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, Nebraska, USA

K. Bloom , D.R. Claes , G. Haza , J. Hossain , C. Joo , I. Kravchenko , A. Rohilla , J.E. Siado , W. Tabb , A. Vagnerini , A. Wightman , F. Yan 

State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, New York, USA

H. Bandyopadhyay , L. Hay , H.w. Hsia , I. Iashvili , A. Kalogeropoulos , A. Kharchilava , A. Mandal , M. Morris , D. Nguyen , S. Rappoccio , H. Rejeb Sfar , A. Williams , P. Young , D. Yu 

Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

G. Alverson , E. Barberis , J. Bonilla , B. Bylsma , M. Campana , J. Dervan , Y. Haddad , Y. Han , I. Israr , A. Krishna , M. Lu , N. Manganelli , R. McCarthy , D.M. Morse , T. Orimoto , L. Skinnari , C.S. Thoreson , E. Tsai , D. Wood 

Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois, USA

S. Dittmer , K.A. Hahn , Y. Liu , M. McGinnis , Y. Miao , D.G. Monk , M.H. Schmitt , A. Taliencio , M. Velasco , J. Wang 

University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana, USA

G. Agarwal , R. Band , R. Bucci , S. Castells , A. Das , A. Ehnis , R. Goldouzian , M. Hildreth , K. Hurtado Anampa , T. Ivanov , C. Jessop , A. Karneyeu , K. Lannon , J. Lawrence , N. Loukas , L. Lutton , J. Mariano , N. Marinelli , I. Mcalister , T. McCauley , C. Mcgrady , C. Moore , Y. Musienko²¹ , H. Nelson , M. Osherson 

A. Piccinelli , R. Ruchti , A. Townsend , Y. Wan, M. Wayne , H. Yockey

The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio, USA

A. Basnet , M. Carrigan , R. De Los Santos , L.S. Durkin , C. Hill , M. Joyce ,
M. Nunez Ornelas , D.A. Wenzl, B.L. Winer , B. R. Yates 

Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey, USA

H. Bouchamaoui , G. Dezoort , P. Elmer , A. Frankenthal , M. Galli , B. Greenberg ,
N. Haubrich , K. Kennedy, G. Kopp , Y. Lai , D. Lange , A. Loeliger , D. Marlow ,
I. Ojalvo , J. Olsen , F. Simpson , D. Stickland , C. Tully 

University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez, Puerto Rico, USA

S. Malik , R. Sharma 

Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, USA

S. Chandra , R. Chawla , A. Gu , L. Gutay, M. Jones , A.W. Jung , D. Kondratyev ,
M. Liu , G. Negro , N. Neumeister , G. Paspalaki , S. Piperov , N.R. Saha ,
J.F. Schulte , F. Wang , A. Wildridge , W. Xie , Y. Yao , Y. Zhong 

Purdue University Northwest, Hammond, Indiana, USA

N. Parashar , A. Pathak , E. Shumka 

Rice University, Houston, Texas, USA

D. Acosta , A. Agrawal , C. Arbour , T. Carnahan , P. Das , K.M. Ecklund , S. Freed,
P. Gardner, F.J.M. Geurts , T. Huang , I. Krommydas , N. Lewis, W. Li , J. Lin ,
O. Miguel Colin , B.P. Padley , R. Redjimi , J. Rotter , M. Wulansatiti , E. Yigitbasi ,
Y. Zhang 

University of Rochester, Rochester, New York, USA

O. Bessidskaia Bylund, A. Bodek , P. de Barbaro[†] , R. Demina , A. Garcia-Bellido ,
H.S. Hare , O. Hindrichs , N. Parmar , P. Parygin⁹¹ , H. Seo , R. Taus 

Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, New Jersey, USA

B. Chiarito, J.P. Chou , S.V. Clark , S. Donnelly, D. Gadkari , Y. Gershtein ,
E. Halkiadakis , C. Houghton , D. Jaroslawski , A. Kobert , S. Konstantinou ,
I. Laflotte , A. Lath , J. Martins , M. Perez Prada , B. Rand , J. Reichert , P. Saha ,
S. Salur , S. Schnetzer, S. Somalwar , R. Stone , S.A. Thayil , S. Thomas, J. Vora 

University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee, USA

D. Ally , A.G. Delannoy , S. Fiorendi , J. Harris, T. Holmes , A.R. Kanuganti ,
N. Karunarathna , J. Lawless, L. Lee , E. Nibigira , B. Skipworth, S. Spanier 

Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas, USA

D. Aebi , M. Ahmad , T. Akhter , K. Androsov , A. Bolshov, O. Bouhali⁹² ,
A. Cagnotta , V. D'Amante , R. Eusebi , P. Flanagan , J. Gilmore , Y. Guo, T. Kamon ,
S. Luo , R. Mueller , A. Safonov 

Texas Tech University, Lubbock, Texas, USA

N. Akchurin , J. Damgov , Y. Feng , N. Gogate , Y. Kazhykarim, K. Lamichhane ,
S.W. Lee , C. Madrid , A. Mankel , T. Peltola , I. Volobouev 

Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee, USA

E. Appelt , Y. Chen , S. Greene, A. Gurrola , W. Johns , R. Kunnawalkam Elayavalli ,
A. Melo , D. Rathjens , F. Romeo , P. Sheldon , S. Tuo , J. Velkovska , J. Viinikainen ,
J. Zhang

University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia, USA

B. Cardwell , H. Chung , B. Cox , J. Hakala , G. Hamilton Ilha Machado, R. Hirosky ,
M. Jose, A. Ledovskoy , C. Mantilla , C. Neu , C. Ramón Álvarez , Z. Wu

Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan, USA

S. Bhattacharya , P.E. Karchin 

University of Wisconsin - Madison, Madison, Wisconsin, USA

A. Aravind , S. Banerjee , K. Black , T. Bose , E. Chavez , S. Dasu , P. Everaerts ,
C. Galloni, H. He , M. Herndon , A. Herve , C.K. Koraka , S. Lomte , R. Loveless ,
A. Mallampalli , A. Mohammadi , S. Mondal, T. Nelson, G. Parida , L. Pétré ,
D. Pinna , A. Savin, V. Shang , V. Sharma , W.H. Smith , D. Teague, H.F. Tsoi ,
W. Vetens , A. Warden 

Authors affiliated with an international laboratory covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN

S. Afanasiev , V. Alexakhin , Yu. Andreev , T. Aushev , D. Budkouski , R. Chistov ,
M. Danilov , T. Dimova , A. Ershov , S. Gninenko , I. Gorbunov , A. Gribushin ,
A. Kamenev , V. Karjavine , M. Kirsanov , V. Klyukhin , O. Kodolova⁹³ , V. Kor-
renkov , I. Korsakov, A. Kozyrev , N. Krasnikov , A. Lanev , A. Malakhov ,
V. Matveev , A. Nikitenko^{94,93} , V. Palichik , V. Pereygin , S. Petrushanko ,
S. Polikarpov , O. Radchenko , M. Savina , V. Shalaev , S. Shmatov , S. Shulha ,
Y. Skovpen , K. Slizhevskiy, V. Smirnov , O. Teryaev , I. Tlisova , A. Toropin ,
N. Voytishin , A. Zarubin , I. Zhizhin 

Authors affiliated with an institute formerly covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN

E. Boos , V. Bunichev , M. Dubinin⁸⁴ , V. Savrin , A. Snigirev , L. Dudko ,
K. Ivanov , V. Kim²¹ , V. Murzin , V. Oreshkin , D. Sosnov 

†: Deceased

¹Also at Yerevan State University, Yerevan, Armenia

²Also at TU Wien, Vienna, Austria

³Also at Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

⁴Also at Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

⁵Also at FACAMP - Faculdades de Campinas, Sao Paulo, Brazil

⁶Also at Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, Brazil

⁷Also at Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brazil

⁸Also at The University of the State of Amazonas, Manaus, Brazil

⁹Also at University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

¹⁰Also at China Center of Advanced Science and Technology, Beijing, China

¹¹Also at University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

¹²Also at School of Physics, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, China

¹³Now at Henan Normal University, Xinxiang, China

¹⁴Also at University of Shanghai for Science and Technology, Shanghai, China

¹⁵Now at The University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, USA

¹⁶Also at Center for High Energy Physics, Peking University, Beijing, China

¹⁷Now at British University in Egypt, Cairo, Egypt

¹⁸Now at Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt

¹⁹Also at Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, USA

²⁰Also at Université de Haute Alsace, Mulhouse, France

²¹Also at an institute formerly covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN

²²Also at University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany

- ²³Also at RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany
- ²⁴Also at Bergische University Wuppertal (BUW), Wuppertal, Germany
- ²⁵Also at Brandenburg University of Technology, Cottbus, Germany
- ²⁶Also at Forschungszentrum Jülich, Juelich, Germany
- ²⁷Also at CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland
- ²⁸Also at HUN-REN ATOMKI - Institute of Nuclear Research, Debrecen, Hungary
- ²⁹Now at Universitatea Babes-Bolyai - Facultatea de Fizica, Cluj-Napoca, Romania
- ³⁰Also at MTA-ELTE Lendület CMS Particle and Nuclear Physics Group, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary
- ³¹Also at HUN-REN Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary
- ³²Also at Physics Department, Faculty of Science, Assiut University, Assiut, Egypt
- ³³Also at The University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas, USA
- ³⁴Also at Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana, India
- ³⁵Also at University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad, India
- ³⁶Also at Indian Institute of Science (IISc), Bangalore, India
- ³⁷Also at University of Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan, India
- ³⁸Also at IIT Bhubaneswar, Bhubaneswar, India
- ³⁹Also at Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar, India
- ⁴⁰Also at Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany
- ⁴¹Also at Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran
- ⁴²Also at Sharif University of Technology, Tehran, Iran
- ⁴³Also at Department of Physics, University of Science and Technology of Mazandaran, Behshahr, Iran
- ⁴⁴Also at Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Arak University, ARAK, Iran
- ⁴⁵Also at Helwan University, Cairo, Egypt
- ⁴⁶Also at Italian National Agency for New Technologies, Energy and Sustainable Economic Development, Bologna, Italy
- ⁴⁷Also at Centro Siciliano di Fisica Nucleare e di Struttura Della Materia, Catania, Italy
- ⁴⁸Also at Università degli Studi Guglielmo Marconi, Roma, Italy
- ⁴⁹Also at Scuola Superiore Meridionale, Università di Napoli 'Federico II', Napoli, Italy
- ⁵⁰Also at Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois, USA
- ⁵¹Also at Lulea University of Technology, Lulea, Sweden
- ⁵²Also at Laboratori Nazionali di Legnaro dell'INFN, Legnaro, Italy
- ⁵³Also at Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche - Istituto Officina dei Materiali, Perugia, Italy
- ⁵⁴Also at UPES - University of Petroleum and Energy Studies, Dehradun, India
- ⁵⁵Also at Institut de Physique des 2 Infinis de Lyon (IP2I), Villeurbanne, France
- ⁵⁶Also at Department of Applied Physics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi, Malaysia
- ⁵⁷Also at Trincomalee Campus, Eastern University, Sri Lanka, Nilaveli, Sri Lanka
- ⁵⁸Also at Saegis Campus, Nugegoda, Sri Lanka
- ⁵⁹Also at National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece
- ⁶⁰Also at Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland
- ⁶¹Also at Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland
- ⁶²Also at Stefan Meyer Institute for Subatomic Physics, Vienna, Austria
- ⁶³Also at Near East University, Research Center of Experimental Health Science, Mersin, Turkey
- ⁶⁴Also at Konya Technical University, Konya, Turkey
- ⁶⁵Also at Izmir Bakircay University, Izmir, Turkey
- ⁶⁶Also at Adiyaman University, Adiyaman, Turkey

-
- ⁶⁷Also at Bozok Universitetesi Rektörlüğü, Yozgat, Turkey
- ⁶⁸Also at Istanbul Sabahattin Zaim University, Istanbul, Turkey
- ⁶⁹Also at Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey
- ⁷⁰Also at Milli Savunma University, Istanbul, Turkey
- ⁷¹Also at Informatics and Information Security Research Center, Gebze/Kocaeli, Turkey
- ⁷²Also at Kafkas University, Kars, Turkey
- ⁷³Now at Istanbul Okan University, Istanbul, Turkey
- ⁷⁴Also at Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey
- ⁷⁵Also at Istanbul University - Cerrahpasa, Faculty of Engineering, Istanbul, Turkey
- ⁷⁶Also at Istinye University, Istanbul, Turkey
- ⁷⁷Also at Yildiz Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey
- ⁷⁸Also at School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom
- ⁷⁹Also at Monash University, Faculty of Science, Clayton, Australia
- ⁸⁰Also at Bethel University, St. Paul, Minnesota, USA
- ⁸¹Also at Università di Torino, Torino, Italy
- ⁸²Also at Karamanoğlu Mehmetbey University, Karaman, Turkey
- ⁸³Also at California Lutheran University, Thousand Oaks, California, USA
- ⁸⁴Also at California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, USA
- ⁸⁵Also at United States Naval Academy, Annapolis, Maryland, USA
- ⁸⁶Also at Bingöl University, Bingöl, Turkey
- ⁸⁷Also at Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia
- ⁸⁸Also at Sinop University, Sinop, Turkey
- ⁸⁹Also at Erciyes University, Kayseri, Turkey
- ⁹⁰Also at Horia Hulubei National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering (IFIN-HH), Bucharest, Romania
- ⁹¹Now at another institute formerly covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN
- ⁹²Also at Hamad Bin Khalifa University (HBKU), Doha, Qatar
- ⁹³Also at Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia
- ⁹⁴Also at Imperial College, London, United Kingdom