

ON THE AVERAGE SIZE OF 1-NEARLY INDEPENDENT VERTEX SETS IN GRAPHS

AUDACE A. V. DOSSOU-OLORY AND ERIC O. ANDRIANTIANA

ABSTRACT. A k -nearly independent vertex subset of a graph G is a set of vertices that induces a subgraph containing exactly k edges. For $k = 0$, this coincides with the classical notion of independent subsets. This paper investigates the average size, $av_1(G)$ of the 1-nearly independent vertex subsets of both graphs and trees of a given order n .

Let E_n denote the n -vertex edgeless graph, so that $av_1(E_n) = 0$. We determine all n -vertex graphs $G \neq E_n$ that minimize or maximize av_1 . Similarly, we identify the trees of order n that achieve the minimum value of av_1 , and prove that the maximum value lies between $n/2$ and $(n+1)/2$ if $n > 8$. Finally, we construct a family of n -vertex trees which shows that the bounds are asymptotically sharp.

1. INTRODUCTION

Counting substructures in graphs is a central topic in combinatorics and graph theory. Among these, the enumeration of subgraphs—particularly induced subgraphs, spanning subgraphs, independent sets and their generalisations—plays a crucial role in understanding graph complexity and structure. Key questions include which n -vertex graphs minimise or maximise the number or average size of independent sets, under various structural constraints?

An independent vertex set in a graph G is a set of vertices, no two of which are adjacent. The number of independent vertex sets of G is also known as the Fibonacci number or Merrifield-Simmons index of G in the mathematical literature. Their enumeration has deep ties to certain models in statistical physics via the hard-core model, where each independent set is assigned a weight based on its size (see [9]).

Let $i(G)$ denote the number of independent vertex sets (including the empty set) in graph G . Among n -vertex graphs, the minimum is achieved by the complete graph K_n with $i(K_n) = n + 1$. For graphs with a fixed number of vertices and edges, a classic result of Kahn [8] shows that the number of independent sets is maximized by a disjoint union of complete bipartite graphs. Specifically, among d -regular bipartite graphs G on n vertices such that $2d|n$, the maximum value of $i(G)$ is achieved by the disjoint union of $n/2d$ copies of $K_{d,d}$, confirming a conjecture of Alon and Kahn. In general graphs, Zhao [11] extended Kahn's entropy method to show that among all graphs with maximum degree Δ , the number of independent vertex sets is asymptotically maximized by a disjoint union of $K_{\Delta,\Delta}$. Among regular graphs, the minimum is less understood, but lower bounds

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 05C30, 05C69; secondary 05C35, 05C75.

Key words and phrases. 1-nearly independent vertex sets, extremal graph structures, average size.

have been given in terms of vertex degrees. There are many other work on extremising $i(G)$ when G is restricted to various classes of n -vertex graphs such as graphs with a given minimum degree [6, 7], or given degree sequence [2].

A *1-nearly independent vertex set* allows exactly one pair of adjacent vertices in the collection. This concept was introduced recently by Andriantiana and Shoji in [1], where various of its properties are discussed and extremal n -vertex graphs and n -vertex trees are characterised.

The analogous edge version [4] counts edge-subsets with one adjacent pair; the star minimises and the path maximises this number among n -vertex trees. These new generalisations open further directions for extremal and enumerative analyses.

Let $\mathcal{S}_0(G)$ denote the set of all independent vertex subsets of graph $G = (V, E)$. Define the average size of independent vertex sets of G by

$$\bar{s}(G) := \frac{1}{i(G)} \sum_{I \in \mathcal{S}_0(G)} |I|.$$

Andriantiana et al. [3] showed that among all n -vertex graphs, $\bar{s}$ is maximised by the edgeless graph and minimised by K_n , while among trees, the path minimises $\bar{s}$ and the star maximises it. See also the old short paper [10] which studies the asymptotic behaviour of $\bar{s}(G)$ in terms of order and the chromatic number of G , and the recent results [5] giving a tight lower bound on the expected size of a uniformly chosen independent set in triangle-free graphs of bounded degree.

In this manuscript, we investigate the average size av_1 of 1-nearly independent subsets in the class of graphs of fixed order n , and subsequently in the class of trees of order n . Both upper and lower bounds are established, along with characterizations of the graphs that attain these bounds.

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, we formally define av_1 , compute explicit expressions of av_1 for graphs that have less complicated structures, and establish some technical recursive formulas. These formulas will later be needed in proving our main theorems. Section 3 is devoted to the study of average among n -vertex graphs. In particular, graphs with the smallest and largest av_1 are characterized. In Section 4 we show that the star is the n -vertex tree with the smallest av_1 . We also prove that the maximum value of av_1 is less than $(n + 1)/2$ for $n > 8$. Moreover, we characterise an explicit family of n -vertex trees R_n with $av_1(R_n) = n/2 + \delta_n$ for some $0 < \delta_n < 1/2$, thus matching our bounds. Finally, we provide further relations between 0- and 1-nearly independent vertex sets in graphs in Section 5.

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Throughout this paper, all graphs are simple. The number of elements of a finite set S is denoted by $|S|$. The empty sum is treated as 0.

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a simple graph and l a non-negative integer. As defined in [1], a set $S \subseteq V$ is called a *l -nearly independent vertex set* of G if the graph induced by S in G contains exactly l edges. Thus 0-nearly independent vertex sets are precisely the classical

independent vertex sets. Denote by $\mathcal{S}_l(G)$ the set of all l -nearly independent vertex sets of G , and set

$$\sigma_l(G) := |\mathcal{S}_l(G)| \quad \text{and} \quad S_l(G) := \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}_l(G)} |S|,$$

that is $\sigma_l(G)$ is the number of l -nearly independent vertex sets of G , while $S_l(G)$ is the sum of the sizes of the l -nearly independent vertex sets of G . We are specifically interested in the average size of a l -nearly independent vertex set of G , which is given by

$$av_l(G) := \frac{S_l(G)}{\sigma_l(G)},$$

provided that $\sigma_l(G) \neq 0$. If $\mathcal{S}_l(G) = \emptyset$, then it is consistent to write $av_l(G) = 0$.

We denote by $\sigma_l(G, k)$ the number of l -nearly independent vertex sets of G that have cardinality k . Clearly, $\sigma_0(G, 0) = 1$, while $\sigma_l(G, 0) = 0$ for all $l > 0$ and $\sigma_1(G, k) = 0$ for all $k < 2$. We define the l -nearly series $I_l(G; x)$ by

$$I_l(G; x) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \sigma_l(G, k) x^k,$$

which has a finite number of terms since $\sigma_l(G, k) = 0$ for all $k > |V(G)|$. We refer to $I_l(G; x)$ as the l -nearly independent vertex polynomial of G . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_l(G) &= \sum_{k \geq 0} \sigma_l(G, k) = I_l(G; 1) \quad \text{and} \\ S_l(G) &= \sum_{k \geq 0} k \cdot \sigma_l(G, k) = \left. \frac{d}{dx} I_l(G; x) \right|_{x=1} := I'_l(G; 1). \end{aligned}$$

An edge e with end vertices u, v in a graph G will be denoted by $e = uv$. Let G_1 and G_2 be two graphs such that their vertex sets are disjoint. Then $G_1 \cup G_2$ means the graph with vertex set $V(G_1) \cup V(G_2)$ and edge set $E(G_1) \cup E(G_2)$. In this case, if S is a 1-nearly independent vertex set of $G_1 \cup G_2$, then the unique edge $e = uv$ in the subgraph induced by S necessarily comes from G_1 or G_2 , and $S \setminus \{u, v\}$ is an independent vertex set of $G_1 \cup G_2$. Conversely, $S \cap V(G_j)$ is either an independent or a 1-nearly independent vertex set of G_j for $j \in \{1, 2\}$, depending on the location of edge $e = uv$.

We therefore obtain the following identities.

Lemma 1. *Let G_1 and G_2 be two vertex disjoint graphs. We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1(G_1 \cup G_2, k) &= \sum_{l=2}^k \sigma_1(G_1, l) \sigma_0(G_2, k-l) + \sigma_1(G_2, l) \sigma_0(G_1, k-l) \quad \text{and} \\ \sigma_1(G_1 \cup G_2) &= \sigma_1(G_1) \sigma_0(G_2) + \sigma_1(G_2) \sigma_0(G_1). \end{aligned}$$

We also obtain the following.

Lemma 2. *Let G_1 and G_2 be two vertex disjoint graphs. We have*

- (1) $I_1(G_1 \cup G_2; x) = I_1(G_1; x)I_0(G_2; x) + I_1(G_2; x)I_0(G_1; x)$ and
- (2) $S_1(G_1 \cup G_2) = S_1(G_1)\sigma_0(G_2) + \sigma_1(G_1)S_0(G_2) + S_1(G_2)\sigma_0(G_1) + \sigma_1(G_2)S_0(G_1).$

Proof. Formula (1) follows immediately from Lemma 1. For (2), simply note that

$$\begin{aligned} I'_1(G_1 \cup G_2; x) &= I'_1(G_1; x)I_0(G_2; x) + I_1(G_1; x)I'_0(G_2; x) \\ &\quad + I'_1(G_2; x)I_0(G_1; x) + I_1(G_2; x)I'_0(G_1; x). \end{aligned}$$

□

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph. For $S \subseteq V$, we denote by $G - S$ the graph induced by $V \setminus S$ in G . For simplicity, we write $G - v$ instead of $G - \{v\}$. We use the standard notation that $N(v)$ is the set of all neighbours of $v \in V$ in G and $N[v] = N(v) \cup \{v\}$.

We can count the contribution of v to $\sigma_1(G, k)$ as follows. If v is an element of $S \in \mathcal{S}_1(G)$, then S can only contain at most one neighbour of v in G . If S contains both v and $u \in N(v)$, then no other element of $N(v) \cup N(u)$ can belong to S and $S \setminus \{u, v\}$ is an independent vertex set of G . Thus the contribution of v to $\sigma_1(G, k)$ is given by

$$\sigma_1(G - N[v], k - 1) + \sum_{u \in N(v)} \sigma_0(G - (N[v] \cup N[u]), k - 2)$$

and thus

$$\sigma_1(G, k) = \sigma_1(G - v, k) + \sigma_1(G - N[v], k - 1) + \sum_{u \in N(v)} \sigma_0(G - (N[v] \cup N[u]), k - 2).$$

Note that $G - (N[v] \cup N[u])$ and $G - (N(v) \cup N(u))$ are isomorphic graphs since $uv \in E$.

The next lemma follows immediately, and its proof is omitted. Note that the recursive formula for S_1 is obtained by differentiating the expression for I_1 and evaluating it at $x = 1$.

Lemma 3. *Let G be a graph and v a vertex of G . We have*

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(G; x) &= I_1(G - v; x) + xI_1(G - N[v]; x) + x^2 \sum_{u \in N(v)} I_0(G - (N(v) \cup N(u)); x), \\ \sigma_1(G) &= \sigma_1(G - v) + \sigma_1(G - N[v]) + \sum_{u \in N(v)} \sigma_0(G - (N(v) \cup N(u))), \quad \text{and} \\ S_1(G) &= S_1(G - v) + \sigma_1(G - N[v]) + S_1(G - N[v]) \\ &\quad + \sum_{u \in N(v)} \left(2\sigma_0(G - (N(v) \cup N(u))) + S_0(G - (N(v) \cup N(u))) \right). \end{aligned}$$

In what follows, we compute $\sigma_1(G), S_1(G)$ for a few classes of graphs. We use the following usual notation for n -vertex graphs:

- E_n : graph with no edges (edgeless graph),
- S_n : star (a central vertex adjacent to $n - 1$ pendent edges),

- K_n : complete graph (every two vertices are adjacent),
- P_n : path (a tree with maximum degree less than or equal to 2).

Proposition 4.

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_1(E_n) &= S_1(E_n) = 0, \\ \sigma_1(S_n) &= n - 1, \quad S_1(S_n) = 2(n - 1), \quad \text{and} \\ \sigma_1(K_n) &= n(n - 1)/2, \quad S_1(K_n) = n(n - 1).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. All these expressions are easily obtained by direct counting arguments. Nevertheless, let us use the above decomposition formulas. E_n has no edge, so $\mathcal{S}_1(E_n) = \emptyset$. Let v be the central vertex of S_n , we have $S_n - v = E_{n-1}$, $S_n - N(v) = \emptyset$, and

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_1(S_n) &= \sigma_1(E_{n-1}) + \sigma_1(\emptyset) + \sum_{u \in N(v)} \sigma_0(\emptyset) = |N(v)| = n - 1, \\ S_1(S_n) &= S_1(E_{n-1}) + \sigma_1(\emptyset) + S_1(\emptyset) + \sum_{u \in N(v)} (2\sigma_0(\emptyset) + S_0(\emptyset)) = 2|N(v)| = 2(n - 1).\end{aligned}$$

For the complete graph,

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_1(K_n) &= \sigma_1(K_{n-1}) + \sigma_1(\emptyset) + \sum_{u \in N(v)} \sigma_0(\emptyset) = \sigma_1(K_{n-1}) + |N(v)| = \sigma_1(K_{n-1}) + n - 1, \\ S_1(K_n) &= S_1(K_{n-1}) + \sigma_1(\emptyset) + S_1(\emptyset) + \sum_{u \in N(v)} (2\sigma_0(\emptyset) + S_0(\emptyset)) \\ &= S_1(K_{n-1}) + 2|N(v)| = S_1(K_{n-1}) + 2(n - 1).\end{aligned}$$

Solving these recursions yield $\sigma_1(K_n) = n(n - 1)/2$ and $S_1(K_n) = n(n - 1)$. $\square$

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph. Since every element of $\mathcal{S}_1(G)$ induces a graph that contains only one edge, the set $\mathcal{S}_1(G)$ can be partitioned into

$$\mathcal{S}_1(G) = \bigcup_{e \in E} \bigcup_{k \geq 2} \mathcal{S}_{1,k}^e(G),$$

where $\mathcal{S}_{1,k}^e(G)$ comprises all those k -element 1-nearly independent vertex sets involving the end vertices of edge e . One can therefore determine $|\mathcal{S}_{1,k}^e(G)|$, the contribution of edge $e = uv$ to $\sigma_1(G, k)$. Following the same argument given above, we get

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathcal{S}_{1,k}^e(G)| &= \sigma_0(G - (N(v) \cup N(u)), k - 2), \\ \sigma_1(G, k) &= \sum_{uv \in E} \sigma_0(G - (N(v) \cup N(u)), k - 2).\end{aligned}$$

As an immediate consequence, we obtain the following formulas:

Lemma 5. *For every graph G , it holds that*

$$I_1(G; x) = x^2 \sum_{uv \in E} I_0(G - (N(v) \cup N(u)); x),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_1(G) &= \sum_{uv \in E} \sigma_0(G - (N(v) \cup N(u))), \\
S_1(G) &= \sum_{uv \in E} \left(2\sigma_0(G - (N(v) \cup N(u))) + S_0(G - (N(v) \cup N(u))) \right) \\
&= \sum_{uv \in E} \sigma_0(G - (N(v) \cup N(u))) \left(2 + av_0(G - (N(v) \cup N(u))) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

For example, setting $E(P_n) := \{v_0v_1, v_1v_2, \dots, v_{n-2}v_{n-1}\}$ and using this lemma we can compute $\sigma_1(P_n)$ and $S_1(P_n)$ as

$$\sigma_1(P_n) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sigma_0(P_{j-2} \cup P_{n-2-j}) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sigma_0(P_{j-2}) \sigma_0(P_{n-2-j}),$$

where the second equality comes from Lemma 6 below.

Lemma 6 ([3]). *Let G_1 and G_2 be two vertex disjoint graphs. We have*

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_0(G_1 \cup G_2) &= \sigma_0(G_1) \sigma_0(G_2), \\
av_0(G_1 \cup G_2) &= av_0(G_1) + av_0(G_2).
\end{aligned}$$

The next lemma provides an alternative formula for $av_1(G)$ that will be useful in some cases.

Lemma 7. *If G is not an edgless graph, then*

$$av_1(G) = \frac{S_1(G)}{\sigma_1(G)} = 2 + \frac{\sum_{uv \in E} S_0(G - (N(v) \cup N(u)))}{\sum_{uv \in E} \sigma_0(G - (N(v) \cup N(u)))}.$$

Furthermore, there exists edges u_1v_1, u_2v_2 of G such that

$$2 + av_0(G - (N(v_1) \cup N(u_1))) \leq av_1(G) \leq 2 + av_0(G - (N(v_1) \cup N(u_1))).$$

Proof. According to Lemma 5,

$$av_1(G) = 2 + \frac{\sum_{uv \in E} S_0(G - (N(v) \cup N(u)))}{\sum_{uv \in E} \sigma_0(G - (N(v) \cup N(u)))}.$$

Now set $H_{uv} := G - (N(v) \cup N(u))$ and note that

$$av_1(G) = 2 + \frac{\sum_{uv \in E} S_0(H_{uv})}{\sum_{uv \in E} \sigma_0(H_{uv})} = 2 + \sum_{uv \in E} \alpha_{uv} \frac{S_0(H_{uv})}{\sigma_0(H_{uv})} = 2 + \sum_{uv \in E} \alpha_{uv} av_0(H_{uv}),$$

where $\alpha_{uv} = \sigma_0(H_{uv}) / \sum_{uv \in E} \sigma_0(H_{uv})$. Since $\alpha_{uv} > 0$ and $\sum_{uv \in E} \alpha_{uv} = 1$, we can interpret $\sum_{uv \in E} \alpha_{uv} \frac{\sigma_0(H_{uv})}{\sigma_0(H_{uv})}$ as an average. Hence, there is a case of uv that corresponds to a term not less than the average, and there is a case that corresponds to a term not larger than the average. The lemma follows. $\square$

In the next section, we consider graphs with a given order n .

3. EXTREMAL n -VERTEX GRAPHS

In this section, we characterise the structure of graphs that minimise or maximise av_1 . Trivially, $av_1(G) \geq 0$ with equality if and only if G is an edgeless graph. Here and in the following, we assume $G \neq E_n$ for all n .

In [3] it was proved that the star maximises av_0 among all n -vertex trees, while [1] shows that the star minimises σ_1 among all n -vertex connected graphs. It will be shown that the star also uniquely achieves the minimum value of av_1 among connected graphs.

In paper [1], a *good graph* $G = (V, E)$ is defined as a graph in which every edge uv satisfies $N(v) \cup N(u) = V$. A full characterisation of the set $\mathcal{H}$ of all good graphs is provided there. For any $H \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \{K_1\}$, we have $av_1(H) = 2$. Hence we have the following first main theorem of this paper.

Theorem 8. *For every n -vertex graph G that is not edgeless, we have*

$$av_1(G) \geq 2.$$

Equality holds if and only if $G \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \{K_1\}$.

In particular, S_n uniquely minimises av_1 among n -vertex trees, and it is one of the n -vertex graphs that minimises av_1 .

Proof. By Lemma 7, $av_1(G) \geq 2$ for all $G = (V, E)$ that are not edgeless, and equality holds if and only if $S_0(G - (N(v) \cup N(u))) = 0$ for all $uv \in E$, i.e. every $G - (N(v) \cup N(u))$ is the empty graph, or equivalently $N(v) \cup N(u) = V$.

The only edgeless graph from $\mathcal{H}$ is K_1 . $\square$

We use Lemma 7 to obtain some bounds on av_1 . For a graph $G = (V, E)$, define

$$\delta_1(G) = \min_{uv \in E} |N(v) \cup N(u)| \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_2(G) = \max_{uv \in E} |N(v) \cup N(u)|.$$

It is clear that $2 \leq \delta_1(G) \leq \delta_2(G) \leq |V|$ for all G that are not edgeless.

Proposition 9. *For every n -vertex graph $G \neq E_n$,*

$$2 \leq \frac{3n + 2 - 3\delta_2(G)}{n + 1 - \delta_2(G)} \leq av_1(G) \leq \frac{n + 4 - \delta_1(G)}{2} \leq \frac{n + 2}{2}.$$

Proof. Using u_1, v_1, u_2 and v_2 as in Lemma 7 and the result

$$\frac{n}{n+1} = av_0(K_n) \leq av_0(H) \leq av_0(E_n) = \frac{n}{2}$$

that holds for all n -vertex graph H (see [3]), we have

$$2 + \frac{n - |N(v_1) \cup N(u_1)|}{n + 1 - |N(v_1) \cup N(u_1)|} \leq av_1(G) \leq 2 + \frac{n - |N(v_2) \cup N(u_2)|}{2}.$$

The function $x \mapsto (a - x)/(b - x)$ is decreasing in x , provided that $a < b$. It follows that

$$2 + \frac{n - \delta_2(G)}{n + 1 - \delta_2(G)} \leq av_1(G) \leq 2 + \frac{n - \delta_1(G)}{2} \leq 2 + \frac{n - 2}{2}.$$

□

We now aim to obtain a sharp upper bound on av_1 .

Proposition 10 ([3]). *For every n -vertex graph G that is not edgeless, we have*

$$av_0(G) < av_0(E_n) = n/2.$$

Let $av_1(G, e)$ denote the average of all 1-nearly independent subsets of G that contain the endvertices of edge e . For $e = uv$, we have

$$av_1(G, e) = 2 + av_0(G - (N(u) \cup N(v))).$$

Moreover,

$$av_1(G) = 2 + \sum_{uv \in E} \alpha_{uv} \cdot av_0(G - (N(v) \cup N(u)))$$

for certain $\alpha_{uv} > 0$ such that $\sum_{uv \in E} \alpha_{uv} = 1$, see the proof of Lemma 7. Since $av_0(E_m)$ increases with m , the above identities imply that

$$av_1(G) \leq \max_{e \in E(G)} av_1(G, e) \leq 2 + av_0(E_{n-2})$$

holds for every graph G with n vertices.

Define $G_n := K_2 \cup (n - 2)K_1$ for $n \geq 6$. This graph G_n contains only one edge, thus

$$av_1(G_n) = 2 + av_0(E_{n-2}).$$

Hence, our next theorem follows:

Theorem 11. *Let $n \geq 6$. For every n -vertex graph G ,*

$$av_1(G) \leq \frac{n}{2} + 1.$$

Equality holds if and only if $G = G_n$.

We now shift our focus to the family of n -vertex trees.

4. EXTREMAL n -VERTEX TREES

As a particular case of Theorem 8, the star S_n uniquely minimises av_1 among n -vertex trees. The next task is to search for n -vertex trees with the maximum average size of 1-nearly independent vertex sets.

We call an internal vertex in a tree T and vertex with degree greater than 1.

Proposition 12. *For any n -vertex tree T with minimum degree of internal vertices equal to δ' , we have*

$$av_1(T) \leq 2 + \frac{n - \delta' - 1}{2}.$$

Proof. As already seen in the proof of Lemma 7,

$$av_1(T) = 2 + \sum_{uv \in E(T)} \alpha_{uv} \cdot av_0(T - (N(u) \cup N(v)))$$

for some positive numbers α_{uv} that sum to 1. If T has n vertices and minimum internal degree δ' , then by Proposition 10,

$$av_0(T - (N(u) \cup N(v))) \leq \frac{\max\{n - \delta' - 1, 0\}}{2}$$

for every $uv \in E(T)$. □

We can then deduce the following upper bound for n -vertex trees, obtained for $\delta' \in \{1, 2\}$. The case of equality for $T = P_i$ with $2 \leq i \leq 4$, can be checked with easy calculations.

Corollary 13. *For any n -vertex tree T , we have*

$$av_1(T) \leq 2 + \frac{\max\{n - 3, 0\}}{2}$$

with equality holding for $T \in \{P_2, P_3, P_4\}$.

We will prove a matching lower bound on the maximum average size of 1-nearly independent vertex sets in trees.

For $n \geq 4$, define R_n to be the tree obtained by subdividing once an edge of the star S_{n-1} , i.e. by adding an edge between a leaf of S_{n-1} and a new vertex.

Let v be a leaf of R_n such that v is adjacent to a vertex u of degree 2. With this choice of vertex v , we apply Lemma 3 alongside Proposition 4 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} av_1(R_n) &= \frac{S_1(S_{n-1}) + S_1(S_{n-2}) + \sigma_1(S_{n-2}) + S_0(E_{n-3}) + 2\sigma_0(E_{n-3})}{\sigma_1(S_{n-1}) + \sigma_1(S_{n-2}) + \sigma_0(E_{n-3})} \\ &= \frac{2(n-2) + 2(n-3) + (n-3) + (n-3)2^{n-4} + 2 \times 2^{n-3}}{(n-2) + (n-3) + 2^{n-3}}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we used the formulas

$$\sigma_0(E_m) = 2^m, \quad S_0(E_m) = m2^{m-1}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} av_1(R_n) &= \frac{5n - 13 + (n + 1)2^{n-4}}{2n - 5 + 2^{n-3}} \\ &= \frac{n}{2} + \frac{2^{n-4} - n^2 + 15n/2 - 13}{2n - 5 + 2^{n-3}}, \end{aligned}$$

and we also have

$$\begin{aligned} (3) \quad av_1(R_n) &= \frac{5n - 13 + (n + 1)2^{n-4}}{2n - 5 + 2^{n-3}} \\ &= \frac{n + 1}{2} - \frac{n^2 - 13n/2 + 21/2}{2n - 5 + 2^{n-3}} < \frac{n + 1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} av_1(R_n) - \frac{n + 1}{2} = 0$$

and that

$$av_1(R_n) = \frac{n}{2} + \frac{2^{n-4} - n^2 + 15n/2 - 13}{2n - 5 + 2^{n-3}} > \frac{n}{2},$$

for all n , except $n \in \{6, 7, 8\}$.

Theorem 14. *Let $n > 8$. Among n -vertex trees, the maximum average size of 1-nearly independent vertex sets is given by $n/2 + \delta_n$ for some function $0 < \delta_n < 1/2$. Moreover, both the upper and lower bounds are asymptotically sharp.*

At this stage, it is reasonable to make the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1. The tree R_n reaches the maximum value of av_1 over the set of all n -vertex trees.

We conclude this work with further bounds relating σ_0 and σ_1 .

5. FURTHER BOUNDS

In this short section, we establish further identities and bounds. In particular, we show that it is possible to have $\sigma_0 \leq 3\sigma_1$. We begin with a lemma.

Lemma 15. *For every graph $G = (V, E)$ that is not edgeless and every $uv \in E$, we have*

$$\frac{1}{2^{l_{uv}-2} + 2^{l_{uv}-m_u} + 2^{l_{uv}-m_v} + 1} \leq \frac{\sigma_0(G - (N[v] \cup N[u]))}{\sigma_0(G)} \leq 1 - \frac{\sigma_0(G - v)}{\sigma_0(G)},$$

where

$$l_{uv} = |N(v) \cup N(u)|, \quad m_u = |N[u]|, \quad m_v = |N[v]|.$$

In particular,

$$\frac{\sigma_0(G - (N[v] \cup N[u]))}{\sigma_0(G)} \geq \frac{1}{(3 \cdot 2^{l_{uv}-2} + 1)}.$$

Proof. We return to the recursion

$$\sigma_0(G) = \sigma_0(G - v) + \sigma_0(G - N[v]).$$

Since $G - (N[v] \cup N[u])$ is an induced subgraph of $G - N[v]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_0(G - N[v]) &\geq \sigma_0(G - (N[v] \cup N[u])), \\ 1 &\geq \frac{\sigma_0(G - v)}{\sigma_0(G)} + \frac{\sigma_0(G - (N[v] \cup N[u]))}{\sigma_0(G)}, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the second inequality. On the other hand, we iterate the inequality

$$\sigma_0(G) = \sigma_0(G - w) + \sigma_0(G - N[w]) \leq 2\sigma_0(G - w),$$

which holds for all $w \in V$, to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_0((G - v) - u) &\leq 2^{|(N[v] \cup N[u]) \setminus \{u, v\}|} \sigma_0(G - (N[v] \cup N[u])) \\ &= 2^{l_{uv}-2} \sigma_0(G - (N[v] \cup N[u])), \\ \sigma_0((G - v) - N[u]) &\leq 2^{|(N[v] \cup N[u]) \setminus (\{v\} \cup N[u])|} \sigma_0(G - (N[v] \cup N[u])) \\ &= 2^{l_{uv}-m_u} \sigma_0(G - (N[v] \cup N[u])) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_0((G - N[v]) - u) &\leq 2^{|(N[v] \cup N[u]) \setminus (\{u\} \cup N[v])|} \sigma_0(G - (N[v] \cup N[u])) \\ &= 2^{l_{uv}-m_v} \sigma_0(G - (N[v] \cup N[u])). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_0(G) &= \sigma_0(G - v) + \sigma_0(G - N[v]) \\ &= \sigma_0((G - v) - u) + \sigma_0((G - v) - N[u]) \\ &\quad + \sigma_0((G - N[v]) - u) + \sigma_0((G - N[v]) - N[u]) \\ &\leq (2^{l_{uv}-2} + 2^{l_{uv}-m_u} + 2^{l_{uv}-m_v} + 1) \sigma_0(G - (N[v] \cup N[u])), \end{aligned}$$

which proves the first inequality in the lemma. In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_0(G) &\leq (2^{l_{uv}-2} + 2^{l_{uv}-2} + 2^{l_{uv}-2} + 1) \sigma_0(G - (N[v] \cup N[u])) \\ &= (3 \cdot 2^{l_{uv}-2} + 1) \sigma_0(G - (N[v] \cup N[u])), \end{aligned}$$

proving the last inequality. □

In the next proposition, we show that σ_1/σ_0 is a somewhat additive function in the union of graphs, and that $\sigma_0 \leq 3\sigma_1$ can possibly hold in certain graph classes.

Proposition 16. *Let G_1 and G_2 be two vertex disjoint graphs. We have*

$$\frac{\sigma_1(G_1 \cup G_2)}{\sigma_0(G_1 \cup G_2)} = \frac{\sigma_1(G_1)}{\sigma_0(G_1)} + \frac{\sigma_1(G_2)}{\sigma_0(G_2)}.$$

Moreover, for every graph $G = (V, E)$ with no isolated vertex and maximum degree 2,

$$\frac{\sigma_1(G)}{\sigma_0(G)} \geq \frac{1}{3}.$$

Equality holds for P_2 .

Proof. The first identity immediately follows from Lemma 2:

$$\frac{\sigma_1(G_1 \cup G_2)}{\sigma_0(G_1 \cup G_2)} = \frac{\sigma_1(G_1)\sigma_0(G_2) + \sigma_1(G_2)\sigma_0(G_1)}{\sigma_0(G_1)\sigma_0(G_2)}.$$

Now let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph with no isolated vertex and maximum degree 2. All the connected components of G are paths and/or cycles. If P_2 is such a component, then set $G_1 := P_2$ and $G_2 := G \setminus V(G_1)$ to obtain

$$\frac{\sigma_1(G)}{\sigma_0(G)} = \frac{\sigma_1(P_2)}{\sigma_0(P_2)} + \frac{\sigma_1(G_2)}{\sigma_0(G_2)} \geq \frac{\sigma_1(P_2)}{\sigma_0(P_2)} = \frac{1}{3}.$$

Otherwise,

$$l_{uv} := |N(v) \cup N(u)| \in \{3, 4\}$$

for all $uv \in E$. Here we distinguish two cases:

- Case 1: $|E| \geq 5$.

We can partition E as $E = E_4 \cup E_3$, where

$$E_4 = \{uv \in E : l_{uv} = 4\} \quad \text{and} \quad E_3 = \{uv \in E : l_{uv} = 3\}.$$

We combine (see Lemma 15)

$$\frac{\sigma_0(G - (N[v] \cup N[u]))}{\sigma_0(G)} \geq \frac{1}{(3 \cdot 2^{l_{uv}-2} + 1)}.$$

and (see Lemma 5)

$$\frac{\sigma_1(G)}{\sigma_0(G)} = \sum_{uv \in E} \frac{\sigma_0(G - (N(v) \cup N(u)))}{\sigma_0(G)}$$

to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sigma_1(G)}{\sigma_0(G)} &\geq \sum_{uv \in E_4} \frac{1}{(3 \cdot 2^{4-2} + 1)} + \sum_{uv \in E_3} \frac{1}{(3 \cdot 2^{3-2} + 1)} = \frac{|E_4|}{13} + \frac{|E_3|}{7} \\ &\geq \frac{5 - |E_3|}{13} + \frac{|E_3|}{7} = \frac{35 + 6|E_3|}{91} > \frac{1}{3}. \end{aligned}$$

- Case 2: $1 \leq |E| \leq 4$.

Here all the connected components of G must belong to the set

$$Q := \{P_5, C_4, P_4, C_3, P_3\},$$

while easy calculations yield

$$\frac{\sigma_1(P_5)}{\sigma_0(P_5)} = \frac{10}{13}, \quad \frac{\sigma_1(C_4)}{\sigma_0(C_4)} = \frac{4}{7}, \quad \frac{\sigma_1(P_4)}{\sigma_0(P_4)} = \frac{5}{8},$$

$$\frac{\sigma_1(C_3)}{\sigma_0(C_3)} = \frac{3}{4}, \quad \frac{\sigma_1(P_3)}{\sigma_0(P_3)} = \frac{2}{5}.$$

All these values are greater than $1/3$. Now $G = G_1 \cup G_2$ for some $G_1 \in Q$. We have

$$\frac{\sigma_1(G_1 \cup G_2)}{\sigma_0(G_1 \cup G_2)} = \frac{\sigma_1(G_1)}{\sigma_0(G_1)} + \frac{\sigma_1(G_2)}{\sigma_0(G_2)} > \frac{1}{3},$$

which concludes the proof. □

REFERENCES

- [1] E. O. Andriantiana and Z. B. Shoji. The number of 1-nearly independent vertex subsets. *Quaestiones Mathematicae*, 47(12):2353–2373, 2024.
- [2] E. O. D. Andriantiana. Energy, hosoya index and merrifield–simmons index of trees with prescribed degree sequence. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 161(6):724–741, 2013.
- [3] E. O. D. Andriantiana, V. R. Misanantenaina, and S. Wagner. The average size of independent sets of graphs. *European Journal of Mathematics*, 6(6):561–576, 2020.
- [4] E. O. D. Andriantiana and Z. B. Shoji. The number of 1-nearly independent edge subsets. *Iranian Journal of Mathematical Chemistry*, 16(1):65–84, 2025.
- [5] E. Davies, M. Jenssen, W. Perkins, and B. Roberts. On the average size of independent sets in triangle-free graphs. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 146(11):4541–4553, 2018.
- [6] D. Galvin. Two problems on independent sets in graphs. *Discrete Mathematics*, 311(20):2105–2112, 2011.
- [7] W. Gan, P.-S. Loh, and B. Sudakov. Maximizing the number of independent sets of a fixed size. *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing*, 24(3):521–527, 2015.
- [8] J. Kahn. An entropy approach to the hard-core model on bipartite graphs. *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing*, 10(3):219–237, 2001.
- [9] R. D. Martin. Statistical mechanics of the independent set problem. *Discrete Mathematics*, 309(10):3323–3331, 2009.
- [10] A. Nilli. The average size of an independent set in graphs with a given chromatic number. *Discrete Mathematics*, 67(2):147–153, 1987.
- [11] Y. Zhao. The number of independent sets in a regular graph. *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing*, 19(2):315–320, 2010.

AUDACE A. V. DOSSOU-OLORY, INSTITUT NATIONAL DE L’EAU, CENTRE D’EXCELLENCE D’AFRIQUE POUR L’EAU ET L’ASSAINISSEMENT, AND INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES ET DE SCIENCES PHYSIQUES, DANGBO, UNIVERSITÉ D’ABOMEY-CALAVI, BÉNIN, **ORCID 0000-0003-2065-117X**
Email address: `audace@aims.ac.za`

ERIC O. D. ANDRIANTIANA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS (PURE AND APPLIED), RHODES UNIVERSITY, MAKHANDA, 6140 SOUTH AFRICA, AND NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR THEORETICAL AND COMPUTATIONAL SCIENCES (NITheCS), STELLENBOSCH, SOUTH AFRICA
Email address: `e.andriantiana@ru.ac.za`