

Self-Generated Measures and the Centroid Rigidity of Power Laws

VINCENT E. COLL, JR.*¹ AND LEE B. WHITT²

¹DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LEHIGH UNIVERSITY, BETHLEHEM, PA 18015, USA

²NORTHROP GRUMMAN — DISTINGUISHED TECHNICAL FELLOW (RETIRED), SAN DIEGO, CA, lee.barlow.whitt@hotmail.com

MSC (2020): Primary 26D15; Secondary 39B22, 26A51.

Keywords: centroid, rigidity, power law, elasticity, probability method.

Abstract

We revisit a classical calculus computation—the centroid of the subgraph of a function on $[0, a]$ —and show that it hides a rigidity theorem. Let $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be C^2 with $f(0^+) = 0$, and set

$$\bar{x}(a) = \frac{\int_0^a x f(x) dx}{\int_0^a f(x) dx}, \quad \bar{y}(a) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\int_0^a f(x)^2 dx}{\int_0^a f(x) dx}.$$

We prove that the *Geometric Scaling Property*

$$\bar{y}(a) = \lambda f(\bar{x}(a)) \quad (\forall a > 0)$$

holds if and only if $f(x) = Ax^p$ with $A > 0$ and $p > 0$, and for power laws the optimal constant is

$$\lambda = \frac{p+1}{2(2p+1)} \left(\frac{p+2}{p+1} \right)^p.$$

After a scale-free normalization, the argument is probabilistic: under the self-generated probability measure on $[0, a]$ with density $f(x) / \int_0^a f(t) dt$, one has $\bar{x}(a) = \mathbb{E}[X_a]$ and $\bar{y}(a) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}[f(X_a)]$, so GSP becomes an equality in expectation across all truncation scales. Differentiation in a yields a weighted-mean identity for the elasticity $E(x) = x f'(x) / f(x)$; a second differentiation forces a vanishing-variance principle that makes E constant, hence f a pure power and the stated λ follows. The proof uses no asymptotics and extends to $f \in C^1(0, \infty)$ with locally Lipschitz elasticity.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MOTIVATION

Centroid formulas from first-year calculus suggest asking whether a persistent relation can hold between the centroid height and the function value sampled at the centroid abscissa (see, e.g., [1]). Precisely, for $f > 0$ with $f(0^+) = 0$, define

$$\bar{x}(a) = \frac{\int_0^a x f(x) dx}{\int_0^a f(x) dx}, \quad \bar{y}(a) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\int_0^a f(x)^2 dx}{\int_0^a f(x) dx}.$$

We call

$$\bar{y}(a) = \lambda f(\bar{x}(a)) \quad (\forall a > 0)$$

the *Geometric Scaling Property* (GSP). Despite its elementary appearance, GSP is rigid: we show it forces f to be a power law and compute λ explicitly. Our argument is scale-free and probabilistic.

Literature. Our WM/Var step can be viewed as an L^2 equality–case (variance–zero / Cauchy–Schwarz) phenomenon (cf. [6] for equality cases in quadratic forms) and as a functional–equation constraint on logarithmic scales (cf. [5]); see also [4] for the role of logarithmic derivatives in regular variation.

Functional-equation viewpoint. Equation (3.2) is a functional equation on logarithmic scales; our proof proceeds via an equality–case derivation.

*Corresponding author. Email: vec208@lehigh.edu. ORCID: 0000-0002-5775-5522

Provenance (and why this is trickier than it looks)

This note grew out of a first-year calculus discussion about centroids. We asked a naïve question: could the centroid height of the subgraph on $[0, a]$ be proportional to the function value sampled at the centroid abscissa, for *every* truncation length a ? On the board this looks like harmless bookkeeping—two familiar centroid formulas and a single proportionality constant. The surprise is that this “calculus identity” quietly enforces a *global* structure on f : when written in scale-free form, the relation becomes an equality in expectation for a naturally self-generated probability measure. Differentiating with respect to the truncation scale a turns the question into one about the *elasticity* $E(x) = x f'(x)/f(x)$; a second differentiation reveals a *variance-zero (Cauchy–Schwarz equality)* case. The only way this can persist across all a is if the elasticity is constant—so f is a pure power law, and the proportionality constant is forced as well.

In short, a classroom computation leads to a rigidity theorem: an apparently benign proportionality at the *centroid* pins down the *shape* of the entire function. The argument stays elementary, but the difficulty is conceptual—one is comparing quantities formed at different places (the integrals over $[0, a]$) and at different scales (the function sampled at the moving point $\bar{x}(a)$), and only after recasting the problem probabilistically does the hidden symmetry become visible.

2. SETUP AND NOTATION

Let $f \in C^2(0, \infty)$ with $f(x) > 0$ for $x > 0$ and $f(0^+) = 0$. Define

$$F(a) = \int_0^a f(x) dx, \quad H(a) = \int_0^a x f(x) dx, \quad G(a) = \int_0^a f(x)^2 dx.$$

The centroid of the subgraph $\{(x, y) : 0 \leq x \leq a, 0 \leq y \leq f(x)\}$ is

$$\bar{x}(a) = \frac{H(a)}{F(a)}, \quad \bar{y}(a) = \frac{G(a)}{2F(a)}.$$

Notation (all symbols used)

- $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$: base function; $f(0^+) = 0$; smoothness as stated.
- F, H, G : primitives $F(a) = \int_0^a f$, $H(a) = \int_0^a x f$, $G(a) = \int_0^a f^2$.
- $\bar{x}(a), \bar{y}(a)$: centroid coordinates of the subgraph on $[0, a]$.
- $g_a(s) := \frac{f(as)}{f(a)}$ for $s \in [0, 1]$ (shape profile at scale a).
- $A(a) := \frac{F(a)}{a f(a)}$, $B(a) := \frac{H(a)}{a^2 f(a)}$, $C(a) := \frac{G(a)}{a f(a)^2}$.
- $\theta(a) := \frac{B(a)}{A(a)} \in (0, 1)$ (scale-free centroid abscissa).
- $E(x) := \frac{x f'(x)}{f(x)}$ (elasticity; logarithmic derivative of f).
- μ_a : self-generated probability on $[0, a]$, $\mu_a(dx) = \frac{f(x)}{F(a)} \mathbf{1}_{[0, a]}(x) dx$.
- $X_a \sim \mu_a$: random variable with $\mathbb{E}[X_a] = \bar{x}(a)$ and $\mathbb{E}[f(X_a)] = 2\bar{y}(a)$.
- $D(a) := \int_0^1 (s - \theta(a))^2 g_a(s) ds > 0$ (quadratic weight normalizer).

Fix $a > 0$ and define the *rescaled shape*

$$g_a(s) := \frac{f(as)}{f(a)} \quad (0 \leq s \leq 1),$$

and the *elasticity*

$$E(x) := \frac{x f'(x)}{f(x)}.$$

The function $E(x)$ is called the *elasticity* of f .¹

A change of variables $x = as$ gives the normalized moments

$$A(a) := \int_0^1 g_a(s) ds = \frac{F(a)}{af(a)}, \quad B(a) := \int_0^1 s g_a(s) ds = \frac{H(a)}{a^2 f(a)}, \quad C(a) := \int_0^1 g_a(s)^2 ds = \frac{G(a)}{af(a)^2}. \quad (2.1)$$

Set

$$\theta(a) := \frac{B(a)}{A(a)} \in (0, 1), \quad \bar{x}(a) = a \theta(a).$$

Two basic differential identities (chain and quotient rules), valid for $s \in (0, 1]$, are

$$g_a(1) = 1, \quad g'_a(s) = \frac{E(as)}{s} g_a(s), \quad \partial_a g_a(s) = \frac{g_a(s)}{a} (E(as) - E(a)). \quad (2.2)$$

These identities are intended for $s \in (0, 1]$; the factor $1/s$ in $g'_a(s)$ precludes evaluation at $s = 0$.

3. PROBABILISTIC REFORMULATION

For each $a > 0$, define a probability measure μ_a on $[0, a]$ by

$$\mu_a(dx) = \frac{f(x)}{F(a)} \mathbf{1}_{[0,a]}(x) dx, \quad F(a) = \int_0^a f(x) dx,$$

and let $X_a \sim \mu_a$. Then

$$\bar{x}(a) = \mathbb{E}[X_a], \quad \bar{y}(a) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}[f(X_a)].$$

Thus GSP is the equality-in-expectation

$$\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}[f(X_a)] = \lambda f(\mathbb{E}[X_a]) \quad (\forall a > 0). \quad (3.1)$$

Passing to scale-free variables $s = x/a$, set

$$g_a(s) := \frac{f(as)}{f(a)}, \quad \nu_a(ds) := \frac{g_a(s)}{A(a)} \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}(s) ds,$$

so that if $S_a \sim \nu_a$ then $\mathbb{E}[S_a] = \theta(a)$ and $\mathbb{E}[g_a(S_a)] = C(a)/A(a)$. In these terms, (3.1) is equivalent to the scale-free identity

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{C(a)}{A(a)} = \lambda g_a(\theta(a)) \quad (\forall a > 0). \quad (3.2)$$

4. MAIN THEOREM

Theorem 4.1. *Assume the Geometric Scaling Property (3.2). Then there exist $A > 0$ and $p > 0$ such that $f(x) = Ax^p$ for all $x > 0$, and*

$$\lambda = \frac{p+1}{2(2p+1)} \left(\frac{p+2}{p+1} \right)^p.$$

Proof. We begin by differentiating the scale-free centroid identity, which yields a weighted-mean formula for the elasticity $E(x) = xf'(x)/f(x)$. Differentiating once more converts this into a vanishing-variance identity, forcing E to be constant. Constancy of elasticity implies that f is a pure power law, and the explicit form of λ follows by direct substitution.

Step 1 (Closed forms for scale-derivative integrals). A change of variables $u = as$ and integration by parts, using $f(0^+) = 0$, yield

$$\int_0^1 g_a(s) E(as) ds = \frac{1}{af(a)} \int_0^a u f'(u) du = \frac{af(a) - F(a)}{af(a)} = 1 - A(a), \quad (4.1)$$

$$\int_0^1 s g_a(s) E(as) ds = \frac{1}{a^2 f(a)} \int_0^a u^2 f'(u) du = \frac{a^2 f(a) - 2H(a)}{a^2 f(a)} = 1 - 2B(a), \quad (4.2)$$

$$\int_0^1 g_a(s)^2 E(as) ds = \frac{1}{af(a)^2} \int_0^a u f(u) f'(u) du = \frac{af(a)^2 - G(a)}{2af(a)^2} = \frac{1 - C(a)}{2}. \quad (4.3)$$

¹Elasticity is familiar in economics as the percentage change in f per percentage change in x (cf. [2]); analytically it is the logarithmic derivative, as used in regular variation [4].

Consequently, substituting (2.2) and (4.1)–(4.3) into

$$A' = \int_0^1 \partial_a g_a(s) ds, \quad B' = \int_0^1 s \partial_a g_a(s) ds, \quad C' = 2 \int_0^1 g_a(s) \partial_a g_a(s) ds$$

gives

$$A' = \frac{1}{a}(1 - (1 + E(a))A), \quad B' = \frac{1}{a}(1 - (2 + E(a))B), \quad C' = \frac{1}{a}(1 - (1 + 2E(a))C), \quad (4.4)$$

and, by differentiating $\theta = B/A$,

$$\theta' = \frac{B'A - BA'}{A^2} = \frac{1}{aA} \int_0^1 (s - \theta) g_a(s) E(as) ds. \quad (4.5)$$

By Lemma 4.5 (Supplement) we obtain (4.4) and (4.5) rigorously.

Step 2 (Weighted-mean identity, by citation). By Lemma 4.6 in the Supplement, differentiating (3.2) yields the weighted-mean identity

$$\frac{\int_0^1 (s - \theta)^2 g_a(s) E(as) ds}{\int_0^1 (s - \theta)^2 g_a(s) ds} = E(a\theta) \quad (\theta = \theta(a)). \quad (4.6)$$

The denominator is strictly positive since $g_a > 0$ a.e. on $(0, 1]$ and $(s - \theta)^2 \not\equiv 0$.

Step 3 (Vanishing variance, by citation). Differentiating (4.6) and invoking Lemma 4.6 again gives

$$\int_0^1 (s - \theta(a))^2 g_a(s) (E(as) - E(a\theta(a)))^2 ds = 0 \quad (\forall a > 0). \quad (4.7)$$

As a non-example, the oscillatory perturbation $f(x) = x^p(1 + \varepsilon \sin \log x)$ violates (4.6)–(4.7) for any $\varepsilon \neq 0$, since $E(x) = p + \varepsilon \cos \log x + O(\varepsilon^2)$ is non-constant.

Step 4 (Constancy of elasticity and conclusion). Since the weight $(s - \theta(a))^2 g_a(s)$ is nonnegative on $[0, 1]$ and positive on every subinterval of $(0, 1] \setminus \{\theta(a)\}$ (because $g_a > 0$ on $(0, 1]$), (4.7) implies

$$E(as) \equiv E(a\theta(a)) \quad \text{for all } s \in [0, 1].$$

Hence for each $a > 0$, E is constant on $(0, a]$. If $0 < a_1 < a_2$, then $E \equiv c(a_1)$ on $(0, a_1]$ and $E \equiv c(a_2)$ on $(0, a_2]$, so $c(a_1) = c(a_2)$ by overlap. Therefore $E(x) \equiv p$ on $(0, \infty)$ for some constant $p \in \mathbb{R}$. The ODE $xf'(x) = pf(x)$ integrates to $f(x) = Ax^p$ with $A > 0$. Substituting into the centroid formulas yields

$$\bar{x}(a) = \frac{p+1}{p+2} a, \quad \bar{y}(a) = \frac{p+1}{2(2p+1)} A a^p,$$

so

$$\bar{y}(a) = \frac{p+1}{2(2p+1)} \left(\frac{p+2}{p+1} \right)^p f(\bar{x}(a)),$$

identifying $\lambda = \frac{p+1}{2(2p+1)} \left(\frac{p+2}{p+1} \right)^p$. Conversely, direct substitution of $f(x) = Ax^p$ into the centroid formulas shows (3.2)

holds with this λ . Finally, since $f(0^+) = 0$ and $f(x) = Ax^p > 0$ for $x > 0$, we must have $p > 0$. Indeed, if $0 < a_1 < a_2$, constancy on $(0, a_2]$ implies constancy on $(0, a_1]$, so the constants coincide. $\square$

Remark 4.2 (Regularity and finiteness). The assumptions $f \in C^2$, $f > 0$, $f(0^+) = 0$ ensure that the integrals in (2.1) are finite for all $a > 0$. Differentiation under the integral signs in A', B', C' is justified by dominated convergence on the compact s -interval together with the reductions (4.1)–(4.3), which convert the potentially singular factors into integrals of $uf'(u)$, $u^2f'(u)$, and $uf(u)f'(u)$ that are finite by the fundamental theorem of calculus (see, e.g., [3, Ch. 2]). Moreover, $f \in C^2$ implies g_a is C^1 in (a, s) for $s > 0$, and θ is C^1 ; thus the chain rule justifies the differentiation $\partial_a g_a(\theta) + g'_a(\theta) \theta'$ used in Step 2.

Remark 4.3 (Weaker hypotheses). The C^2 assumption in the Main Theorem can be weakened. It suffices to assume that $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is C^1 , with $f(0^+) = 0$, and that its elasticity

$$E(x) = \frac{xf'(x)}{f(x)}$$

is *locally Lipschitz* on $(0, \infty)$ (and, with minor routine changes, one can relax to bounded variation). Under these hypotheses:

- The identities in (2.2) hold for a.e. $s \in (0, 1]$ (Rademacher + chain rule), and A, B, C are absolutely continuous in a with derivatives given by (4.4) for a.e. $a > 0$ (differentiate the closed forms in (2.1)).
- The weighted-mean identity (4.6) holds for a.e. $a > 0$. Moreover, $a \mapsto A(a), B(a), C(a)$ and $a \mapsto g_a(\theta(a))$ are continuous on $(0, \infty)$, so (4.6) holds for a dense set of a and therefore for all $a > 0$ by continuity of both sides.
- Differentiating (4.6) is legitimate for a.e. a because E is locally Lipschitz, hence a.e. differentiable and locally bounded; the same cancellations yield (4.7) for a.e. a , and by continuity in a (of the integrand and weights) the identity extends to all $a > 0$.

As in Step 4, (4.7) forces E to be constant on every $(0, a]$, hence constant on $(0, \infty)$ by overlap; integrating $xf'(x) = pf(x)$ gives $f(x) = Ax^p$ with $A > 0, p > 0$. Thus $f \in C^1, f > 0, f(0^+) = 0$, and E locally Lipschitz already suffice for the theorem.

APPENDIX: DERIVATIVE IDENTITIES (EXPANDED ALGEBRA)

We record the computations used above.

Lemma 4.4. *With A, B, C, θ as in (2.1) and g_a as in (2.2), one has:*

- (a) Integral reductions and derivative formulas. *The identities (4.1)–(4.3) hold. Consequently,*

$$A' = \frac{1 - (1 + E)A}{a}, \quad B' = \frac{1 - (2 + E)B}{a}, \quad C' = \frac{1 - (1 + 2E)C}{a}, \quad \theta' = \frac{1}{aA} \int_0^1 (s - \theta) g_a(s) E(as) ds.$$

- (a) (For WM/Var, see Lemma 4.6 in the Supplement.)

REFERENCES

- [1] T. M. Apostol, *Calculus*, Vol. 1, 2nd ed., Wiley, 1967.
- [2] H. R. Varian, *Microeconomic Analysis*, 3rd ed., W. W. Norton, 1992.
- [3] W. Rudin, *Real and Complex Analysis*, 3rd ed., McGraw–Hill, 1987.
- [4] N. H. Bingham, C. M. Goldie, and J. L. Teugels, *Regular Variation*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, Vol. 27, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1987.
- [5] M. Kuczma, *An Introduction to the Theory of Functional Equations and Inequalities*, 2nd ed., Birkhäuser, 2009.
- [6] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, and G. Pólya, *Inequalities*, 2nd ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, 1952.

SUPPLEMENTARY NOTE: REGULARITY AND DIFFERENTIATION DETAILS

Lemma 4.5 (Regularity of A, B, C, θ and closed forms). *Assume $f \in C^2(0, \infty)$, $f(0^+) = 0$, and $f(x) > 0$ for $x > 0$. Define*

$$F(a) = \int_0^a f(x) dx, \quad H(a) = \int_0^a xf(x) dx, \quad G(a) = \int_0^a f(x)^2 dx, \\ A(a) = \frac{F(a)}{af(a)}, \quad B(a) = \frac{H(a)}{a^2f(a)}, \quad C(a) = \frac{G(a)}{af(a)^2}, \quad \theta(a) = \frac{B(a)}{A(a)}, \quad E(x) = \frac{xf'(x)}{f(x)}.$$

Then $A, B, C, \theta \in C^1(0, \infty)$ and, for all $a > 0$,

$$A' = \frac{1 - (1 + E(a))A}{a}, \quad B' = \frac{1 - (2 + E(a))B}{a}, \quad C' = \frac{1 - (1 + 2E(a))C}{a}, \quad \theta' = \frac{B'A - BA'}{A^2}.$$

Proof. Use $F'(a) = f(a)$ and $H'(a) = af(a)$ by the fundamental theorem of calculus. Integration by parts yields

$$\int_0^a u f'(u) du = af(a) - F(a), \quad \int_0^a u^2 f'(u) du = a^2 f(a) - 2H(a),$$

$$\int_0^a u f(u) f'(u) du = \frac{1}{2}(af(a)^2 - G(a)),$$

all finite on $[0, a]$ since $f \in C^2$ and $f(0^+) = 0$. Differentiate A, B, C as quotients of C^1 functions and simplify using the three identities; $\theta' = (B'A - BA')/A^2$ follows.

Lemma 4.6 (Weighted-mean and variance identities). Let $g_a(s) = f(as)/f(a)$ on $[0, 1]$, and set

$$D(a) := \int_0^1 (s - \theta(a))^2 g_a(s) ds \quad (> 0).$$

Assume the scale-free GSP identity $\frac{1}{2} \frac{C(a)}{A(a)} = \lambda g_a(\theta(a))$ for all $a > 0$. Then, for all $a > 0$,

$$E(a\theta(a)) = \frac{\int_0^1 (s - \theta(a))^2 g_a(s) E(as) ds}{D(a)}. \quad (4.8)$$

Differentiating (4.8) in a yields

$$\int_0^1 (s - \theta(a))^2 g_a(s) (E(as) - E(a\theta(a)))^2 ds = 0. \quad (4.9)$$

Proof. Differentiate $\frac{1}{2}(C/A) = \lambda g_a(\theta)$ using Lemma 4.5 for $(C/A)'$ and the chain/quotient rules. Express all a -derivatives of A, B, C via Lemma 4.5. Rearranging gives (4.8). Differentiating (4.8), the terms linear in $E(as) - E(a\theta)$ cancel by (4.8), leaving (4.9). Since $(s - \theta)^2 g_a \geq 0$ and not a.e. zero, (4.9) implies $E(as) \equiv E(a\theta)$ on $[0, 1]$. Identity (4.9) is exactly the equality case of the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality for the weighted L^2 norm with weight $(s - \theta(a))^2 g_a(s)$; no general convexity assumption is needed beyond this quadratic form.

Remark 4.7 (Converse for power laws). For $f(x) = Ax^p$ with $A > 0, p > 0$, one computes

$$\bar{x}(a) = \frac{p+1}{p+2}a, \quad \bar{y}(a) = \frac{p+1}{2(2p+1)}Aa^p,$$

hence $\bar{y}(a) = \lambda f(\bar{x}(a))$ with

$$\lambda = \frac{p+1}{2(2p+1)} \left(\frac{p+2}{p+1} \right)^p.$$

Thus the “if and only if” in Theorem 4.1 is immediate.

Scope note. Throughout we assume GSP holds for all $a > 0$ and $f \in C^2(0, \infty)$ with $f > 0, f(0^+) = 0$. The proof is elementary and self-contained.